DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 461 007 CE 073 327

TITLE Hazardous Materials Management Skill Standard. Final Project

Report.

INSTITUTION Center for Occupational Research and Development, Inc.,

Waco, Tex.

SPONS AGENCY

Department of Education, Washington, DC.

PUB DATE

1997-01-29

NOTE

651p.; For related documents on hazardous materials

management, see ED 398 390 and ED 403 445.

CONTRACT V244B30010

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Tests/Questionnaires (160)

EDRS PRICE MF03/PC27 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Chemical Technicians; Educational Development; Educational

Research; Environmental Education; Environmental Standards; *Environmental Technicians; *Hazardous Materials; *Job Skills; Occupational Safety and Health; Postsecondary Education; Program Development; Program Implementation; Secondary Education; *Standards; Surveys; Teaching Guides;

Technical Education; *Waste Disposal; *Wastes

ABSTRACT

This document begins with a brief report describing how the Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) organized a coalition of organizations related to the hazardous materials industry to identify required skills and training for Hazardous Materials Management Technician (HMMT). CORD staff established a committee of employers, representatives from labor organizations and associations, vocational educators, and others to write the skill standard. The committee identified required competencies for technicians in the industry; determined knowledge, tools, and training necessary for certification; compared certified to noncertified employers; established instructional qualifications; and developed a method for assessing and updating the skill standard as technology changes. During phase 2, the standard was validated and disseminated, certification requirements were determined, and an implementation guide was developed. The 14-page report is followed by the following: skills and validation surveys and responses; meeting information; lists of advisory committee members and business/industry and education representatives; marketing and publicity materials; newsletters; articles; database of those to whom the standard was disseminated; sample presentations made at site visits, conferences, and meetings; correspondence; educational survey and final report; business/industry questionnaire; workshop materials; project facts and general information; remediation; revisions; and information on other related projects. (YLB)



1255107 ERI

Hazardous Materials Management Skill Standard Final Project Report

Center for Occupational Research and Development

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Hazardous Materials Management Skill Standard Final Project Report

Center for Occupational Research and Development

Project Purpose

In 1992, the Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) proposed to organize and manage a coalition of organizations related to the hazardous materials industry that would identify skills necessary and the training required for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians (HMMT). In identifying the skills necessary, CORD staff established and managed a coalition of employers, representatives from labor organizations and associations, vocational educators, and others affiliated with hazardous materials management. Representatives from these organizations assembled as a committee to accomplish the following objectives while writing the skill standard under the document development phase of *The National Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians* project:

- identify required competencies for technicians in the industry;
- determine knowledge, tools, and training necessary for certification;
- compare certified to noncertified employees;
- establish instructional qualifications; and
- develop a method for assessing and updating the skill standard as technology changes.

This final report provides detailed information regarding the steps CORD's staff, industry and educational representatives, consultants, and third-party evaluators took during phase one in the development and writing of *The National Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians* document and during the validation and verification phase while completing follow-up activities.

Development of National Skill Standard

The professional staff at CORD coordinated the development of a skill standard for technicians who handle hazardous materials and waste. Project director James Johnson assembled a team of independent consultants to provide the CORD staff with technical and evaluatory assistance throughout the program and to serve as integral members of the project team. To achieve project activities, the CORD staff, consultants, and a national advisory committee were assembled to guide the project.



Hazardous Materials Management Technology Skill Standard Project

National Advisory Committee Membership

1 tuttonui Havisoi y Committee	<u> </u>
Industry	13
Consultant/Remediation	
Municipal	2
Unions	3
Societies	6
Government	2
Military	3
Colleges (Two- and Four-Year)	13

Table 1

The advisory committee represented both large and small companies and businesses, as well as government, labor, professional societies, and education. Selected members of the advisory committee served on two subcommittees: one of them responsible for evaluating the certification requirements for HMMT; the other, for developing occupational titles. The general advisory committee's role was to provide advice and guidance for the project, and aid in the development of job-level descriptions of the skills and behaviors needed by HMMT employees. The committee, including representatives of various regulatory agencies, provided different viewpoints. The composition of the HMMT advisory committee is shown in Table 1.

HMMT Skill Standard Background

The stated goal of the project, as given in the proposal document, is to "organize and manage a coalition of organizations related to the hazardous materials industry, which will identify the skills necessary and the training required for hazardous materials management technicians (HMMT)." According to the proposal and to achieve this goal, the following activities were to be accomplished:

- 1. research businesses and industries involved in hazardous materials management
- 2. form a coalition of participants in the project
- 3. select and empower a technical committee including business, education, and labor leaders
- 4. design, through a participative, iterative process, a model for a skill standard in the industry
- 5. devise a method for assessing and evaluating the model
- 6. promote a process for maintaining and updating skill standard
- 7. secure an independent third-party evaluator to conduct a summative evaluation of the project

In achieving the first two activities, CORD project team members interviewed more than 150 technicians, employers, consultants, and educators who are actively involved with hazmat. The staff also conducted site visits to various locations (see Appendix.). Dr. Jerry Riehl and Mr. Robert Bear, P.E., were chosen as consultants who



selected appropriate businesses and industries that should be represented in the advisory committee.

To accomplish activities three and four, the technical advisory committee met to discuss a list of possible job titles and tasks. The committee arbitrarily categorized HMMTs into four occupational groups according to the area in which the worker is employed. These categories include the following:

- Remediation: This area involves cleaning up contaminated outdoor sites such as Superfund sites or leaky, underground petroleum tanks at gas stations and nuclear facilities.
- Transport, treatment, storage, and disposal (TTSD): This includes the work done within companies like oil refineries, chemical process industries, municipal waste treatment facilities, even local manufacturers, and disposal locations such as incinerators.
- Regulations: This includes technicians who specialize in applying regulations set by EPA, OSHA, and state regulatory groups.
- Laboratory/Analytical: This includes those who work in laboratory facilities. Their principal job tasks relate to collecting, testing, and analyzing contaminated soil, air, and liquid samples.

The committee, going on previous experience, estimated that 80 percent to 90 percent of tasks, skills, and knowledge would be identical for all four groups.

The committee directed that a skill standard for HMMTs must include all the topics identified by OSHA training requirements (i.e., a person employed as an HMM technician must be certifiable according to OSHA requirements). Also, regional variations affecting the skills expected of an HMM technician must be considered. (i.e., an HMM technician employed in Florida might need to know skills for employment at a petroleum company. Whereas someone employed at a nuclear cleanup site will need different skills).

To accomplish activity five, third-party evaluators were included in the project planning process and maintained ongoing communication with the project director. Third-party evaluators were responsible for writing three evaluation reports: two formative and one summative. The two formative reports were to be used for midcourse adjustments. In addition to the formative reports, updates to those in the industry were provided in the way of a newsletter, *Skill Standard Report*. Three issues, Volume 1, Numbers 1 and 2, and Volume 2 Number 1, were produced and mailed to nearly 1,000 representatives of industry and education (see Appendix).

To determine appropriate ways to accomplish activity six, a certification subcommittee was formed and met in July 1994. They outlined steps that would help to maintain and update the standard over time. Subcommittee recommendations included:

- Training programs should be accredited by an organization consisting of educational providers. These may include conventional public schools, private schools, and consulting agencies.
- A certification program for individuals graduating from accredited programs also should be implemented. Certification programs may be operated by different agencies, but professional societies would be a typical choice.



- Specialty certifications also should be made available for subsets of the hazardous materials management industry.
- The skill standard must be the basis for any certification program. Assessment of individual's skills can be accomplished by a comprehensive test but must also contain some performance-based items.
- Certification should be directed toward "job-entry" skills. A degree should not be a requirement for certification.
- Periodic recertification and assessment should be built into the program.
- A continuing education requirement should be incorporated in the recertification process.

Additional steps taken to achieve activity number six include the development of a database with information from schools with HMM programs. The database included: school information—contact name, address, phone; program information—type, length, degree or certificate; course information—course title, length, and so on; and textbook information—description of book used for each course in the program. To date, data from approximately twenty schools have been entered. This activity was terminated when Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE) received funding from the National Science Foundation, which was part of their plan.

Implemented as part of activity six, professional societies related to the control of hazardous materials or environmental issues participated in the project. They include: National Environmental Health Association (NEHA), National Environmental Training Association (NETA), National Association of Environmental Professionals (NAEP), Hazardous Materials Control Resources Institute (HMCRI), the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE), the Institute for Hazardous Materials Managers (IHMM) and the Academy of Hazardous Materials Managers (AHMM).

The primary purpose of activity seven was to secure an evaluator. Dr. Jerry Riehl worked on the project until June 1994. Riehl constructed the first formative report, and because of health-related problems, Ms. Jean Drevdahl replaced him as project evaluator. Drevdahl completed the second formative evaluation and a summative report.

Project Process

To expand the task list, the subcommittee suggested a survey of practitioners. In response, several committee members and others provided job descriptions for employment categories grouped under the broad title of HMMT. An activity journal to record job functions for which they were responsible during a typical day, as well as weekly, monthly, and annual tasks was distributed to more than 100 employed technicians. Fifty responses were returned. The activities listed in the journals and task statements from job descriptions were used to draw up a lengthy outline. The task statements in the outline were grouped and a critical verb was associated with each statement. This outline became the main discussion topic at three regional focus group meetings where participants were asked to verify or change the verb given in each statement and to rate each statement according to three levels of priority.

A draft survey instrument was pilot-tested at the April 1994 focus group meeting in New Orleans. A modified Delphi technique was used for this exercise. Participants



joined groups corresponding to the four major areas of HMMT. Each group reviewed the survey and deleted tasks not performed in that specialty area. If the verbs describing the task were inappropriate, other verbs were selected.¹

After the activities were verified for accuracy, they were classified according to importance. All information was later formatted into a questionnaire, a draft of which was reviewed and modified. A revised draft survey was mailed to all individuals attending the July 17, 1994 meeting in Waco, Texas. Committee members sent a copy of the draft to a small group of individuals in their geographical areas to review, comment, and suggest changes to the survey to check its completeness prior to general dissemination. The information obtained from this step was returned to NEHA² on August 1, 1994. NEHA incorporated these changes into the final survey, which was mailed out August 14, 1994 (see Appendix).

A second subcommittee meeting was held in Hagerstown, Maryland, at which time a second draft of the survey was used to ensure the accuracy of the HMMT skills. Then, changes were integrated into the survey to be used at a regional meeting in Fort Worth, Texas. At this meeting, the advisory committee—grouped for discussion according to the four occupational groups identified earlier (remediation, TTSD, regulations, laboratory)—studied modified versions of the task/activity outline and made further changes.

The recommendations resulting from the Fort Worth (held June 1994) advisory committee meeting included the conversion of the task/activity outline into a survey—NEHA subcontracted to design, distribute, collect, and accumulate data from this survey—to be distributed to large numbers of employers for validation. All skill standard advisory committee members and members of NEHA, NAEP, HMCRI, and NETA received copies of the skill standard survey. Each of these societies cooperated in mailing the survey to their membership. In addition, students from PETE schools in three regions of the country conducted phone interviews with potential HMMT employers to determine their specific needs. The goal, to obtain 200 completed surveys, and 240, or 20.9 percent of the mailed surveys, was obtained. The survey review team met to analyze the returned information.

An additional subcommittee was formed to consider the certification and assessment of individuals against the standard. This committee was formed and met in August 1994, at which time they made several recommendations:

- 1. HMMT training programs measured against the skill standard should be accredited by an organization consisting of educational providers. The requirements would include items like teacher qualifications, facilities, lab-to-lecture ratio, hours of instruction, and so on.
- 2. A certification program for those graduating from accredited programs should be implemented. These programs should be operated by different agencies such as professional societies. A comprehensive certification for a "general" HMMT should be maintained by a technician-oriented organization.

² NEHA was subcontracted by CORD for this part of the project.



Center for Occupational Research and Development

¹ Explain the process.

- 3. Specialty certifications should be made available for subsets of the hazardous materials management industry; for instance, in nuclear technology.
- 4. The skill standard should be the basis for any certification program. Individual skills should be assessed by a comprehensive test, but should also contain some performance-based skills, which could be assessed while a student is enrolled in an accredited program.

The advisory committee agreed that certification should be directed toward "job-entry" skills; a degree should not be a requirement for certification (group opinion). Periodic recertification and assessment should be built into the program. A continuing education requirement should be incorporated in the recertification process.

A project team meeting was planned for September 1994 at the NEHA offices in Denver, Colorado, to evaluate the data returned from the survey and to condense the information into one of the formats suggested by the Department of Education. Although this evaluation process took a considerable amount of time and effort, it provided an excellent way of secure the accuracy and completeness of the questionnaire.

In October 1994, the HMMT skill standard was disseminated during a workshop in Waco, Texas, to representatives of twenty-five colleges. Approximately half of attendees had hazmat programs but wanted to ensure their curriculum contained job tasks listed in the skill standard. The other colleges were interested in starting hazmat programs and wanted their curricula to meet the skill standard. The workshop was intended to help educators design strategies to integrate the standard in their program development. Industrial experts involved in the design of the standard made presentations and worked with the educators to formulate methods of measuring existing HMMT programs against the standard and of establishing new HMMT programs.

Workshop participants toured three different industries located in Waco that employ HMMTs: Allergan, Marathon Power Technologies, and Plantation Foods. Afterward, participants compared occupational similarities and differences. Workshop participants were able to validate the skills and tasks listed in the skill standard by observing the HMMTs at each of the worksites and comparing job functions to the standard.

Validation and Verification

A first draft of the hazardous materials management technician skill standard was completed by October 1994. After the standard was published in 1994, additional work was needed in others areas. CORD's staff developed goals for four areas concerning the standard: validation, dissemination, certification, curriculum design and development issues. Additional funding and time were requested and granted to complete these goals under phase two.

Validation

• Goal 1: Validate and refine the standard determined in phase one

During phase two of the project, the first goal was to validate and refine the content of the HMMT skill standard by surveying industries that employ HMMTs.




To complete this process, two surveys were developed and distributed (see Appendix). One went to PETE schools to determine if they were teaching the job functions listed in the skill standard. A second survey, developed by Robert Bear, P.E., Chair of the HMMT skill standard advisory committee, went to the industrial population employing HMMTs: The survey included thirteen job functions listed in the skill standard and asked respondents to evaluate the frequency of each job function.

NETA sent approximately 5,000 industrial surveys to environmental managers listed in a Linton database. Five hundred surveys were sent to the membership of NAEP. A total of 373 surveys were completed and received by the April 30, 1995 deadline. Bear and Gayle Bowles-Haecker, CORD, analysed the data, and conclusions were presented at a meeting in Orlando, Florida, in January 1996.

These conclusions were also presented in an unpublished article written by Bear The article, "Soft Skills"—What Employers Are Looking for in Employees, highlights the skills identified by industry as the most important skills an HMMT should possess upon entering the workforce. The responses reaffirmed that skills associated with environmental technology were necessary, but, surprisingly, skills like communication, computer knowledge, team- work, and writing—functions known as "soft" skills—ranked high. Figure 1 shows how manufacturing, service, and public business/industry (labeled All) ranked on a scale of 0 to 5 the importance of skills represented while carrying out environmental technician occupational responsibilities. Those surveyed were asked to respond to thirteen job functions industry representatives indicated they want entry-level hazardous materials technicians to perform (identified by numbers on chart). These skills have been identified in the skill standards.

In addition to helping business, the standard is helping educators transition from paper and pencil testing methods into more hands-on methods by listing specific competencies and skills that should be mastered upon completion of a program. This form of assessment allows educators to see that students can apply concepts to real-world situations. Some of the methods being used include keeping a portfolio, working in teams, working on semester-long projects, and so on. The assessment process includes mastery of elements from both the SCANS and skill standard mastered prior to certification, compliance, or degree.

HMMT Skill Ranking by Industry



All



Figure 1

- 1. Select and use appropriate PPE and respiratory equipment
- 2. Collect, prepare, document, and ship samples for analysis
- 3. Transport and store hazardous materials and waste
- 4. Operate treatment and disposal systems
- 5. Mathematics background
- 6. Science background
- 7. Physics background
- 8. Computer applications background
- 9. Comprehension of written materials
- 10. Communicate thoughts, ideas, information
- 11. Apply statistical quality-control techniques to situations
- 12. Work and communicate as a team member
- 13. Evaluate sample data
- 14. Safely handle hazardous materials and waste
- 15. Respond to emergency situations
- 16. Operate equipment
- 17. Identify and label hazardous materials and waste

Partial completion of the academic survey was headed by Jean Drevdahl. The purpose of the survey was to determine if the schools with HMMT programs covered the fourteen job functions and supporting job tasks in the skill standard. Each respondent rated these items on a Likert scale with a one (1) representing "the student would have a basic knowledge of this task" to a five (5) representing "the student has mastered this particular skill." Two hundred forty surveys were mailed to seventy-eight PETE schools with any components of an HMMT program; fourteen schools responded. (See Appendix for summative report.)

Dissemination

• Goal 2: Disseminate a draft of the standard to educators and professionals in the field of HMMT.

The second goal of phase two was to disseminate the standard to educators and professionals in the HMM field and request feedback on the contents. Three dissemination meetings were held: Waco, Texas, October 3-4, 1994; Gainesville, Florida, April 27-28, 1995; and Washington, D.C., June 14, 1995. Advisory committee members attending these meetings obtained presentation materials developed by team members who covered the progress of the HMMT skill standard grant. These materials were presented at seminars and conferences, which was an effective way to increase participation of committee members, distribute information, and minimize expense. Team members made these presentations at the following meetings:

1995	Location	Organization
Jan 20-21	Raleigh, NC	SE PETE
Jan 26-27	Charleston, SC	EPA Seminars



Mar 3-4	Washington, DC	Critical Issues
Mar 20-21	Cedar Rapids, IA	NC PETE
Apr. 3-5	Austin, TX	P ² Roundtable
May 4-5	Portland, OR	NW PETE
May 22-23	Berkley, WV	DOE/IUOE
Jun. 19	Cedar Rapids, IA	Fellows Meeting
Jul. 14	Orlando, FL	Southern Regional Education Board

To increase the distribution of the skill standard, 2,007 copies were mailed to companies, individuals in university departments of education, trade and professional organizations, publications, and deans of two- and four-year colleges. The document was distributed geographically to cities where PETE has offices: Northwest PETE received 485 copies, North Central PETE received 282 copies, Northeast PETE received 325 copies, Western PETE received 59 copies, South Central PETE received 377 copies, and Southeast PETE received 152 copies.

To get feedback on the standard and to maintain and update the contents, presentations were made at various community college meetings—two PETE meetings and two semiannual National Coalition of Advanced Technology Centers (NCATC) meetings. Jim Johnson, project director, presented the HMMT skill standard at the semiannual National Tech Prep Network (NTPN) meeting in Baltimore, Maryland. With assistance from project consultant Robert L. Bear, P.E., Johnson presented the skill standard document at the annual NTPN meeting in Minneapolis, St. Paul, Minnesota. Both of these presentations allowed secondary and postsecondary schools to learn about the skill standard and emphasized the importance of a solid science foundation for students who are interested in hazmat as a career, as noted in the standard. Approximately 200 individuals attended these presentations.

In addition to presentations and conference exhibits, two articles were written, submitted, and published (see Appendix). They include: "The Development of a Skill Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology Technicians" by Jim Johnson and Robert Bear, P.E., published in the January/February 1995 edition of the *Journal of Environmental Health*, and "Connecting Industry With Education: Skill Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians" by Gayle Haecker, published in the summer 1995 edition of *ATEEC News*.

Certification

Goal 3: Determine certification requirements for HMMTs to be employable in their industry.

A certification subcommittee was formed and met during phase one to plan for work to be completed during phase two. The questions as to whether the field needs program accreditation, certification of instructors, or certification of students are still unanswered. A survey to representatives working in the environmental health and safety field identified over fifty-seven certifications, but questions remain as to the advantages and value of so many programs. Discussing the issues of environmental technician accreditation and certification was key to phase two of the HMMT skill standard project. Members of the PETE Board of Directors stepped forward to recommend to member



schools and organizations the implementation of the skills standard, which has led to a national accrediting program for associate degree programs based on the skill standard.

Further Work

In terms of certification, a program for trained technicians is needed. phase two solicited several professional societies offering certification programs to incorporate the skills standard into their technical certification programs. In the first steps of implementing the standard into these programs, the CORD staff developed a taskforce to determine the requirements for individual certification and program accreditation. Rick Richardson, NETA; Reggie Moore, NEPA; and Doug Feil, Kirkwood Community College representing PETE, established a plan to complete this goal.

The taskforce met July 28, 1995 in Reno, Nevada, to develop criteria for certification of HMMTs and accreditation of academic training programs. They defined certification as the recognition of demonstrated competency of an individual. Accreditation was defined as the recognition of a program that meets standards and criteria established by a peer group. Additionally, some of the key elements of a successful certification program were listed to include:

- 1. Buy-in from the customers (employers and trade and professional organizations),
- 2. Certification must be voluntary,
- 3. Certification will include an ongoing evaluation and assessment of the program elements.
- 4. The certification program will be validated by peer review,
- 5. Certification will be composed of a written and a practical component, and
- 6. The program will be exportable and duplicated with appropriate modifications for ?????

At this meeting a tentative model for the certification and accreditation programs was discussed. An update of their progress was presented at regional PETE meetings in fall 1995. At the close of the project, certification continues to be an issue. Too few industrial representatives have caught on to the need for certification. At a meeting in Denver, Colorado, in early 1996, NEHA representatives showed their technician certification exam, which was based on the skill standard. At that time, they expressed the most important criterion for certification: two years of occupational experience. This requirement would be changed if the student had completed a two-year AS program from a PETE-accredited program.

Curriculum Design and Development Issues

 Goal 4: Develop a curriculum framework and guide that integrate and include the standard, as well as necessary academics for materials in the sciences supporting HMMT programs.

A fourth goal was to look at the feasibility of creating a curriculum to be used in HMMT programs. Team members developed real-world scenarios that could be used by schools to bring a realistic, hands-on approach to an HMMT program. In addition, PETE is working with IN-TELE-COM on a project called "Preserving the Legacy" to develop community college-level textbooks and training materials for use in the environmental



management area. Howard Guyer, an advisory committee member and a Western PETE member, is chairing the development of the textbooks.

Johnson and other CORD staff, Steve Fenton of Scott Community College, and Doug Feil of Kirkwood Community College developed definitions, competencies, and curriculum guidelines for the HMMT skill standard. During the July 29, 1995, meeting of what the desired outcomes were reviewed. These guidelines included:

- clarifying the level of competency expected of the HMMT upon completion of a training program,
- developing a potential career path beginning in middle school and continuing through high school and junior college,
- defining a training curriculum for individuals who are unemployed by using the Tech Prep Bridge program available at many of the community colleges, and
- developing an understanding of the connection between compliance-based training and the skill standard.

An implementation guide has been written to give educators a step-by-step resource book with information about the development of the standard and the way it affects curriculum development and employment. This guide was written to ensure the maintenance and updating of the HMMT skill standard.

Defining Skill Standards: Concluding Comments

Almost three and a half years later, CORD has met the designated responsibilities in developing the National Voluntary Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology. Project successes include bringing together business and education to agree on detailed job functions and knowledge as needed by an HMMT. But, in areas affected by the standard—education and workplace—it was, and still is, difficult to get representatives to see the importance of voluntary occupational standards over federal regulatory and state licensing requirements. After all, they are voluntary, making them difficult to enforce—even if it is for the good of the company and students. Now that the standard has been developed, the implementation is in the hands of educators and business/industry. Even though voluntary, it allows educators a tool in developing curricula and allows employers the opportunity to hire more qualified technicians.

The HMMT standard was written to provide occupationally specific job functions to those working in hazardous material fields. If the standard were to be rewritten, the CORD staff suggests focusing on a broader occupational category, for example environmental technology with hazmat falling somewhere under the umbrella. Focusing on one occupational area would have allowed staff, advisory committee members, and third-party evaluators to narrow the focus of skills and provide more detailed information. This broader focus also presented problems to those interpreting the skills. While researching to write the standard, the staff discovered a variety of definitions for a hazardous materials technician. This posed a problem regarding the level of understanding by the technician and occupational tasks performed. For example, some worksites define a hazmat technician as the person who physically cleans a contaminated site; others define it as one who designs the cleanup process and passes it to the cleanup crew. Staff and committee



members decided to write the standard for a technician who has a completed two-year degree program—someone with a certain level of expertise.³

And, that presented another problem: business/industry and compliance of regulatory standards. In keeping current on employee training and regulatory standards, business typically sends employees through quick, remedial, OSHA-approved courses. Because the standard was written for a technician with an associate's degree and a greater depth of understanding, business/industry may find variations in what the job functions say and what employees are actually doing in the workplace. That's what makes any follow-up to the standards so important. At some point in a technicians career, these skills will be applicable. Because business/industry representatives, in our survey, agreed that graduates are lacking necessary occupational skills, those same skills cited in the HMMT standard, more importance may be placed on voluntary standards.

To date, not all business/industry representatives see the importance of voluntary skill standards, but educators are finding they are beneficial in curriculum development. Many programs around the United States⁴ are turning to standards in developing curricula that will be relevant to what employers want in graduates. For example, the Hazardous Materials Training and Research Institute (HMTRI) provides short-term training, train-the-trainer programs, and hazmat technology associate degree and correspondence study programs. In developing their programs, the skill standard document was beneficial.

How Skill Standards Are Being Used⁵

Integrated System for Workforce Education Curricula

Skill standards are playing an important role in curriculum development. A project using the standards to link work and education in a meaningful and systematic manner is currently underway. Its primary goals are to integrate academic and vocational education in a curriculum framework for grades nine through fourteen, and to develop a process through which educators can elaborate upon the framework to fit it to the needs and strengths of their schools. The project, called an Integrated System for Workforce Education Curricula (ISWEC), is at the center of a dialogue among the member states of the multi-state consortium, whose representatives have played a key role in shaping the project.

The ISWEC project has compiled thirty-four standards⁶ used nationwide, including the HMMT skill standards, to develop a comprehensive, integrative framework. The project is also developing and refining a process for using standards to develop curricula.

⁶Standards have been developed by various national groups representing the academic disciplines as well as some state groups. The national groups include the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the National Science Teachers Association, the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, and many others. Workforce standards have been developed under the auspices of the National Skill Standards Board. General employability standards have come from SCANS and High Performance Workplace behaviors tentatively identified in the National Job Analysis study.



³This was not stated in the standard.

⁴ Other sites include: Scott Community College, Springfield Technical Community College, Delta College,

⁵ Skill Standards are the base for the ISWEC project. For more information, contact Dr. Ruth Loring at 800-972-2766.

The basic premise of ISWEC is that standards must be examined and integrated. The ISWEC team has collected 7800 elements – the skills, attitudes, and knowledge cited in the workforce or skills standards, in academic standards, and in general employability skill standards. These elements have been used to develop Integrated Curriculum Standards⁷ (ICS). Then ISWEC organizes ICSs in such a way that benchmarks, guidelines, and rubrics to support authentic assessment are incorporated.

Although teachers have a vital role in curriculum planning, employers should also have a voice in this activity. But for teachers and administrators who are already overtaxed to find the time and resources to identify, recruit, and work with employers is an almost overwhelming task. This is where the ISWEC project can help. By providing a framework based on the interests of representative groups from academic disciplines as well as business and industry, the project allows local educators and individual teachers to develop programs more closely suited to their local needs and strengths.

⁷An ICS is a statement of expectation for performance that integrates workforce competencies, academic content, and employability standards.



Site Visits

Site	Date	Staff Member
Dallas Ft-Worth Sectional	January 20, 1994	Woody Baker
American Chemical Society		
meeting		
Dallas, TX		
EG&G of Florida	August 14, 1994	Staff member
Cape Canaveral, FL		
Wright-Patterson Air Force	September 9, 1994	
Base		
Tupperware	September 14, 1994	Staff member
Orlando, FL	Sopiemoer 14, 1774	Juli momoor
Kelly Air Force Base	September 14, 1994	Jim Johnson
Kelly Air Force Base, TX	•	
Marathon Power	September 21, 1994	Staff member
Technologies		
Waco, TX		
Plantation Foods, Inc.	September 27, 1994	Staff member
Waco, TX		<u> </u>
Glace & Radcliffe and	September 29, 1994	Staff member
Associates, Inc.		-
Maitland, FL		
Allergan, Inc.	September 30, 1994	Staff member
Waco, TX		
Sherwin-Williams Company	October 25, 1993	Staff member
Waco, TX		
PDG Environmental, Inc.	November 8, 1993	Staff member
Titusville, FL		
3M	November 12, 1993	Staff member
Austin, TX		
Radian Corporation	November 12, 1993	Staff member
Austin, TX	<u> </u>	



FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT

(Short Form)

(Follow instructions on the back)

	ncy and Organizational Element Report is Submitted		Federal Grant or By Federal Agen	Othe cy	r Identifying Numb	per Assigned	OMB Appr No.	ovai	Page	ol
U.S. De Educati	partment of on		V244B300	010	- 95		0348-00	39	1	l pages
3. Recipient Or Center P.O. Bo Waco, T.		address, in Resea	icluding ZIP code)							
		5. Recipier	nt Account Number or	Ideni	ifying Number	6. Final Repo		7. B		Accrual
74-2077			5321	_						
8. Funding/Gran From: (Mon			n. Day, Year)	9.	Penod Covered From: (Month, D	ay, Year)	То:		n. Day.	Year)
10. Transactions			31-96		11-01-94	1 11	10-	-31·		
					Previously Reported	This Pend		C	III umulativ	•
a. Total outlays					-0-	518,593 518,5		18,59	93	
	nt share of outlays				-0-	261,	261,753 261,75			53
c. Federal share of outlays					-0-	256,8	256,840 25		56,84	40
d. Total unliquidated obligations							-0-			
Source and the second s						-0-				
f. Federal share of unliquidated obligations -0-										
g. Total Federal share (Sum of lines c and f)						10				
h. Total Fe	deral funds authorized for this fu	nding perio	đ					25	6,84	10
i. Unobliga	ited balance of Federal funds (L	ine h minu _	s line g)						-0-	
11.Indirect	a. Type of Rate (Place "X" [X] Provisional	in appropi	riate box) Predeterm	nined	0	Final		Fixed		
Expense	b. Rate	с. Ва			d. Total Amount	1	e. Feder		- •	
24.5% 280,230 65,807 48,704 12. Remarks: Attach any explanations deemed necessary or information required by Federal sponsoring agency in compliance with governing legislation. Indirect costs over the grant period were charged at the following rates for the following periods: 22.2% Final rate for costs incurred during 1994, 23.7% Final rate for costs incurred during 1995, and 24.5% provisional rate for costs incurred during 1996.										
13. Certification:	I certify to the best of my k unliquidated obligations a	nowledge	and belief that this	rep	ort is correct an	d complete a	nd that all	outla	ys and	
Typed or Printed						Telephone (A	rea code, no	umber	and ext	ension)
	l D. DeRosa, Man	ager d	of Finance			817-77			- "	,
Signature of Auth	orized Certifying Official				_	Date Report :				
	7 4 0 - 1									

Previous Editions not Usable

Standard Form 269A (REV 4.88) Prescribed by OMB Circulars A-102 and A-119



Appendix Hazardous Materials Management Technician Final Report



Phase One Survey (9/94)

This survey provided the advisory committee with information around which to write the skills standard.



Hazardous Materials Management Technician Survey Questionnaire

000832

Please circle the appropriate response to the following questions

- A. Are you currently employed in a HazMat field?

 1. Yes
 2. No
 B. Current job function:

 1. Administration/Management
 2. Site Supervisor
 3. Engineer
 4. Site Technician
 5. Teacher/Instructor
 6. Scientist
 7. Information/Data Specialist
 8. Laboratory Analyst
- C. Previous or current years of HazMat experience:

9. Sales

- 1. 1-2 years
 2. 3-4 years
 3. 5-6 years

 4. 7-8 years
 5. 9-10 years
 6. 11-12 years

 7. 13-14 years
 8. 15-16 years
 9. 17-18 years

 10. 19-20 years
 11. 20 and up
- D. How many entry level HazMat technicians do you routinely supervise?
 - 1. none
 2. 1-2
 3. 3-4
 4. 5-6

 5. 7-8
 6. 9-10
 7. 11-12
 8. 13-14

 9. 15-16
 10. 17-18
 11. 19-20
 12. 20 and up
- E. U.S. Region where you are currently employed?
 - 1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, VT, RI)
 - 2. North central (NJ, NY, OH, PA)
 - 3. Mid Atlantic (DE, DC, MD, NC, SC, VA, WV)
 - 4. Southeast (AL, FL, GA, KY, TN)
 - 5. South central (AR, LA, MS, OK, TX)
 - 6. Midwest (IL, IN, MI, MN, MO, WI)
 - 7. Great Plains (KS, IA, NE, ND, SD)
 - 8. Rocky Mountains (CO, ID, MT, UT, WY)
 - 9. West (AZ, CA, NV, NM, OR, WA)
 - 10. Far West (AK, HI)
- F. <u>Primary expertise</u> of your employer or organization:
 - 1. Light industry/Mfg.
 - 3. Regulatory (local)
 - 5. Regulatory (federal)
 - 7. Site Remediation
 - 9. Analytical Laboratory
 - 11. Environmental Consultant
 - 13. Transp/Store/Disposal
 - 15. Medical Facility (hospital)

- 2. Heavy industry/Mfg.
- 4. Regulatory (state)

10. Consultant

- 6. Military/Government
- 8. HazMat Trainer/Instructor
- 10. Disposal Site Operator
- 12. Engineering
- 14. Chemical Mfg.



INSTRUCTIONS

Attached is a list of work activities performed in many HazMat jobs.

The work activities are followed by 3 areas containing columns of ovals

NOT PERFORMED: If you DO NOT perform a work activity, fill in the oval in this column and move on to the next work activity. Do not fill in any ovals an the "Importance" or "Frequency" columns.

If you DO perform a work activity, leave the oval in the "Not Performed" column blank and fill in the appropriate ovals in the "Importance" and "Frequency" columns. Remember to fill in one oval in each of these two groups of columns.

IMPORTANCE: Fill in the one oval that indicates how important the work activity is to your ability to do your job.


- * None......This is of no importance to doing my job
- * Very Low......This is of very low importance to doing my job
- * Low.....This is of low importance to doing my job
- * Average.....This is of average importance to doing my job
- * Above Average...This is of above average importance to doing my job
- * HIgh.....This is of high importance to doing my job
- * Very High.....This is essential to doing my job

FREQUENCY: Fill in the one oval that most closely represents how often you perform the work activity.

- * Yearly...... I do this on my job approximately once each year
- * 9 Months...... I do this on my job at least once every 9 months
- * 6 Months...... I do this on my job at least once every 6 months
- * Monthly...... I do this on my job at least once a month
- * Weekly...... I do this on my job at least once a week
- * Dally...... I do this on my job at least once each day
- * Hourly...... I do this on my job at least once each hour

Example 1 represents an activity that DOES NOT apply to your job. The oval under the "Not Performed" column is filled in. There are no responses in the "Importance" or "Frequency" columns.

Example 2 represents an activity that DOES apply to your job. The "Not Performed" column is blank. The response in the "Importance" columns Indicates that the activity is of above average importance to doing your job. The response in the Frequency" column indicates that you do this activity about once a week.





Regulations

HazMat technicians may need to understand. Please fill in the appropr The following is a list of regulations and related activities that ovals: not performed, or importance and frequency.

REGULATIONS AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

)	
1	
}	
i	
1	
:	
•	
•	
,	
•	
-	
•	
•	
)	
•	
•	
•	
ı	
•	
) !	
`	
, }	
ł	
:	
_	

1926	
0	
10	
191	
Н	
S	
83	

- **DOT/HMTA**
 - EPA
- CERCLA
- RCRA 200
 - DOE
- State of X
 - TSCA
- IO. FDA
- 11. FIFRA
- 12. CAA
 - 13. CWA
- 14. SDWA
- 15. NESHAP
 - 16. AHERA
- 17. Identify major regulatory bodies and their jurisdiction
 - 18. Describe the regulatory process
- 19. Identify and describe the penalties for non-compliance
 - 20. Apply current regulatory procedures
- 21. Assure compliance with appropriate regulations
- 22. Secure permits for waste disposal
- 23. Research regulation changes and the impact the changes have on

(J (V)

riate		IMPORTANCE	FREQUENCY
	03)		
	AROPRIA TO	MOTANS AND	A THE TOO A THE SHUMON SHUMON A TIENTS
	w 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0000000
	0	0000000	000000
	0	000000	000000
	0	000000	000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	000000
	0	0000000	000000
	0	0000000	000000
	0	0000000	000000
	0	0000000	000000
	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	000000
	0	0000000	000000
n the	0	000000	000000
			7



Record Keeping

Listed below are some record keeping activities/lasks that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Please fill in the appropriate oval: not performed, or importance and frequency.

ACTIVITIES

- Compile and maintain a hazardous materials inventory
- 25. Record and maintain documentation of all waste disposal activities
- Compile and maintain documentation of all hazardous materials, including field notebooks, laboratory data, vendor invoices, purchase orders, Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS), manifests, and shipping documents
- Follow project Health and Safety Plan, Initial Sampling Plan, Assessment Plan, Remediation Plan, Risk Assessment, Site Closure Plan, and Waste Minimization Plan
 - Plan, and Waste Minimization Plan Assist and contribute to project reports, Health and Safety Plan, Assessment Plan, Remediation Plan, Risk Assessment, Site Closure

28.

29. Compile and maintain records to prepare compliance reports for

Plan, and Remediation Plan

- environmental permits
 30. Verify manifesting process related to the shipping and relocating of hazardous materials
- Ensure current Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) are available in the workplace
 - 32. Utilize and Interpret MSDS
- 33. Record meter and gauge readings
- 34. Operate and maintain auditable record keeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements
- 35. Prepare, approve, sign, and maintain hazardous waste manifests,and maintain copies for inspection by regulators

FREQUENCY	1 mo 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000	
IMPORTANCE	30 V	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	
	CHAROSHER TON 0 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 0	0	

Identification and Labeling

Listed below are some activities/tasks associated with the identify-cation and labeling of hazardous materials that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not performed, or importance and frequency.

ACTIVITIES

5	
s a	
ā	
ate	
E	
ğ	
ard	
Jaz	
0	
5	
ju ju	
Ě	
ल	
Ē	
<u> </u>	
a	
air	
ai	
E	
ä	
घ	贫
Ē	lab pa
5. Conduct and maintain a chemical inventory of hazardous materials and	ac
36.	

- 37. Identify and label hazardous materials for shipping and storage
- 38. Identify and characterize hazardous materials and wastestreams for shipping and storage which would include appropriate warnings and regulatory requirements
- 39. Provide proper labeling instructions for all wastestreams
- 40. Employ proper labeling instructions for all wastestreams
- 41. Identify empty drums for use at various plants
- 42. Identify types of hazardous materials
- 43. Identify characteristics of the major classes of hazardous materials
- 44. Contact suppliers for product information
- 45. Generate labels and safe use instructions for materials when shipment

is received

- Label issued containers with appropriate identification and expiration information
- Label containers of repackaged materials with hazardous material warnings as appropriate

	\					
						2
FREGUENCY	1 76/1004 0 0 1 1/10/2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	
MPORTANCE	#OHANSAN 0 #OHANSAN 0 #OHANSAN 0 #OTANSAN 0 MOTANSAN 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	
	O3NBO3BBG LON 0	0 0	000000	0 0	0	

Listed below are some emergency response activities/lasks that HazMat technicians may need to perfrom. Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not performed, or importance and frequency. Emeter Response
Listed below are some en

FREQUENCY

IMPORTANCE

ACTIVITIES

team	
response	
Ite as a member of an emergency response team	
rofane	
a member o	
Participate as	
<u>a</u>	

- 49. Demonstrate ability to function individually or as a member of an emergency response team
- 50. Successfully complete HAZWOPER course
- 51. Ensure adequate spill supplies are available at all times
 - 52. Recognize necessary components for spill response
- 53. Participate in the development of plant emergency response programs
 - 54. First Aid/CPR

			·
120000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
70			
30r63Ar 3000r 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
OBMOGRAGON O O		 	
30 O	00000		

Transportation and Storage

form. Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not performed, or importance and Listed below are some activities/tasks related to transportation and storage of hazardous materials that Hazmat technicians may need to perrequency.

ACTIVITIES

55. Ensure and perform timely, rouline movement of wastes from point of origin to waste pads for disposal	nt of wastes from point of
n tir	nely, rouline movement
s fo	or disposal

- Verify use of appropriate containers for waste accumulation
- Ensure and implement sound housekeeping in hazardous waste equipment storage location
- Ensure and maintain security of waste storage areas
- Store hazardous waste drums property 59
- Recognize and ensure use of appropriate containers for waste accumulation 89
- Identify and maintain continuous inventory of empty and full containers 61.
- Conduct audits and inspections to ensure waste management activities are in compliance with local, state and federal regulatory regulations 62.
- Perform audits and investigations of waste management activities **B**
- Package, load, and ship hazardous materials/waste in compliance with appropriate regulations B.
- Package and ship radioactive materials according to appropriate regulations 65.

- Implement proper labeling, handling, and control of hazardous materials
 - 67. Direct personnel in the proper labeling, handling and control of
 - hazardous materials
- Follow written company or regulatory operating procedures æ
- 69. Load trailers with hazardous waste drums and empty product drums for
 - Perform maintenance checks for hazardous waste permit requirements removal from site 29

at permitted storage areas

- 71. Inspect hazardous waste storage areas for compliance with appropriate
- 72. Conduct vendor audits

rules and regulations



	/ IMPORTANCE	FREQUENCY
Q3/		
APC3P3A TO	HOHABAN BAOR BOYBAN MOO MOTABAN	176700 A 176732 A 176
20	000000	00000
0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0	000000	0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0	000000	000000
0	0 0 0 0 0 0	31
0	000000	000000



Transportation and Storage (continued)

ACTIVITIES

- 73. Inspect integrity of plant tanks throughout the plant
- 74. Follow specific guidelines for patching various types of drum leaks

Freatment and Disposal

posal of hazardous materials that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Listed below are some activities/fasks related to treatment and dis-Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not performed, or importance and frequency.

ACTIVITIES

- Arrange and supervise onsite activities of waste treatment and disposal contractors
- Verify and document onsite activities of waste treatment and disposal
- Suggest improvements in the reduction, reuse, recycling, or disposal of contractors
 - Coordinate routine collection, draining and disposal of used containers all wastestreams 78
- Operate drum crusher <u>ق</u>
- Prepare accumulated stored hazardous waste for disposal
- Operate pumps to transfer chemicals and fill containers
- Monitor and operate volatile organic compound removal systems 85.
- Remove asbestos for disposal 83
- Properly decontaminate personnel and equipment at a hazardous waste site
- Hauf process wastes from plant in hazardous waste tanks to Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) for batch treatment 85.
- Operate and maintain WWTP 86.
- 87. Implement and follow disposal processes

NCV	176/20x 0 0		0		000000	0 0 0
FREGUENCY	1747 0 0 0 1747 0 0 0 1747 0 0 0	0000	0000	0000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	SHUNONO 0 0 0	Ŏ 0	0 0 	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0
MPORTANCE	BONGAN BAOGN O O	0	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0
	3000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	CHAROSHER LOW 0 0	0	0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0

Training

Listed below are some training activities/lasks that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not performed, or importance and frequency

ACTIVITIES

_	
88. Complete training programs such as HazMat and HazCom	90 Assist in the development of promotional and instructional

- Assist in the development of promotional, educational, and instructional materials necessary to implement recycling program operation
- 90. Provide on-the-job training to management staff, operations, maintenance, and administration personnel in accordance with company policy
- 91. Develop training programs for site personnel involved in hazardous materials management
- Assist in establishing standard operating procedures (SOPs)
- 93. Disseminate HazMat information throughout the company
- 94. Assist in the dissemination of HazMat information throughout the
- 95. Provide training to personnel as assigned

company

- 96. Provide training for members of an emergency response team
- Receive and utilize training in the use of personal protective equipment, including respirators, protective clothing, and protective eyewear
- 98. Provide training in the use of personal protective equipment, including respirators, protective dolhing, and protective eyewear
- 99. Complete appropriate "Train the Trainer" training program
- 100. Complete AHERA certified Facilities Survey and ManagementPlanning Asbestos Course

	_					•		w
			· .		,			Ç. 3
FREQUENCY	A PARON O CONTROL OF C		0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	. ,
/ / IMPORTANCE	MOTABAN (0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	OBWADO Y PAR TOW	0 0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 0	



Sampling and Analysis

Listed below are some sampling and analysis activities/tasks that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not performed, or importance and frequency

ACTIVITIES

- Perform and document sampling for waste characterization purposes 101
 - Perform routine field laboratory tests according to instructions 102.
- 103. Operate and calibrate simple test equipment
- 104. Perform routine maintenance of field equipment
- 105. Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables
- Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data 106.
- 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data
- 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data
- 109. Input data for computer processing
- 110. Collect soil samples
- Collect water samples Ξ.
- Collect air samples 112.
- Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 133
- Collect hazardous waste samples 14
- Conduct laboratory tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 15
- 116. Interpret laboratory sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits
- 117. Asbestos bulk sampling
- 118. Collect, prepare and ship samples to authorized laboratory

FREQUENCY	1 Notes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	
	30V52AV 3AOSV 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0000000000

Safety (part 1)

Salety (part 1)		/ /	
Listed below is a list of safety related equipment that HazMat techni-		IMIONITANCE	HEOUENCY
cians should know when and how to appropriately use (including fit testing and regulatory requirements). Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not per-	OBN		
formed, or importance and frequency.	ROSP	163NV 36 / MC	1 1 5 5
EQUIPMENT	# 10v	THANKAN HOWN MON MON MON	THOOH IN THE WORK OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
119. Gas mask	0	000000	00000
120. Air Purifying Respirator (APR)	0	0000000	000000
121. Supplied Air Respirator (SAR)	0	0000000	000000
122. Steel-toed boots/shoes	0	0000000	000000
123. Gloves	0	0000000	0000000
124. Safety glasses/goggles	0	0000000	0000000
125. Face shield	0	000000	0000000
126. Hard hat	0	0000000	0000000
	0	0000000	0000000
128. Fume hoods	0	0000000	0000000
129. Spill kits	0	0000000	0000000
130. Personal monitoring equipment	0	0000000	0000000
131. Personal protective equipment (level A, B, C, D)	0	0000000	000000
	-		
		- ,	
· mg/.dg-			
© €			
90			



Safety (part 2)

Listed below are some recognized "short-course" training topics that HazMat technicians should have taken in addition to other course work. Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not performed, or importance and frequency.

TRAINING TOPICS

- 132. Right-to-know-----HazCom
- 133. Hazardous materials handling (OSHA 24 hrs.)
- 134. Fire safety
- 135. Forklift operation
- 136. Transportation of hazardous materials
- 137. Respiratory protection
- 138. Lockout/tagout training
- 139. Hearing conservation
- 140. Confined space entry
 - 141. Electrical safety
- 142. Spill response
- 43. HAZWOPER (OSHA 40 hrs.)
- 44. Laboratory safety
- 145. Asbestos abatement
- 146. Infectious waste and Blood borne pathogens
- 147. Mechanical safety
- 148. Lifting and back protection
- 149. Lead
- 150. Ladders and scaffolding
- 151. Excavation
- 152. Radiation Worker Training

/ ACA	<u></u>	A THIRDH	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	 		 	7
/ FREQUENCY		A THE SAME OF THE PROPERTY OF	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	000000				,
ANCE	\	JINST JINST	10	0	0	<u>ŏ</u>	Ŏ	0	<u>ŏ</u>	Ŏ O	Ŏ O	Ŏ	<u>0</u>	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	0	ŏ	ŏ	0]
IMPORTANCE	Porest /	AV 3 NOW AND THE AND T	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	000000	0000000	0000000		_		
	 3NbO	AR39 TOM	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0]

Understanding & Implementing OSHA/Equipment

Listed below are some tools and equipment that HazMat technicians may need to operate. Please fill in the appropriate ovals: not performed, or importance and frequency

ACTIVITIES

E	
Š	
Operate	
53. C	

- 54. Operate drum wrenches
- 155. Operate and maintain transfer equipment
- 156. Operate and calibrate air monitoring
- 157. Operate and calibrate oxygen monitor
- 58. Utilize calometric tubes
- 159. Operate and calibrate electrical meters
- 160. Operate and maintain hand tools
- Operate, calibrate, and maintain air velocity meter
- 162. Operate, calibrate, and maintain infrared monitors
- 163. Operate tugs
- 164. Operate trucks
- 65. Operate overhead hoists
- 166. Deploy containment booms
- 167. Operate and maintain triple beam and electrical balances
- 168. Operate cranes
- 169. Operate personal computers
- 170. Read gauges
- 171. Operate pumps
 - 172. Operate valves
- 173. Operate and calibrate pH meter
- 174. Operate and set up automated composite water sampler
 - 175. Operate grab samplers
 - 176. Operate power tool
- 177. Use brooms and shovels

	_																													(T)
		_	\	_																										
I PROJENCY			1 70 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 /	2/2/2/2/2/	000000	0000000	0000000	000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	000000	000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	000000	000000	0000000	
_		\	HOU	\																										-
/ / IMPORTANCE		30 VC	3NK	* 0 10 0 5/0	000000	0000000	0000000	000000	0000000	0000000	000000	000000	000000	000000	000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	
	\	O3Nb	DARBA 10		$\overline{\cap}$	^				_	_	_				_	_	^	_	_			_				_			



HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIAN SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

	DESCRIPTION	Avg. Score	# of srvys
	1. 29 CFR 1940 to 1926	24.17	166
	2. DOT/HMTA	16.56	167
4	3. EPA	28.38	164
B	4. CERCLA	20.01	164
5	5. RCRA	28.16	162
	6. DoD	7.38	164
	7. DOE	8.35	160 .
8	8. State of X	27.41	162
	9. TSCA	11.68	162
	10. FDA	4.23	166
	11. FIFRA	6.30	162
	12. CAA	11.35	165
	13. CWA	14.57	166
	14. SDWA	11.52	162
	15. NESHAP	10.14	159
	16. AHERA	8.47	163
	17. Identify major regulatory bodies and their jurisdiction	23.25	164
?	18. Describe the regulatory process	25.28	165
	19. Identify and describe the penalties of non-compliance	22.71	164
۲	20. Apply current regulatory procedures	33.85	165
,	21. Assure compliance with appropriate regulations	34.41	169
	22. Secure permits for waste disposal	12.39	168
	23. Research regulation changes and the impact the change shave on the business	19.19	167

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

2

44

24. Compile and maintain a hazardous materials inventory	16.78	166
25. Record and maintain documentation of all waste disposal activities	20.13	165
26. Compile and maintain documentation of all hazardous materials, including field notebooks, laboratory data, vendor invoices, purchase orders, Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS), manifests, and shipping documents	18.42	167
27. Follow project Health and Safety Plan, Initial Sampling Plan, Assessment Plan, Remediation Plan, Risk Assessment, Site Closure Plan, and Waste Minimization Plan	21.28	168
28. Assist and contribute to project reports, Health and Safety Plan, Assessment Plan, Remediation Plan, Risk Assessment, Site Closure Plan, and Remediation Plan	19.52	168
29. Compile and maintain records to prepare compliance reports for environmental permits	15.47	167
30. Verify manifesting process related to the shipping and relocating of hazardous materials	17.72	166
31. Ensure current Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) are available in the workplace	15.86	166
32. Utilize and Interpret MSDS	24.28	167
33. Record meter and gauge readings	14.09	162
34. Operate and maintain auditable record keeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements	17.77	163
35. Prepare, approve, sign, and maintain hazardous waste manifests, and maintain copies for inspection by regulators	12.33	166
36. Conduct and maintain a chemical inventory of hazardous materials and lab packs	12.82	165
37. Idnetify and label hazardous materials for shipping and storage	15.44	165
38. Identify and characterize hazardous materials and wastestreams for shipping and storage which would include appropriate warnings and regulatory requirements	16.13	160
39. Provide proper labeling insturctions for all wastestreams	14.23	166



40. Employ proper labeling insturctions for all wastestreams	15.02	163
41. Identify empty drums for use at various plants	9.61	166
42. Identify types of hazardous materials	24.74	167
43. Identify characteristics of the major classes of hazardous materials	23.19	163
44. Contact suppliers for product information	13.69	162
45. Generate labels and safe use instructions for materials when shipment is received	8.20	164
46. Label issued containers with appropriate identification and expiration information	10.38	165
47. Label containers of repackaged materials with hazardous material warnings as appropriate	11.22	165
48. Participate as a member of an emergency response team	14.78	164
49. Demonstrate ability to function individually or as a member of an emergency response team	14.78	161
50. Successfully complete HAZWOPER course	8.38	164
51. Ensure adequate spill supplies are available at all times	15.36	163
52. Recognize necessary components for spill response	17.87	164
53. Participate in the development of plant emergency response programs	11.22	166
54. First Aid/CPR	7.65	164
55. Ensure and perform timely, routine movement of wastes from point of origin to waste pads for disposal	12.98	164
56. Verify use of appropriate containers for waste accumulation	17.59	164
57. Ensure and implement sound hosuekeeping in hazardous waste equipment storage location	18.56	163
58. Ensure and maintain security of waste storage areas	14.98	164
59. Store hazardous waste drums properly	17.85	163
60. Recognize and ensure use of appropriate containers for waste accumulation	19.75	162



12.05	165
20.47	162
17.96	165
12.99	161
4.47	161
17.72	165
18.28	162
24.15	162
7.66	163
11.77	165
19.95	165
3.82	165
10.08	158
5.48	158
9.55	162
13.18	161
18.19	162
9.28	160
	20.47 17.96 12.99 4.47 17.72 18.28 24.15 7.66 11.77 19.95 3.82 10.08 5.48 9.55 13.18 18.19



79. Operate drum crusher	3.49	162
80. Prepare accumulated stored hazardous waste for disposal	10.39	160
81. Operate pumps to trnasfer chemicals and fill containers	7.45	163
82. Monitor and operate volatile organic compound removal systems	6.66	160
83. Remove asbestos for disposal	3.60	160
84. Properly decontaminate personnel and equipment at a hazardous waste site	9.41	161
85. Haul process wastes from plant in hazardous waste tanks to Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) for batch treatment	2.50	161
86. Operate and maintain WWTP	3.12	162
87. Implement and follow disposal processes	11.03	162
88. Complete training programs such as HazMat and HazCom	10.06	162
89. Assist in the development of promotional, educational, and instructional materials necessary to implement recycling program operation	8.41	162
90. Provide on-the-job training to management staff, operations, maintenance, and administration personnel in accordance with company policy	10.81	162
91. Develop training programs for site personnel involved in hazardous materials management	7.41	160
92. Assist in establishing standard operation procedures (SOPs)	12.28	160
93. Disseminate HazMat information throughout the company	12.18	157
94. Assist in the dissemination of HazMat information throughout the company	13.52	155
95. Provide training to personnel as assigned	13.19	160
96. Provide training for members of an emergency response team	5.98	158
97. Receive and utilize training in the use of personal protective equipment, including respirators, protective clothing, and protective eyewear	14.64	161



authorized laboratory			
100. Complete AHERA certified Facilities Survey and Management Planning Asbestos Course 101. Perform and document sampling for waste characterization purposes 102. Perofrm routine field laboratory tests according to instructions 103. Operate and calibrate simple test equipment 104. Perform routine maintenance of field equipment 105. Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables 106. Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 109. Input data for computer processing 110. Collect soil samples 111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratry sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to analyzed laboratory 119. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to analyzed laboratory 119. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to analyzed laboratory 119. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to analyzed laboratory	protective equipment, including respirators.	9.95	160
Survey and Management Planning Asbestos Course 101. Perform and document sampling for waste characterization purposes 102. Perofrm routine field laboratory tests according to instructions 103. Operate and calibrate simple test equipment 104. Perform routine maintenance of field equipment 105. Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables 106. Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 109. Input data for computer processing 110. Collect soil samples 111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 164 authorized laboratory	99. Complete appropriate "Train the Trainer" training program	3.77	159
101. Perform and document sampling for waste characterization purposes 102. Perofrm routine field laboratory tests according to instructions 103. Operate and calibrate simple test equipment 104. Perform routine maintenance of field equipment 105. Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables 106. Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 100. Collect soil samples 110. Collect water samples 111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 164 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 164	100. Complete AHERA certified Facilities Survey and Management Planning Asbestos Course	2.17	162
103. Operate and calibrate simple test equipment 104. Perform routine maintenance of field equipment 105. Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables 106. Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 110. Collect soil samples 111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 119. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 119. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 110. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 111. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70		16.18	163
equipment 104. Perform routine maintenance of field equipment 105. Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables 106. Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data 11.59 162 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 110. Collect soil samples 111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 119.	102. Perofrm routine field laboratory tests according to instructions	13.07	163
equipment 105. Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables 106. Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 100. Collect soil samples 100. Collect water samples 100. Collect water samples 100. Collect air samples 100. Collect air samples 100. Tonduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 100. Collect hazardous waste samples 10	I TELEVISION CONTENT OF STUDIE CESC	16.25	163
following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables 106. Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data 11.59 162 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 12.35 157 110. Collect soil samples 111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to data analyze laboratory 119. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to data analyze laboratory 110. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to data analyze laboratory 110. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to data analyze laboratory 119. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to data analyze laboratory 110. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to data analyze laboratory	l	12.45	163
plotted and tabulated test data 107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data 11.59 162 108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 12.35 157 110. Collect soil samples 12.50 160 111. Collect water samples 12.50 163 112. Collect air samples 10.13 161 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 110. Collect air samples 11	following existing formulae and standard	14.96	162
108. Assist engineers in the analysis of the data 109. Input data for computer processing 110. Collect soil samples 111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to data and samples data and samp		9.60	163
109. Input data for computer processing 12.35 157 110. Collect soil samples 112.50 160 111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 164 119. Conduct laboratory	107. Collect, tabulate, and compute test data	11.59	162
110. Collect soil samples 12.50 160 111. Collect water samples 12.50 163 112. Collect air samples 10.13 161 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory 119. Conduct laboratory 110. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory		9.80	162
111. Collect water samples 112. Collect air samples 112. Collect air samples 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory 119. Conduct laboratory 110. Collect hazardous waste samples 15.04 162 164 175. Asbestos bulk sampling 18.29 164 176. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory	109. Input data for computer processing	12.35	157
112. Collect air samples 10.13 113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory 119. Conduct laboratory 119. Collect hazardous waste samples 15.04 162 163 164	110. Collect soil samples	12.50	160
113. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 15.04 162 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 162 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 15.22 161 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory	111. Collect water samples	15.39	163
water samples 114. Collect hazardous waste samples 115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory 119. Conduct laboratory 110. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory	112. Collect air samples	10.13	161
115. Conduct laboratroy tests to analyze hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory 119. Conduct laboratory tests to analyze 4.79 110. Conduct laboratroy sample analysis and 5.22 110. Conduct laboratroy limits 5.22 1110. Conduct laboratroy limits 5.22 1110. Conduct laboratroy 1.00 110. Conduct laboratr		10.51	159
hazardous waste samples 116. Interpret laboratyr sample analysis and compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory 110. Constitution of the sample of the samp	114. Collect hazardous waste samples	15.04	162
compare it to regulatory limits 117. Asbestos bulk sampling 118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory 110. Collect is a sample and ship sampels to authorized laboratory 110. Collect is a sample and ship sample and sa		4.79	162
118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to 15.70 164 authorized laboratory		18.29	164
authorized laboratory	117. Asbestos bulk sampling	5.22	161
119. Gas mask 10.27 155	118. Collect, prepare and ship sampels to authorized laboratory	15.70	164
	119. Gas mask	10.27	155



		
120. Air Purifying Respirator (APR)	16.18	161
121. Supplied Air Respirator (SAR)	12.39	159
122. Steel-toed boots/shoes	22.53	158
123. Gloves	25.38	161
124. Safety glasses/goggles	27.21	159
125. Face shield	16.97	158
126. Hard hat	21.68	158
127. Coveralls	17.51	160
128. Fume hoods	-1.13	160
129. Spill kits	15.56	160
130. Personal monitoring equipment	16.71	161
131. Personal protective equipment (level A, B, C, D)	20.02	160
132. Right-to-know HazCom	12.16	160
133. Hazardous materils handling (OSHA 24 hrs.)	9.24	160
134. Fire safety	8.61	159
135. Forklift operation	2.93	157
136. Transportation of hazardous materials	8.28	159
137. Respiratory protection	11.44	160
138. Lockout/tagout training	5.58	159
139. Hearing conservation	7.06	158
140. Confined space entry	7.27	158
141. Electrical safety	6.15	157
142. Spill response	9.51	160
143. HAZWOPER (OSHA 40 hrs.)	7.17	158
144. Laboratory safety	5.30	157
145. Asbestos abatement	3.37	156
146. Infectious waste and Blood borne pathogens	5.60	158
147. Mechanical safety	5.04	158
148. Lifting and back protection	6.65	158
149. Lead	6.77	157



150. Ladders and scaffolding	4.14	157
151. Excavation	6.01	157
152. Radiation Worker Training	3.80	160
153. Operate fork lift	5.20	158
154. Operate drum wrenches	11.31	157
155. Operate and maintain transfer equipment	7.89	157
156. Operate and calibrate air monitoring	13.08	159
157. Operate and calibrate oxygen monitor	12.85	158
158. Utilize calometric tubes	9.02	157
159. Operate and calibrate electrical meters	6.87	158
160. Operate and maintain hand tools	12.88	156
161. Operate, calibrate, and maintain air velocity meter	6.24	158
162. Operate, calibrate, and maintain infrared monitors	4.39	159
163. Operate tugs	2.09	158
164. Operate trucks	7.46	158
165. Operate overhead hoists	4.49	155
166. Deploy containment booms	5.46	157
167. Operate and maintian triple beam and electrical balances	3.96	157
168. Operate cranes	- 2.12	157
169. Operate personal computers	29.10	158
170. Read gauges	17.10	158
171. Operate pumps	10.89	157
172. Operate valves	10.58	158
173. Operate and calibrate pH meter	12.81	155
174. Operate and set up automated composite water sampler	8.26	159
175. Operate grab samplers	11.48	159
176. Operate power tool	. 9.50	160
177. Use brooms and shovels	12.04	158



Survey Used to Write Skills Standard

This survey was sent to approximately 300 business/industry representatives to pinpoint skills that needed to be included in the skills standard.



NATIONAL SKILLS STANDARD PROJECT HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIAN SURVEY

To help us accurately define the skills needed for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians to be successful in their occupations, we need your help. The enclosed material will help define the requirements of the occupation. Knowing some details about your background and experience will help us analyze the information. Please answer the following questions about yourself and your organization.

1. About you				
Your age: 20-29, 30-39	, 40-49, 50-	-59, >60		
Your sex: M, F				
Years of Environmental work ex	sperience, <5, 5-9), 10-19_	, >20	
2. Your education				
A. Degree or Diploma		Speciali	tv	
none			• 7	
high school				
Associate of Science (A	S or AAS)			
Bacholar Degree (BS, I				
Masters Degree (MS, M				
Doctors Degree (PhD, I				
3. Which best describes your current job	function			
Administration/Management				
Technician				
Engineer				
Scientist				
Teacher/Instructor				
Salesman				
Other (please specify)				
4. Which hast describes your association	_			
4. Which best describes your organization	n 	•	N.C.11.	
Chemical / Petrolum Producer_	, Municipali	ity,	Military	
Government Laboratory	_, Professional Society	У	Education	
Private Research Laboratory			,	
Manufacturing (please specify ty)	
Consulting (please specify the sp				
Labor Union Representative(ple				
Other (please specify		,		
5. Number of employees in your organization	ation 6.	Principle locati	on (state)	
7. Do you or your organization employ F	Jazardous Materials Ma	anagement Tecl	nnicians? If ves. list ic	ob titles.
If no, who is responsible for hazardous m				
response, etc.?				
8. Do you or your organization employ p Please list job titles.	eople with training in l	Environmental	Science? In what capa	acity?
9. What is your organization's, single, m	ost critical concern rela	ative to hazardo	us materials managen	nent.



HAZARDOUS MATERIAL MANAGEMENT TECHNICIAN TASK/ACTIVITY ANALYSIS

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION A: The following is a list of environmental regulations that HazMat Technicians may need to understand. Help identify the level of knowledge that a technician needs to understand these regulations by choosing a verb from the list (or other verb) that best describes the technicians work. Please add regulations that may be missing or draw a line through any that are not needed. In the left margin place a "I", "2", or "3" to indicate the three most important regulations.

A. Regulations

- 1. Knowledge of the following regulations
 - a. 29 CFR 1900 to 1926
 - b. DOT
 - c. EPA
 - d. CERCLA
 - e. RCRA
 - f. DoD
 - g. DOE
 - h. State of X

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PART 2: Evaluate the following tasks that HazMat technicians may perform. Pay particular attention to the underlined verbs. If the given verbs are not correct, please select another one from the list provided or suggest an alternate. If the activity is not required of HazMat technicians cross it out, but add additional tasks when appropriate. In the left margin, place the number "1" for the highest priority task, a number "2" for the next most important task and the number "3" for next. Only the top three tasks need to be ranked.

- 2. Activities related to regulations
 - a. Identify major regulatory bodies and their jurisdiction
 - b. Describe the regulatory process
 - c. Identify and describe the penalties for non-compliance
 - d. Apply current regulatory procedures
 - e. Assure compliance with appropriate regulations
 - f. Secure permits for waste disposal.
 - g. Research regulation changes and evaluate the impact the changes have on the business.



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION B: Listed below are some record keeping activities/tasks that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Consider the underlined verb. If it is not correct, replace it with one from the attached verb list or a similar verb. If the task is not performed by a HazMat technician cross it out. Add others if necessary. In the left margin place a "1", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most important activities.

- B. Record Keeping
 - 1. Maintain a Hazardous Waste inventory
 - 2. Record and maintain documentation of all waste disposal activities
 - 3. Approve waste disposal vendor invoices
 - 4. Generate project reports such as Health and Safety report, Initial Sampling Plan, Assessment Plan, Remediation Plan, Risk Assessment, Site Closure Plan.
 - 5. Prepare records for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES).
 - 6. Maintain sewage logs
 - 7. Design reports to confirm that existing products are coded correctly.
 - 8. <u>Verify</u> manifesting process related to the shipping and relocating of hazardous materials
 - 9. Review purchase reports for new products
 - 10. <u>Complete</u> and <u>submit</u> regulatory reports such as manifests, updates, plans and correspondence.
 - 11. Prepare reports on audits and inspections
 - 12. Ensure current Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS's) are available in the workplace.
 - 13. Record meter and gauge readings
 - 14. Maintain a laboratory notebook which includes test results
 - 15. <u>Develop</u> and <u>maintain</u> auditable record keeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements.
 - 16. <u>Prepare</u>, <u>approve</u>, <u>sign</u> and <u>maintain</u> hazardous waste manifests, maintain copies for inspection by regulators
 - 17. Write MSDS's for new products
 - 18. Set-up, operate and administer record keeping systems



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION C: Listed below are some activities/tasks associated with the identification and labeling of hazardous materials that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Consider the underlined verb. If it is not correct, replace it with one from the attached verb list or a similar verb. If the task is not performed by a HazMat technician cross it out. Add others if necessary. In the left margin place a "1", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most important activities.

C. Identification and Labeling

- 1. Conduct a chemical inventory of waste materials and lab packs.
- 2. <u>Identify</u> and <u>label</u> hazardous material for shipping or storage.
- 3. Provide proper labeling instructions for all waste streams
- 4. Color code empty drums for use at various plants
- 5. Identify types of hazardous materials
- 6. Identify major characteristics of hazardous materials
- 7. <u>Develop</u> and <u>design</u> product labels for new commercial products
- 8. Contact suppliers for product information
- 9. Generate labels and Safe Use Instructions for materials as shipment is received.
- 10. Label issued containers with applicable identification and expiration information.
- 11. <u>Label</u> containers of repackaged materials with hazardous material warnings as appropriate.



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION D: Listed below are some emergency response activities/tasks that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Consider the underlined verb. If it is not correct, replace it with one from the attached verb list or a similar verb. If the task is not performed by a HazMat technician cross it out. Add others if necessary. In the left margin place a "1", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most important activities.

D. Emergency Response

- 1. Participate as a member of an emergency response team
- 2. <u>Lead an emergency response team.</u>
- 3. Attend a certified HAZWOPER course
- 4. Maintain spill carts
- 5. Ensure adequate spill supplies are available at all times.
- 6. Participate in the development of plant emergency response programs
- 7. Ensure Plant Spill Response team's readiness--staffing, training, equipment
- 8. <u>Develop</u> a hazardous materials emergency response plan.



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION E: Listed below are some activities/tasks related to transportation and storage of hazardous materials that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Consider the underlined verb. If it is not correct, replace it with one from the attached verb list or a similar verb. If the task is not performed by a HazMat technician cross it out. Add others if necessary. In the left margin place a "I", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most important activities.

E. Transportation and Storage

- 1. Ensure timely, routine movement of wastes from point of origin to waste pads for disposal
- 2. <u>Verify</u> use of appropriate containers for waste accumulation
- 3. Ensure sound housekeeping in hazardous waste and equipment storage locations.
- 4. Ensure security of waste storage areas
- 5. Store hazardous waste drums properly
- 6. Color-code empty drums to identify acceptable uses
- 7. Ensure use of appropriate containers for waste accumulation
- 8. Maintain continuous inventory of full and empty waste containers
- 9. Assure adequate inventory of empty drums for routine waste accumulation.
- 10. <u>Conduct</u> audits and investigations to assure waste management activities are in compliance with appropriate local, state, federal and agency regulations.
- 11. <u>Package</u> and <u>ship</u> hazardous materials/waste in compliance with appropriate regulations
- 12. Package and ship radioactive materials according to appropriate regulations
- 13. <u>Direct</u> personnel in the proper labeling, handling and control of hazardous materials.
- 14. <u>Review company's operating procedures to assure they are in compliance with applicable regulations</u>
- 15. <u>Conduct</u> field audits of the procedures to verify their effective implementation by other personnel.
- 16. <u>Load</u> trailers with hazardous waste drums and empty product drums for removal from site.



- 17. <u>Perform</u> maintenance checks for hazardous waste permit requirements at permitted storage areas
- 18. <u>Inspect</u> hazardous waste storage areas for compliance with appropriate rules and regulations.
- 19. Inspects integrity of plant tanks throughout the plant
- 20. Follow specific guidelines for patching various types of drum leaks.



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION F: Listed below are some activities/tasks related to treatment and disposal of hazardous materials that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Consider the underlined verb. If it is not correct, replace it with one from the attached verb list or a similar verb. If the task is not performed by a HazMat technician cross it out. Add others if necessary. In the left margin place a "1", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most important activities.

F. Treatment and Disposal

- 1. <u>Arrange</u> and <u>supervise</u> on-site activities of waste treatment and disposal contractors.
- 2. <u>Suggest</u> improvements in the reduction, reuse, recycling or disposal of all waste streams.
- 3. Arrange for purchase and disposal of empty drums
- 4. Coordinate routine collection, draining, and disposal of used containers
- 5. Operate drum crusher
- 6. <u>Prepare</u> accumulated stored hazardous waste for disposal by <u>deciding</u> if it should be
 - a. prepared for sale
 - b. processed by chemical treatment
 - c. picked up for outside disposal
- 7. Operate pumps to transfer chemicals and fill containers
- 8. Monitor and operate volatile organic compound removal systems
- 9. Remove asbestos for disposal
- 10. Decontaminates equipment used at a hazardous waste site.
- 11. <u>Install</u> a groundwater monitoring well.
- 12. <u>Haul process</u> wastes from plant in hazardous waste tanks to WWTP for batch treatment
- 13. Operate and maintain Waste Water Treatment Plant.



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION G: Listed below are some training activities/tasks that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Consider the underlined verb. If it is not correct, replace it with one from the attached verb list or a similar verb. If the task is not performed by a HazMat technician cross it out. Add others if necessary. In the left margin place a "1", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most important activities.

G. Training

- 1. Evaluate training regarding HazMat and HazCom
- 2. <u>Develop</u> promotional, educational and instructional literature necessary to implement recycling program operations
- 3. <u>Develop</u> and <u>present</u> public education programs
- 4. <u>Provide</u> on-the-job-training to management staff, operations, maintenance and administration personnel in accordance with company policy
- 5. <u>Develop</u> training programs for site personnel involved in waste management work.
- 6. Assist in establishing standard operation procedures (SOP's)
- 7. Disseminate HazMat information throughout the company
- 8. Provide training to personnel as assigned.
- 9. Provide training for members of an emergency response team
- 10. <u>Provide</u> training in the use of personal protective equipment, including respirators, protective clothing and protective eyewear
- 11. Develop a training program for drivers who transport hazardous materials.



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION H: Listed below are some sampling and analysis activities/tasks that HazMat technicians may need to perform. Consider the underlined verb. If it is not correct, replace it with one from the attached verb list or a similar verb. If the task is not performed by a HazMat technician cross it out. Add others if necessary. In the left margin place a "1", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most important activities. Fill in the blanks in responses #3 and #20.

H. Sampling and Analysis

- 1. Perform and document sampling for waste characterizations purposes.
- 2. Perform routine laboratory tests according to detailed instructions
- 3. Operate and calibrate simple test equipment.
- 4. Repair and/or replace damaged or malfunctioning equipment
- 5. <u>Perform</u> mathematical calculations following existing formulae and standard mathematical tables
- 6. Prepare graphs, charts, and curves from plotted and tabulated test data.
- 7. Collect, tabulate and compute test data and assist engineers in the analysis of the
- 8. Input data for computer processing
- 9. Collect soil samples
- 10. Collect water samples
- 11. Collect air samples
- 12. Monitor air quality
- 13. Monitor water quality
- 14. Conduct laboratory tests to analyze soil samples
- 15. Conduct laboratory tests to analyze water samples
- 16. Conduct field tests to analyze soil and water samples
- 17. Collect hazardous waste sample
- 18. Conduct laboratory tests to analyze hazardous waste sample
- 19. Interpret laboratory sample analysis and compares it to regulatory limits.
- 20. Calibrate laboratory equipment.



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION II: Listed below is a list of safety related equipment that HazMat technicians may need to use. Cross out any devices that do not apply and add others that may be missing.

I. Safety

- 1. Know when and how to used the following personal protective equipment (PPE)
 - a. dust mask
 - b. cartridge respirator
 - c. self contained breathing apparatus
 - d. steel-toed boots
 - e. gloves
 - f. safety glasses
 - g. goggles
 - h. face shield
 - i. hard-hat
 - j. coveralls



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION 12: Listed below are some recognized "short-course" training topics that HazMat technicians should have taken in addition to other course work. Consider the given topic and cross it out if the topic is not necessary. To compare the importance of each give an estimate of the number of hours of training desired for each topic. Place a "1", "2" or "3" in the left margin to indicate the three most important topics.

- 2. <u>Understand</u> and <u>implement</u> the training requirements of OSHA and other agencies regarding:
 - a. Right-to-know----HazCom
 - b. Hazardous Materials Handling (OSHA 24 hrs)
 - c. Fire Safety
 - d. Forklift Operation
 - e. Lifting and Back Protection
 - f. Transportation of Hazardous Materials
 - g. Respiratory Protection
 - h. Lockout/Tagout Training
 - i. Hearing Conservation
 - j. Confined Space Entry
 - k. Electrical Safety



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION J: Listed below are some tools and equipment that HazMat technicians may need to operate. Choose a verb from the attached list or a similar verb that most closely describes the involvement that a HazMat technician will have with the tool or equipment. If the tool or equipment is not used by a HazMat technician cross it out. Add others as necessary. In the left margin place a "1", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most important tools used.

J. Equipment

- 1. fork lift
- 2. drum wrenches
- 3. transfer equipment
- 4. air monitoring
- 5. absorbents
- 6. neutralizers
- 7. oxygen monitor
- 8. calorimetric tubes
- 9. electrical meters
- 10. hand tools
- 11. air velocity meter
- 12. infrared monitors
- 13. tugs,
- 14. trucks
- 15. overhead hoists
- 16. floats
- 17. triple beam and electronic balances
- 18. microscope
- 19. microwave ovens
- 20. cranes



- 21. personal computers
- 22. gauges
- 23. pumps
- 24. valves
- 25. pH meter
- 26. automated composite water sampler
- 27. grab samplers, water and waste



INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION K: Listed below is a list of materials that HazMat technicians may control or work with. Add to the list or give a more complete description of the materials already on the list. In the left margin place a "1", "2" or "3" to indicate the three most common materials encountered.

K. Materials Encountered

- 1. solvents and thinners
- 2. chemicals
- 3. paints
- 4. oils
- 5. fuels
- 6. asbestos
- 7. toluene
- 8. trichloroethane
- 9. acetone
- 10. alcohol
- 11. plastic resins
- 12. acrylic cements
- 13. fiberglass
- 14. liquid nitrogen
- 15. dry ice
- 16. toxic or semi-toxic metals



67

Survey Used as Validation

This survey was used to validate the knowledge/skills prior to including them in the standard.



JOB FUNCTION (A):

Evaluate hazardous materials and hazardous waste sample data.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

A1. Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulas and reference materials

/ a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

y b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	olication	Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

is task: List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



A2. Read and interpret blueprints, charts, curves, graphs, maps, plans, and spreadsheets from plotted and tabulated data

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	olication	Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



A3. Collect, tabulate, and assist in the evaluation of data, using appropriate techniques and technology such as:

calculators computers databases graphics spreadsheets

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	wareness			Application			Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
FORT	0	1	·2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



A4. Check laboratory and/or field sample analyses by comparing to regulatory limits

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				Application			Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10	
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10	
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	
TSTD	0	. 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (B):

Safely handle hazardous materials and hazardous wastes.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

B1. Use chemical reference materials to obtain information on proper chemical handling

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	olication	Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	. 6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



B2. Recognize, apply, and respond appropriately to chemical-hazard information

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not	Neede	i	Awareness				App	lication	Mastery		
All	0 .	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 .	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



B3. Direct personnel in the proper handling and control of hazardous materials and hazardous wastes

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				Application			Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



B4. Identify and implement safe ergonomic controls and procedures

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	t Neede	ed	Awareness				App	olication	Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



B5. Demonstrate safe handling procedures for chemical containers such as: bulk containers drums portable and stationary tanks

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A	warenes	s		App		Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	, 8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9.	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



B6. Identify and respond to emergencies, alarms, and abnormal situations in accordance with written procedures

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	olication	Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



B7. Identify and implement safe chemical-handling procedures such as:

bonding fire control grounding storage vapor control ventilation

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

	Not Needed			Awareness				App	Mastery			
	All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
•	CRT	. 0	1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



B8. Provide on-the-job training as required

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	olication	Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	. 4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (C):

Respond to hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste emergency situations in accordance with regulatory requirements.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

- C1. Perform as a team member on an emergency-response team
 - a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:
 - b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	Mastery			
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

- c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:
- d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):
- e) Assessment technique or procedure
- f) Schoolsite activity
- g) Worksite activity



C2. Ensure that adequate spill-control equipment and supplies are available at all times

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	olication	Mastery		
All	0 .	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



C3. Develop and implement an emergency-response program

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	Mastery			
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	. 8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



C5. Demonstrate competency and maintain certification in first aid and Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awa	areness		Application				Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5.	6	7	8	9	10	
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



C5. Follow guidelines for controlling leaks from containers

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed		A	wareness	3		Application				Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 ·	8	9	10		
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



C6. Consider environmental consequences of emergency situations and respond appropriately

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	wareness	S		App	Mastery			
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1 .	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6.	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (F):

Calibrate, operate, and maintain instrumentation.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

- F1. Operate, record, and evaluate meter- and gauge-reading trends and implement appropriate actions
 - a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:
 - b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	wareness	3		Application				Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		

c)	List background/supporting	knowledge necessar	v to successfully	complete this task
C)	LIST DACKETOUTION SUPPORTURE	MIOWICUEC IICCCSSM	A IO SUCCESSIBILA	COMPLETE MIS MISK.

- d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):
- e) Assessment technique or procedure
- f) Schoolsite activity
- g) Worksite activity



F2. Perform routine maintenance of equipment and instrumentation

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed		A	wareness	3		Apr	Mastery			
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



F3. Operate gauges, meters, and monitoring and sampling instrumentation

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		d	A	wareness	S		Application				Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



F4. Calibrate and operate field and laboratory instrumentation such as:
air-monitoring instrumentation
groundwater-monitoring instrumentation
soil-monitoring instrumentation
solid-waste-monitoring instrumentation
surface-water-monitoring instrumentation

a)	Give	iob	oriented	exam	oles .	anni	licable	io	an	HMMT
ш,	0	,00	01101100		P. CO	-pp		w	ш.	* ******

b)	For each area of technician specialization	select the appropriate level of accomplishment.
----	--	---

No	Not Needed		A	wareness	S		Application				Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10		
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

f) Schoolsite activity



d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

F5. Identify the need for and comply with factory calibration

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				Application				Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	. 6	7	8	9	10		
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



F6. Describe the difference between fluid and factory calibration and demonstrate their appropriate use

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awa	areness		Application				Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (G):

Compile, record, and maintain required documents for hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste management activities.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

- G1. Compile and maintain a hazardous-materials inventory
 - a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:
 - b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	vareness	3		Apı	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

- c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:
- d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):
- e) Assessment technique or procedure
- f) Schoolsite activity
- g) Worksite activity



G2. Compile and maintain documentation of hazardous materials, such as:

chain of custody
equipment calibration and maintenance
exception reports
field notebooks
incident documentation
laboratory data
manifests
MSDSs
purchase orders
shipping documents
vendor invoices

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awa	areness			Appl	ication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity





G3. Compile and maintain records to prepare compliance reports

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed			wareness	5		Apı	olication		Ma	stery
All	0.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 .	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



G4. Ensure current MSDSs are available in the workplace

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

.]	Not Need	ed	Α	warenes	s		App	plication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



G5. Operate and maintain auditable record-keeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A	wareness	5		App	dication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



G6. Conduct and maintain a hazardous-waste inventory

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not	Needed	i	Av	vareness	5		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



G7. Communicate with suppliers to obtain product identification and labeling

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed			wareness	3		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2 .	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



G8. Identify and maintain an inventory of empty and full containers

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

. No	Not Needed			wareness	S		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	r	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



G9. Compile and maintain personal health and safety records

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	wareness	5		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	. 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity

g) Worksite activity

G10. Read and interpret blueprints, flow diagrams, and schematics

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:



b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed			warenes	s		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	. 7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (H):

Implement procedures to comply with appropriate regulations.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

H1. Read and apply regulatory standards to ensure compliance in operations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not	Needed	d	Av	vareness	;		App	lication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H2. Obtain hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste permits and/or approvals

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not	Needed	l	Aw	areness			Appl	lication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H3. Describe the regulatory process, from the introduction of a bill to the promulgation of a regulation

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed			warenes	S		App	olication		Ма	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6.	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H4. Identify and describe the penalties for noncompliance

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		ed	A	wareness	s		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	. 8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H5. Differentiate between federal, state, and local hazardous materials and hazardous waste regulations and identify appropriate regulatory agencies

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		d	A۱	wareness	3		App	olication		Ма	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	.6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1 .	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9.	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H6. Identify regulatory changes and the impact they have on an operation

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed		A	wareness	S		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	. 1	2	3	4	5	6	. 7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H7. Comply with federal, state, and local hazardous-materials regulations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

	Not Needed		l	Awa	areness			Appl	ication		Mas	tery
	All	0	1 .	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
•	TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H8. Conduct audits and inspections to ensure hazardous-waste management activities are in compliance with federal, state, and local regulations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		ed	A	wareness	3		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	. 1	2	3	4	5	6	7 ·	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 `	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H9. Follow written, company-standard operating procedures

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awa	areness			Appli	ication		Mast	ery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1,	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H10. Comply with federal, state, and local health and safety regulations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		ed	A۱	wareness	3		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



H11. Identify sources of current or timely regulatory information

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

N	Not Needed		A	wareness	S		Apı	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5 -	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	. 10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (I):

Implement applicable safety regulations and procedures.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

I1. Demonstrate safe health and work habits

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed		A۱	vareness	;		App	lication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3 -	4	5	. 6	7	8	9	,10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



I2. Read and implement regulatory standards and guidance relative to worker safety and health such as:

blood-borne pathogens confined space emergency egress fire safety hearing conservation lockout/tagout

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Aw	areness			Appl	ication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



13. Identify and describe unsafe workplace and job conditions and implement corrective actions

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		ed .	A۱	wareness	\$		App	lication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (J):

Select and use appropriate personal protective equipment and respiratory protection.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

- J1. Use and interpret chemical reference materials in the selection of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) and respirators
 - a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:
 - b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				App	lication	Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	ö	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

- c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:
- d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):
- e) Assessment technique or procedure
- f) Schoolsite activity
- g) Worksite activity



J2. Communicate with suppliers and manufacturers to obtain personal protective and respiratory equipment information

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				Application				Mastery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
LAT	0	1,	2	3	4	5	6 '	7	8	9	10		
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



J3. Identify, describe, and use PPE appropriate to the work conditions

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		l	Aw	areness			App	lication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2 .	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



J4. Identify and describe the elements of respiratory protection and PPE plans

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		l	Awa	areness			Appl	ication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6 .	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2 .	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



J5. Identify, describe, and use respiratory protection appropriate to the work conditions

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT: -

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awa	areness			Appl	ication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



J6. Identify and describe hazards associated with the use and limitations of PPE and respiratory protection

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed		Av	wareness	.		App	lication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



J7. Maintain and inspect PPE and respiratory protection systems according to regulations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	t Neede	ed	A	wareness	3		App	lication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 .	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 -	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (K):

Collect, prepare, document, and ship samples for analysis.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

K1. Perform and document sampling for hazardous-waste characterization purposes

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		d	A۱	vareness	3		App	olication		Ma	stery
All			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



K2. Perform field tests according to instructions and procedures

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awa	reness			Appli	cation		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



K3. Calibrate and operate, as required, field-test equipment such as:

air-monitoring equipment bailers hand augers organic-vapor analyzers pumps radioactivity measuring equipment split spoons

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Aw	areness			Appl	ication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2 .	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



K4. In accordance with instructions and/or procedure, collect samples such as:

air and soil bulk materials groundwater solid wastes surface water

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed		1	Aw	areness			App	lication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



K5. Identify and demonstrate an ability to adjust procedures appropriately for potential sample interferences

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	t Neede	ed .	· A	wareness	8		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



K6. Decontaminate equipment in accordance with quality-control/quality-assurance procedures

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed		A۱	wareness	S		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	.4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



K7. Identify and describe the appropriate use, limitations, and applications of sampling equipment such as:

colorimetric indicator combustible-gas indicator organic-vapor analyzer

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

	Not Needed			Awa	reness			Applic	cation		Maste	ery
A	11	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
L	AT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
C	RT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
F	ORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
T	STD	0	1	2 ·	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



K8. Perform personnel-exposure monitoring in accordance with appropriate standards such as:

noise monitoring
oxygen monitoring
radiation dosimetry
temperature extremes
threshold limit value—biological-exposure indices

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Aw	areness			Appl	ication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	. 9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



K9. Prepare and ship samples to laboratory

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

	Not Needed			Awarer	ness		A	pplicati	on	N	lastery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	· 8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	Γ 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7,	8	9	10
TSTE	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (L):

Transport and store hazardous materials and hazardous waste in accordance with applicable regulations.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

L1. Monitor documentation related to the shipment of hazardous materials and hazardous wastes

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Av	vareness	3		Apı	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



L2. Identify incompatible combinations of chemicals that could result in dangerous situations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	wareness	3		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 .	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



L3. Label containers with appropriate identification and expiration information

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	wareness	3		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	.1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



L4. Safely package, load, document, and ship hazardous materials and hazardous wastes in compliance with appropriate regulations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not	Needed	i	Aw	areness			App	lication	٠	Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



L5. Inspect hazardous-waste storage areas for compliance with appropriate rules and regulations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	wareness	3		Apı	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



L6. Properly segregate and store incompatible hazardous materials and hazardous wastes

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A	wareness	5		App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	, 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



JOB FUNCTION (M):

Operate hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste treatment and disposal systems.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

M1. Record and maintain documentation of operations activities

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			, Av	vareness			App	olication		Ma	stery
All	0	1	. 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	i	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete thi	1112 (dS)	onibiete mis	лиу соші	Successium	: necessary u	Kilowieuge	pporung	grounwsup	List backgi	C)
--	-----------	--------------	----------	------------	---------------	------------	---------	-----------	-------------	----

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M2. Follow appropriate plans such as:

assessment plan
health and safety plan
initial sampling plan
remediation plan
risk-assessment plan
site-closure plan
standard operating procedures
waste-minimization plan

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Aw	areness			App	lication		Mas	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M3. Assist and contribute to the development and revision of plans and reports such as:

assessment plan
health and safety plan
initial sampling plan
remediation plan
risk-assessment plan
site-closure plan
standard operating procedures
waste-minimization plan

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awa	areness			Appl	ication		Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M4. Prepare and maintain hazardous-waste manifests and associated documents for inspection

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

	Not Needed			A۱	wareness	S		App	Ma	stery		
	All	. 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
. •	LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	. 10
	FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M5. Select appropriate drums and containers

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Neede	d	Awareness				Application				stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M6. Implement good housekeeping practices in the workplace

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Av	vareness	;		App	Ma	stery		
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M7. Check and document activities of hazardous-waste treatment and disposal contractors

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				Application				stery
All	0	1	2	3	4 .	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1 -	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M8. Working individually or with others, develop improvements in the reduction, reuse, recycling, or disposal of waste streams

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			A۱	vareness	3		Application			Ma	stery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M9. Coordinate collection and disposal of empty containers

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness			Application				Mas	tery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5 .	. 6	. 7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M10. Prepare accumulated hazardous waste for proper disposal

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not	Needed	i	Aw	areness	;		App		Mas	stery	
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M11. Identify and describe treatment, removal, and disposal systems such as:

bio-remediation chemical and physical deep-well injection incineration vitrification volatile organic compounds

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				Apr		Ma	stery	
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9.	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M12. Identify and describe hazards associated with abatement of materials such as: asbestos fiberglass lead

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

No	Not Needed			Awareness			App		Ma	stery	
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	. 6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M13. Identify and describe hazards associated with treatment, removal, and disposal systems and operations

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				Application				ery
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



M14. Provide on-the-job training as required

a) Give job oriented examples applicable to an HMMT:

b) For each area of technician specialization, select the appropriate level of accomplishment.

Not Needed			Awareness				Appl		Mas	tery	
All	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
LAT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
CRT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FORT	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TSTD	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

c) List background/supporting knowledge necessary to successfully complete this task:

d) List unique or specific attitudes (character traits):

e) Assessment technique or procedure

f) Schoolsite activity



Meeting Information (1 example)



Minutes of Meeting Minutes Hazardous Materials Management Skill Standard

Advisory Subcommittee on Certification

July 14 - 15, 1994
Roney Teaching Center, Waco, TX

Purpose of the Meeting:

Review current certification programs related to hazardous materials management that are maintained by professional societies. Investigate certification and licenser programs in other technology areas. Structure a framework that can be used for certification of Hazardous Materials Management Technicians that will be consistent with the Skills Standard being developed.

Meeting Activities:

Walt Edling, Vice President for Service Programs at CORD, gave an introduction to CORD and to the HazMat Skill Standard Project. He set the stage by explaining the importance of skill standards to the overall educational system and how they fit into a seamless curriculum leading from school to an occupation. Assessment and certification of the occupational skill plays a key role in this curriculum.

Jim Johnson give a review of the project activities to date and those activities planned for the remainder of the project. It was explained that Reggi Moore from NEHA will assist with the development of an industrial survey. He will begin by incorporating recommendations made during several focus group meetings into the current version of the task/activity outline. Particularly, recommendations made be advisory committee members during the June 17 Advisory Committee Meeting in Fort Worth will be integrated into the outline. A survey will be prepared from the results.

The representative of each professional society present gave an overview of the certification program they are affiliated with. This included the following:

Rick Richardson	NETA	Certified Environmental Trainer (CET)
Reggie Moore	NEHA	Registered Hazardous Substances Specialists
Dan McGrew	HMCRI	·
Jim Talley	NAEP	Professionals
lean Drevdahl	ARIH/RCSP	I.P.E.P CSP
Dan McGrew Jim Talley Jean Drevdahl	HMCRI NAEP ABIH/BCSP	Certified Environmental Professionals I.P.E.P

Certification and licensing associated with other technologies was investigated also. Jean Drevdahl explained the licensing requirements associated with nursing at different levels. Similarities and differences between state requirements was also discussed. Alan Sosbe gave an overview of the ASE certification for Automotive Technology. The ASE and NATEF have developed a process to certify training programs as well as individuals. This model appeared to have many similarities with the goals of the HazMat Skills Standard Certification efforts.

Valerie Sherwood explained the work that she was involved relative to assessment in the Skill Standards programs in Great Britain. Assessment is the key to a successful certification program. Several comments and questions were raised about "performance based" assessment. Although it was agreed that this was a desirable component of a certification program for technicians, care must be taken to assure that assessors are using common guidelines for the assessment



procedures. A reasonable method for technician certification may be to have the performance bases assessment accomplished during a training program rather than as part of a comprehensive exam at the end of the training program.

The meeting reconvened on Friday morning with group discussions. Each group was to design a certification framework and to make recommendations for future activities in this area. The summation of the discussions showed the following:

- a. pursues certification of training programs and of individuals completing those programs.
- b. attempt to work within an existing structure, such as the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE) for the certification of training programs.
- c. A comprehensive examination with a performance based component should be established for technicians. PETE as well as professional societies can provide this type of certification.
- d. in addition to a comprehensive certification, individuals should have the opportunity to be certified for specific specialties. These may include asbestos, lead, nuclear, etc.
- e. the Hazardous Materials Management Technology Skill Standard must be complete enough to serve as the basis for any certification program. It must also be accurate and include all aspects of the technology.
- f. each committee member agreed to evaluate the outline of skills to verify that is complete and accurate before NEHA completes and mails the survey form.
- g. the professions societies represented, agree to use their mailing lists to help distribute the survey to as wide of an audience as possible.
- h. arrangements will be made by Rick Richardson to discuss these concepts with the PETE Board of Directors.

Attached is the agenda for the meeting and a list of attendees.



Competency Certification Programs

by Charles L. Richardson

What is Certification?

cer-ti-fi-ca-tion n. Abbr. cert. 1. The act of certifying or certificating. 2. The state of being certified. 3. A certified statement.

cer-ti-fy v. -fied, -fying, -fies. --tr. 1. a. To confirm formally as true, accurate, or genuine; testify to or vouch for in writing. b. To guarantee as meeting a standard; attest.

cer-ti-fied adj. Abbr. cert. 1. Guaranteed in writing; vouched for; endorsed. 2. Holding a certificate.

- The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language

In specific reference to educational, training, or professional certification or *designation* programs, the term "certification" has a more specific meaning: the process or procedure of certifying an individual as *minimally competent and/or experienced* in a particular endeavor. In this specific context, there are two basic but slightly different types of certification. These differences can cause confusion when two people are discussing certification, but have different types of certification in mind. The two basic types of certification are:

- Voluntary. Voluntary certifications are those that people elect to acquire. People usually elect to acquire a certification to demonstrate to the public, employers, or potential employers that an independent third party has examined and approved their knowledge, capabilities, experience, or some combination of these. The independent party then attests, usually in writing, that the individual meets minimum standards of knowledge, capability, or experience. Most voluntary certifications are offered by professional associations and societies.
- Mandatory. Mandatory certifications are those required by a responsible authority, often a state government, so that a person may be permitted to perform certain tasks or work in a designated profession. Mandatory certifications also usually entail an examination of knowledge, capabilities, experience, or some combination of these. Although the purpose of mandatory certification also is to determine that a person possesses minimum knowledge, capabilities, or experience, the examining party is not necessarily independent. For example, state regulatory agencies often develop and administer their own exams. In many situations, mandatory certification is similar to licensing.



Complicating the discussion of certifications even further is the fact that some *voluntary* certifications have been made *mandatory* (or effectively mandatory) by employers and government authorities. Many governmental entities will accept documentation, applications, etc. only if submitted or countersigned by an individual with a specified certification. This is seen primarily in engineering, medical and public health areas. In the private sector, professional certifications are increasingly being required by some employers as a condition of obtaining or retaining employment. Since the promulgation of many new federal regulations by the U.S. EPA, OSHA, and Department of Transportation mandating training in environmental or environmental health and safety areas, my own organization has witnessed its certification, the Certified Environmental Trainer (CET) become required by many companies for employment or retention of employment in the field. Some municipalities, states, and federal entities (primarily the armed services) now have the CET as a contract preference item, if not a requirement.

What are "Certificate" Programs?

In addition to *certifications*, discussed above, there also are *certificate* courses or programs offered by a variety of organizations. Certificate programs are those for which a certificate of completion is provided following a course, seminar, workshop, or related collection of these. Certificate programs often are confused with true certification programs, and some vendors of the programs purposely encourage this confusion.

This is not to suggest negativity toward all certificate programs. Many programs are entirely reputable and appropriate for their purpose. They are offered by educational institutions and reputable professional associations, often as continuing education or by continuing education departments, and constitute good (or at least adequate) education or training. Those offered by educational institutions usually are directed to an audience that has a personal stake in getting the maximum amount of information or training from the program. This offers a level of assurance that students/attendees go away with increased knowledge or competency. But, there usually is no assurance of this, as certificate programs seldom include tests of any kind.

Some certificate programs are offered only because some authority, often a state or federal agency, has mandated some type of training (but have not also mandated minimum demonstrated results from the training on the part of the students/ attendees). Employers are then obliged to seek some way of meeting often ill-defined requirements. Naturally, when there are dollars to be made, someone will step forward to earn them. Some vendors respond properly and provide a competent curriculum; others haven't the interest, experience, or knowledge to do so. Also, vendors in the latter category also know that generally the students/attendees at these programs have no personal stake in attending, but are there simply to get a "ticket punched." Knowing



this, they feel no obligation to do more than assure that the "ticket" is indeed "punched."

What are Designations?

des-ig-na-tion n. 1. The act of designating; a marking or point out. 2. Nomination or appointment. 3. A distinguishing name or mark; title.

- The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language

Professional and technical certification usually is accompanied by a "designation." We are all familiar with designations conferred with educational degrees, such as Ph.D, M.D., and D.D.S., and such association-conferred designations as C.P.A. Somewhat less common, but also widely recognized are such designations as P.E. (Professional Engineer) and R.H. (Registered Pharmacist).

Over the years, professional and technical associations have created what many consider to be a surfeit of certifications and designations. Most are understood and have meaning only among those practicing in the specific field to which the certification/designation applies. This is not to denigrate highly specialized certifications and designations, as for the most part they are *intended* to be known primarily within closely related professional and technical fields. Within those circles, they may provide a ready recognition of superior qualifications in a particular field of specialization — just as intended.

How are Certification Examinations Developed?

At professional and advanced levels, certification generally entails extensive education or experience requirements, or a combination of these, as well as an examination covering the body of knowledge of the certification area. Most credible programs also have requirements for continuing education and re-certification on a specified schedule, usually two or three years. Another common feature of reputable certifications is that the examination is based on sound psychometric testing methodologies, and are updated as dictated by developments in the field. Certification programs lacking these features additionally open themselves to potentially serious legal problems.

Designing, writing, and validating examinations for professional certification is difficult, lengthy, and expensive. For example, when National Environmental Training Association (NETA) members determined a need for certification many years ago, it still took several years of deliberation and planning before the decision was made to proceed. At that time, panels of specialists in each of the original exam technical areas met, developed the task analyses and core need-to-know contents (including the prioritization and relative weighting of the need-to-know items) under the direction of a specialist from Purdue University. With the information developed in these sessions,



technical specialists in each area began developing test items, which were then further examined and validated by panels to determine relative levels of difficulty. This process requires a consensus to determine the percent of minimally competent trainers who are likely to choose the correct answer. Each test item is then coded to reflect all the relevant information about it.

Next, items are examined by a testing specialist to determine if question or detractor wording is unnecessarily obscure, potentially confusing, or in some way outright discriminatory. Items that pass this step (several revisions may be involved) are entered into the field test "item bank" and coded for the next step. Items are then field tested to acquire statistical and psychometric validity information on each question. In an *existing* subject area, this often is done as a part of an actual examination, by adding several field test questions to the exam. This is the quickest and easiest way to gather statistical data. *New* test areas, on the other hand, must be field tested in a discrete test. Since field test items often are still "raw," examinees may spot them as "peculiar" or seemingly redundant. Field test items are not figured into the score. Field-tested items that prove to be psychometrically and statistically valid are then recoded and included in the item bank for selection by the computer program which "writes" the test, according to criteria set for a given exam.

This entire process is highly specialized and can be very complicated. It requires a great deal of time to complete, as well as the specialized and expensive services of testing professionals. Unless the certifying authority can hire such personnel full time, they must contract with a professional testing service or testing specialists to do the psychometric and statistical work, as well as directing the test item writing and analysis.

Finally, certifying authorities generally agree that the underlying task analysis and need-to-know for each item bank in a test needs be reevaluated every few years. This is particularly necessary in rapidly developing specialty areas. Reevaluation involves reassembling panels of specialists periodically to determine current tasks, need-to-know criteria, etc. This process takes a great deal of money, as well as time and effort by many volunteers most of whom should be subject area rather than training specialists.

How are Certification Programs Administered?

Voluntary certification programs generally are managed and administered by professional or educational associations, societies, or directly associated foundations. This can lead to a potential conflict of interest between the objectives of the parent organization, and the objectives of the certification.

Most associations offering certifications also have as a part of their income stream courses to help individuals prepare for the certification, continuing education courses to help them retain certification, or both. These programs can be extremely valuable to the associations, their members, and those certified by them. Extreme care must be taken,



however, that the income objectives of the association do not contaminate the integrity of the certification and its examinations. Some associations have dealt with this issue by creating separate, but related foundations or sister organizations to administer the actual certification, thereby presumably leaving the association itself "clean" to pursue its supporting education programs.

While this separation often makes sense for *trade* associations (which can gain tax benefits from having a separate educational foundation), most thinking today does not support the necessity of a separate entity to administer certification programs of educational (i.e, IRC 501(c)(3)) associations, so long as proper care is taken not to contaminate the process. On the other hand, having an independent *governing body* within the association to manage policy and procedures relating to certification *is* generally advisable. Experience has shown that such independent bodies tend to guard rather jealously the integrity of their programs.

The Problems...?

The most frequently encountered certification "malpractice" is the conduct of training by an association (or, sanctioned by an association) designed specifically to prepare people for their own examination. This is known as "teaching to" the examination and is, unfortunately, all too common. Can you imagine our most prestigious national testing organization, the Educational Testing Service in Princeton, running its own "cram" courses to prepare students for their college entrance or other examinations? Individuals or organizations evaluating certification programs for adoption or personal use should be aware of this danger, and look carefully at any training conducted by the association to assure that the integrity of the certification is not violated through easy access using this route.

On the other hand, since certifications are intended to convey an assurance that the certified individual possesses broadly based knowledge (and hopefully, resulting competency) in the field, training and education programs which teach the broad substance of the certification area should be welcomed, regardless of who offers the courses. Responsible testing authorities make the point that certification can, and should, validate the training or education the individual has received. The reverse is equally true: good education or training should provide the broad knowledge needed to practice in the field, and this can be tested by the certification exam. Thus, a sound educational or training program which avoids "teaching to" its exam — but rather covers the topic without concentrating on areas known to be included in the exam, is an asset to the profession and strengthens its certification.

This brings us back to potential legal problems, mentioned earlier. Potential problems can result from either tortious interference of business relationships or for violations of antitrust laws. Although this is not intended to be legal advice, organizations interested



in starting certification programs, or instituting new certifications, should take special care to assure that their programs are:

accessible to everyone meeting minimum eligibility;

administered with absolute fairness and without discrimination;

have a written and fair appeals process;

 make no assurances of abilities or competencies beyond the minimum level for which it is designed; and

not be tied to a requirement of membership in the granting organization.

Implicit in these requirements is that the examination itself be verifiably valid. This is the point of the exhaustive process outlined above for the development of certification examinations. No matter how scrupulously a program may be administered, if the exam itself does not reliably assess what it claims to, you have failed and thereby acquire potential liability. A single invalid or poorly constructed question or distractor (incorrect answers used in multiple choice questions) could cause an individual to appear to fail the exam. If that individual then had reason to believe he or she has been significantly damaged by the process, the certifying organization would be in for real trouble should the individual choose to sue.

- Charles L. "Rick" Richardson is Executive Director of the National Environmental Training Association, Phoenix, Arizona

© 1993, NETA. Users are hereby granted permission to reproduce portions of, or make extensive quotes from this article so long as the information is properly credited to author and the National Environmental Training Association.



Notes for Production of Implementation Guide: Orlando Meeting and Outline

The following pages include notes from the latest Advisory Committee Meeting held on January 29 and 30, 1996, in Orlando, Florida; and a draft of an outline for the implementation guide.

Meeting Notes: An Open Discussion Regarding Implementation of the HAZMAT Skills Standard

To successfully implement the skills standard into industry practices and educational programs, more information is needed. CORD is conducting several workshops for the purpose of gathering information and developing an Implementation Guide. This guide will answer questions regarding competency levels, better definition of the skills standard, overlapping of skills within environmental technology, evaluating individuals, and assessing skill attainment.

The group broke into concurrent work sessions. Each session dealt with one of the following topics:

Hazardous Management Material Know-How

This work session used a three-dimensional chart to identify more detail within the skills standard. Each skill was considered within the contexts of the need for supervision, parameters within to make decisions, and situational settings of skills. This exercise generated a great deal of discussion. It was the consensus of the group that the level of supervision will vary greatly among organizations. It was concluded that students should be taught so that they can perform the standard without "direct supervision", but recognize that most organizations will provide entry-level technicians with supervision. This worksheet will be changed to a two dimensional worksheet and will be presented in the remaining workshops. This document may be included in the Implementation Guide as an instrument for evaluating employees.

Integrating Skills Standards with Scenarios

To illustrate a context which the skills are used in the workplace, scenarios can be useful. Common scenarios were developed for each of the thirteen job functions. These scenarios were made to be general in workplace situations so that they are applicable to many different working environments. We discussed the importance of problem-solving skills needed in the workplace. Often times how an individual trouble-shoots an unexpected problem reveals the level of competency acquired in a particular area. Since it is critical that the academic, employability, and



supporting knowledge all be integrated to achieve the intent of the skills standard, scenarios were developed to incorporate each of these.

Identifying common skills within environmental technology

Education programs are most often built around a cluster of occupations or area of study. Most programs involving Hazardous Material Management also encompass other environmental technology fields of study. For program developers to utilize the HMMT Skills Standard it is important to identify the commonality of skills across the environmental occupations. To assist in gathering this information a worksheet was used in working sessions to identify if each of the skills outlined in the standard are used in other environmental occupations. Nine general occupations were identified and analyzed. We have gathered this information in two other workshops and it will be used in the future HMMT workshops. Preliminary results indicate a close correlation of needed skills between a Health and Safety Technician and the HMMT. Other areas to consider include air quality, water quality, solid waste management, and planning technicians. It became apparent that many skills are used in other occupations, but perhaps in a different context. Further evaluation of identifying this information is important to assist in defining environmental technology as a whole.

On Tuesday, January 30, each of these work sessions involved all members. The results of these working sessions will be compiled and they will form the basis for future workshops. A consolidation of the information gathered is expected by February 19, 1996. This information will be used in the Implementation Guide. A draft form of the Implementation Guide will be available for committee member comments in April. The following people volunteered to assist in gathering information and writing parts of the Guide.

Rick Richardson	A model accreditation program		
Reggie Moore	A model certification program		
Bruce Rodgers	ISO 14000; The change from reactive to		
Doug Feil	proactive emphasis in the technicians job.		
Bob Bear	Industry survey		
Jean Drevdahl	Gap analysis between school and industry surveys		
Lois George	Identifying teacher resources and materials		
Lois George	Internet Resources		



Doug Feil

Rick Richardson

Gayle Haecker	Scenarios
	Career Paths
	Using the standard for developing job descriptions
	Identifying appropriate assessment techniques.



Outline for Implementation Guide

I. Overview

Project Development

Educate America Goals 2000

Department of Education Skills Standard Projects

National scope of skills standards

The HMMT Skills standard project

Advisory committee

Methodology of compiling skills standard

Validation of skills standard

Purpose of this document

Implementation into industry

Employee evaluation to the skills standard Incorporating skills standards into training programs

Communicating industry needs to contract trainers

Implementation into education

Using the skills standard in Environmental Technology programs

Commonality of skills and career paths within Environmental Technology.

2+2+2 Program in Environmental Technology Assessing skill attainment in students

Models of accreditation and certification programs

II. Introduction - Skills Standards, Defining the Standard for Tomorrow

Define "standard" — Explain academic, occupational and employability standards — Define what is needed for successful implementation by educators and industry members

This should outline the remainder of the addendum. What is needed to integrat s.s. into curriculum, career pathways in developing programs, assessment of skill attainment, evaluating employees and training programs, etc.

III. The World of Environmental Technology

Define "Environmental Technology"

Define "career ladder(s)"

Define Environmental Technician

This professionalism and what is needed for high performance should will set the need for integrated skill standards.

IV. Integrated Skills Standards for HMMT

Use scenarios to integrate skills standards



Justudia Just

Include assessment methods in the scenarios, along with the defined skills standard, employability skills, and supporting skills, knowledge, and attributes

V. The Future of HMMT

What every employee will need to know.

Job projections

ISO 14000

Pollution prevention and a pro-active approach to environmental issues.

VI. Accreditation and Certification of HMMT programs and graduates
Outline the model suggested by NETA/PETE/CORD for
accrediting programs
Outline currect certification programs (individuals) and cross
reference to the standard —where information is available (i.e.
NEHA)

Appendices

Validation process and results
Assessment methods of mastery matrix
Resources for Environmental Technology
Industry and employee evaluation tool(s)
Current certification processes
Bibliography

Index of HAZMAT Documents

- 1. Skill Standard Document and Supporting Materials (folder)
- 2. Project Prospectus (folder)
- 3. Instructional Meeting Notes (folder)
- 4. Advisory Committee Meetings (folder)
- 5. Advisory Subcommittee Meetings (folder)
- 6. Team Meetings (folder)
- 7. Project Directors Meeting Notes (folder)
- 8. CORD/PETE (folder)
- 9. CORD/ATEEC (folder)
- 10. Scenarios (folder)
- 11. Overlap Model (Environmental Professions) (folder)
- 12. Site Visits (folder)
- 13. HMMT Educational Survey (folder)
- 14. HMMT Business/Industry Survey (folder)
- 15. HMMT Business/Industry Survey Evaluation (folder)
- 16. Project Reports (folder)
- 17. Third-Party Evaluations-Drevdahl (folder)
- 18. Contributor Articles and Summaries (folder)
- 19. Final Report Draft (folder)
- 20. Addendums for Final Report (folder)
- 21. Implementation Guide Outline (folder)
- 22. HAZMAT Statistical Information (binder)
- 23. HMMT Work in Progress (binder)
- 24. HAZMAT Advisory Committee Meetings: Phase One (binder)
- 25. HAZMAT Advisory Committee Meetings: Phase Two (binder)
- 26. Subcontracts (folder)
- 27. Curriculum Development (folder)
- 28. TSTI-CORD (folder)
- 29. Articles (folder)
- 30. Correspondence (folder)



CONTENT MODEL

I. Worker Attributes

This section includes a series of descriptor categories related to the characteristics or qualifications that a worker brings to a job. The first five descriptors listed represent an approximate hierarchy or continuum of skills-related information (moving from general to increasingly specific levels of description and analysis) that is expected to provide a wide range of application options for users requiring skills information of different types and at different levels of specificity. It is expected that appropriate verification, elaboration and specification of these descriptor categories and their specific component elements will require further research.

Aptitudes and Abilities. The capacity to perform particular classes or categories of mental and physical functions; examples include: cognitive abilities (examples include: verbal, quantitative, abstract reasoning), spatial/perceptual abilities (examples include: spatial orientation and visualization, perceptual speed, flexibility and speed of closure), psychomotor abilities (examples include: arm, manual, and finger dexterity, eye-hand coordination), sensory abilities (examples include: vision, hearing, color discrimination) and physical abilities (examples include: static strength, dynamic strength, stamina, extent flexibility).

Workplace Basic Skills. Fundamental developed abilities that are required to at least some degree in virtually all jobs. Examples include: reading, writing and arithmetic or computational abilities. (These are included as a separate descriptor category because, although related to aptitudes and abilities, they include significant knowledge and learning components.)

Cross-Functional Skills. The various types of developed generic skills that are related to the performance of broad categories of work activity that tend to occur across relatively wide ranges of jobs. Examples include: information gathering, oral communication, problem analysis, negotiating, organizing and planning; coordinating with others and coaching or mentoring.

Occupation-Specific Skills. The developed ability to perform given general or specific work activities that tend to occur across relatively narrower ranges of jobs and/or are defined in relatively job or activity specific terms; these are operationally defined as the ability to perform the generalized work activities and job duties/tasks, defined in Section III, or the ability to use or operate given machines, tools, or equipment, defined in Section II. Examples include: ability to read blueprints, ability to repair electrical appliances, ability to type and proofread statistical reports, ability to operate a milling machine and ability to operate a forklift.

Occupation-Specific Knowledge. Understanding or awareness of, or familiarity with, the facts, principles, processes, methods, or techniques related to a particular subject area, discipline, trade, science, or art. Includes knowledge of foreign languages, computer programming languages and specific computer software packages or applications. Examples include: financial planning and analysis, fire protection systems, computer graphics, data communication networks, patent law, Spanish, COBOL and spreadsheet software.

Personal Qualities. An individual's characteristic, habitual, or typical manner of thinking, feeling, behaving, or responding with respect to oneself, others, situations, or events. Examples include: self-esteem, sociability, responsibility and integrity/honesty.



Getting to Clusters A Proposal to the National Skill Standards Board

Marc Tucker, President National Center on Education and the Economy September 1995

For almost twenty five years, real wages have been declining in the United States. In recent times, our people have been getting poorer even as our firms have been getting richer. This is mainly because firms in other countries with much lower cost structures than ours now have access to the most advanced technologies and international sources of capital and can therefore produce shoes, shirts, television sets and home videotape recorders at prices with which we cannot compete, if we are competing with them on price.

But there is an alternative. We can compete on quality, customization and prompt response to changes in consumer tastes. The world will pay much higher prices for such things than for widely available products and services that differ only in their price. To compete on quality, however, requires us to reorganize the way work gets done. No firm can produce quality by relying on a workforce that is expected to leave its head at the factory gate and to work in a highly routinized way. To the contrary, quality production begins by asking the front-line workforce to do many of the things that, up to now, we have asked only managers and professionals to do, and, in fact, means asking that workforce to take major responsibility for the continuous improvement of products, services and processes by which products and services are produced. In this environment, the highly stable and narrow job descriptions of the front-line mass-production labor force are obsolete and counterproductive. All over the world, analysts recognize that economic success depends in part on workers whose job is constantly being redefined, who are expected to do each other's jobs and who must think and learn in constantly evolving ways in order to succeed.

The single greatest danger in creating a national system of occupational standards is to cast the old-style, narrow job descriptions into concrete in the form of industry standards in that mold. Better to have no occupational standards at all. The National Skill Standards Board needs to keep in mind constantly the purpose for which it was created. It is not to promote skill standards per se. It is not to make sure that every worker and every job is covered by the new standards as fast as possible. It is most definitely not to codify the demands of most jobs as they are now being performed. The job of the National Skill Standards Board is to promote the growth of our economy and the spread of high wages among our workers by using skill standards to promote a steady increase in the proportion of our workers who have the skills needed for work in high performance work organizations. The question is how to do that.

Imagine that the United States develops a comprehensive qualifications — or standards — system with three levels or tiers in it.

At the top of this standards system, call it Tier III, are skill standards for individual jobs — like that of a welder of specialty alloys, or oil field rigger, or the operator of a machine that performs



lithographic functions in the semiconductor fabrication business -- and standards set by individual firms for the way work is to be done in that firm -- for example, the standards Boeing sets for the tolerances and failure rates in the construction of its new 777 airplanes.

At the next level of the standards system, Tier II, are skill standards for groups or clusters of occupations requiring broadly similar skills. Because these groupings each include many occupations -- there might be a grouping, say, for manufacturing technicians, encompassing a great variety of types of manufacturing jobs -- there might eventually be no more than thirty of these categories covering most of the front-line jobs in the nation. The actual standards for what one would have to know and be able to do in each category and how well one would have to be able to do it would not be defined by the old style of work in which one was expected to leave one's head at the factory gate, but by the requirements of high performance work organizations, in which one is expected to think and to contribute a lot to the values and improvement of the product or services. These would be standards for the future, not the past.

And then we get to Tier I. This would be a set of standards for what everyone in the society ought to know and be able to do to be successful at work, as a citizen and as a family member. These standards would be set at levels comparable to what high school students can do in those countries that now have the best performance in this world in the core subjects of the curriculum. They would call for deep understanding of the subjects studied as well as the capacity to apply that knowledge to complex real-world problems. And they would incorporate the generic skills required to succeed in high-performance work environments irrespective of the particular job one is doing — things like problem-solving ability, the capacity to learn quickly and the ability to work well with others in groups.

In this scheme of things, the National Skill Standards Board would concentrate its efforts on Tier II standards, standards for broad groups of jobs in the economy, jobs that share a core of common skill requirements.

And it would have another role in development and updating of the generic standards for the skills and knowledge needed to succeed in all high performance work organizations, irrespective of the job or skill cluster. These standards will be of great help to those Tier I standards and will be of equal value to organizations and firms developing and using Tier III standards, because the generic standards should also be included in those standards.

Why do it this way? To focus the development of skill and knowledge on a particular job in the current economic environment is to invite instant obsolescence. The trick is to establish a balance between the general and the specific — to create a set of standards specific enough so that those who meet a standard can offer a set of skills that have great value to the employers who need them, but general enough so that those skills are broadly marketable in many firms and even among many industries. What does this mean for the process of establishing clusters?

Imagine that the Board begins by dividing the American economy into about a dozen segments of roughly equal size. There are a number of conceptual schemes produced by well-known economists that could be readily used for this purpose. Then the Board commissions an organization with the appropriate technical expertise to send out questionnaires to a structured sample of firms and organizations in each of the segments, making sure that large firms and small



are represented, that each major industry subsector within the broad industry segment is represented, that there is good geographic representation, and so on. Respondents are asked to identify those firms of all sizes in their Industry segment that they look to as leading the industry in terms of their use of high performance work organization, reputation for quality products and services and overall competitiveness.

When this list is boiled down, the Board then invites the leadership of each of the firms that score highest on this list made by their peers to send one or two people from their firm to an extended work session to be organized by the Board. The people invited to this work session, to last perhaps two weeks, are asked to came to agreement among themselves on a beginning list of broad clusters that will serve as the starting point for the development of the standards system. These initial clusters will typically cut across industry groups, but will be defined in each case by a common set of skills and knowledge required to do the work.

Following the meeting, the initial definition of clusters will have to be further developed and validated in the field, and that part of the process will culminate in an adoption by the Board of the validated clusters.

Once this initial set of Tier II clusters has been identified by the field in this way and endorsed by the Board, industry groups will be invited to develop standards for their own industry based on these cross-cutting clusters. In this way, the nation can have its cake and eat it, too. The first step in the process — building the clusters — will go a long way to making sure that we are setting standards for the future, not the past. The second step in the process — getting industry groups to set standards for their own industry by modifying the cluster specification to meet the needs of their own industry — will make sure that industry groups own and will use the standards, without which the whole exercise is meaningless.



Gayle Haecker

From:

Gayle Haecker

To:

Jim Johnson

Subject:

HMMT Focus Group Workshop - Colorado

Date:

Sunday, January 07, 1996 6:26PM

IN YOUR BOX....

Here is the attendee list and agenda.

Just in case there might be a situation where you told me something you want available at the workshop or some information to be included in the packets and I have forgotten it ---- please think through this workshop and make a list of things you expect to see. i.e. is there anything specific to your presentation that would be relevant?

Lorenza will be putting together packets Tuesday afternoon. Let me know if you have questions.



Page 1, 3

HMMT Focus Group Workshop Front Range Community College Westminster, Colorado January 12, 1996

Attendee List

Barbara Barry Terra Concepts, Inc. P.O. Box 280 Golden, CO 80402

Larry Coldron Coldron & Associates P.O. Box 22281 Denver, CO 80222

Derrill Dickerson Supervisor B.F. Goodrich Aerospace 50 William White Blvd. Pueblo, CO 81001

Fred Dowsett
Colorado Department of Health
4300 Cherry Creek Dr. South
Denver, CO 80222-1530

Reggie Moore Manager, Environmental Education Nat'l Environmental Health Assoc. 720 South Colorado Blvd., Suite 970 Denver, CO 80222

Jill Farver
Manager
Symbios Logic, Inc.
2001 Danfield Court
Fort Collins, CO 80525-2998

Timothy Gabelhouse Lawyer Office of Emergency Management Camp George West Golden, CO 80401

Jeffrey German
Flatirons Environmental Solutions
7651 W. 41st Avenue, Suite 91
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

Bill Giannetto
City of Colorado Springs
703 East Las Vegas Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80906-1534

Frank Hammitt
Safety Inspector
University of Colorado at Colorado Springs
1420 Austin Bluffs Parkway
Colorado Springs, CO 80933-7150

Ted Matsuo 11746 W. 74th Way Arvada, CO 80005

Tammy Ottmer
Disaster Pro. Spec.
Colorado Department of Health
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, CO 80222-1530

Rosann Poltrone Instructor Arapahoe Community College 2500 West College Drive Littleton, CO 80160-9002

Mark Quick
Div. of Fire Safety
Dept. of Public Safety
700 Kipling, Suit 1200
Denver, CO 80215

Asa Reed Rocky Mountain Remediation Services 1238 Carbide Court Longmont, CO 80501

Marcy Rice Poudre School District 2407 LaPorte Avenue Ft. Collins, CO 80521



Jack Sosebee
Rust Environment and Infrastructure
6143 S. Willow Drive, Suite 200
Englewood, CO 80111

Larry Spraggs
Dean, Environmental Science
Red Rocks Community College
13300 West Sixth Avenue
Lakewood, CO 80401-5398

Joni Toomey E.H. Program Boulder County Health Dept. 3450 Broadway Boulder, CO 80304

Mark VonMaier Project Manager ICF Kaiser 165 South Union Blvd., Suite 850 Lakewood, CO 80228-2213

Mark Webb City of Colorado Springs 703 East Las Vegas Street Colorado Springs, CO 80906-1534

Donlyn Whissen Coordinator Career & Tech Ed Resource Center 9125 E. 10th Drive, Bldg 859 Aurora, CO 80010

Richard Witter
Industrial Waste Specialist
City of Colorado Springs
703 East Las Vegas Street
Colorado Springs, CO 80906-1534

Rick Young Arapahoe County HazMat 5686 S. Court Place Littleton, CO 80120 Jeff Zayach
E.H. Program Coord.
Boulder County Health Dept.
3450 Broadway
Boulder, CO 80304

Facilitators/Sponsors

Richard Barth
Environmental Science and Technology
Front Range Community College
3645 West 112th Avenue
Westminster, CO 80030

Gwen Burton
Environmental Science and Technology
Front Range Community College
3645 West 112th Avenue
Westminster, CO 80030

Don Dirnberger Corporate, Workforce, & Economic Development Pikes Peak Community College One Commerce Center, Suite 103-7222 Colorado Springs, CO 80919

Nelson Fabian
Executive Director
National Environmental Health Association
720 South Colorado Blvd., Suite 970
Denver, CO 80222

Gayle Bowles Haecker
Research Associate-Environmental Education
Center for Occupational Research and Education
P.O. Box 21689
Waco, TX 76702-1689

Jim Johnson
Sr. Research Associate-Postsecondary and
Adult Education
Center for Occupational Research and Education
P.O. Box 21689
Waco, TX 76702-1689



HMMT Focus Group Workshop

Front Range Community College January 12, 1996

8:30 Welcome Richard Barth, Front Range Community College 8:40 Overview of Workshop Goals & Open Discussion Introduction Gayle Bowles Haecker, CORD 9:30 Industry's Perspective of Hazardous Material Management Technology Michael Witt, Roy F. Westin, Inc. 10:00 Break 10:15 The Future of Hazardous Material Management Technology Fred Schafer, Kleinfelder, Inc. The Integrated System of Workforce Education 11:00 Jim Johnson, CORD 12:00 Lunch 1:00 Overview of each Breakout Session Gayle Bowles Haecker, CORD

1:30 Break-out sessions

Environmental Technology Educational Pathways

Lead by Jim Johnson, CORD

This session will concentrate on defining the Environmental Technology field of study and the knowledge and skills needed for success. We will look at commonality of skills needed among a cluster of occupations within Environmental Technology and how to present these in education and training programs.

Identifying Competency Levels for Technicians
 Lead by Richard Barth, Front Range Community College
 This session will identify the different competency levels achieved within
 different educational programs, such as certificate, associate degrees, and
 compliance courses.

 Assessment through Integrated Skills Standards Lead by Gayle Bowles Haecker, CORD

This session will focus on authentic assessment and how integrated skills assessment can separate the novice from expert. Industrial scenarios will be developed for the HMMT Skills Standard to be used in curriculum development.

Certification and the Skills Standard

Lead by Reggie Moore, NEHA

This session will look at how the Hazardous Substance Specialist Certification matches with the Skills Standard. Discussion will concentrate on what is needed for a valid certification of Hazardous Material Management Technicians.

2:30 Break

2:45 Report-out Panel Discussion

Leaders of Break-out Sessions

4:30 Conclusion and Workshop Evaluation



Advisory Committee Members

At the end of the project, almost all advisory committee members had been involved in providing feedback or attending meetings.



Bruce Allbright Lockheed Idaho Technologies Company P.O. Box 4000 MS-3810 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-1215 208/526-1415

Jerry Atlas Texas State Technical College 3810 Campus Drive Waco, TX 76705 817/867-3438 or 800/792-8784

Mara G. Austin Metro Dade Department of Solid Waste 8675 NW 53rd Street Suite 201 Miami, FL 33166 305/594-1635; FAX 305/594-1591

Thomas J. Bartel
Product Environmental Affairs Manager
Unisys Corporation
Suite 1100
2525 East Camelback Road
Phoenix, AZ 85016
602/224-4221; FAX 602/224-4285

Robert L. Bear Facilities & Environmental Consultants, Inc. 205 Cambridge Drive Longwood, FL 32779-5709 407/682-4462 407/682-6238; FAX 407/682-7256

William Bergfeld
Coordinator
Environmental Programs
Laborers-AGC Education and Training Fund
37 Deerfield Road
P.O. Box 37
Pomfret Center, CT 06259
203/974-0800; FAX 203/974-1459

David Boon Professor Hazardous Materials Management Front Range Community College 3645 West 112th Avenue Westminster, CO 80030 303/466-8811x259; FAX 303/466-1623

Kenneth Chapman Special Assistant Education Division American Chemical Society 1155 Sixteenth Street NW Washington, DC 20036 202/872-4388; FAX 202/872-8734

Richard Collins
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease
Registry
2141 Dayron Circle
Marietta, GA 30062
404/639-6068; FAX 404/639-6075

Charlie Cook Training Manager EmTech 303 Arthur Street Ft. Worth, TX 76107 800/336-0909; FAX 812/338-9565

Joe Douglass Director of Regulatory Affairs AMES Rubber Corporation 23-47 Ames Boulevard Hamburg, NJ 07419 210/827-9101; FAX 201/827-8893

Jean Drevdahl 17524 N.W. Bernard Place Beaverton, OR 97006-4194 503/629-0573 (h) 503/978-5628 (w)

Llewellyn Fambles
Occupational Safety and Training Institute
8415 West Bellfort #300
Houston, TX 77031
800/270-6882



Douglas A. Feil
Associate Director
Hazardous Materials Training and Research
Institute
Kirkwood Community College
6301 Kirkwood Boulevard SW
Cedar Rapids, IA 52404
319/398-5678; FAX 319/398-1250

Lois George
P.E. LaMoreaux and Associates, Inc.
2612 University Boulevard
P.O. Box 2310
Tuscaloosa, AL 35403
205/752-5543; FAX 205/752-4043

Kevin Grant
Federation of Environmental Professionals
Cedar Bay Generating Facility
P.O. Box 26324
304 Lazy Meadow Drive
Jacksonville, FL 32226
904/751-4000; FAX 904/751-7320

Louise R. Greene 2550 Hwy. 70, SE Hickory, NC 28602 704/327-7000; FAX 704/327-7276

Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Development CH2M HILL P.O. Box 22508 Denver, CO 80222-0508 303/771-0900; 303/843-9365

David W. Johnson Environmental Protection Specialist US Army PSC 557 Box 1286 FPO, AP 96379-1286

Jack B. Jones US Navy P.O. Box 640121 Kenner, LA 70064-0121 504/361-2699 office days 504/469-2097 home 504/521-5051 Kristina LaRue
I&HW Enforcement System
Texas National Resource Conservation
Commission
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, TX 78711-3087
512/239-2562; FAX 512/239-2550

William S. Lindberg Regional Director SafetyCorp, Inc. 3203 Superior-Room 202 P.O. Box 1248 Sheboygan, WI 53082 414/452-5569; FAX 414/452-5569

Jon R. Lovegreen CEO Applied Geosciences, Inc. 29B Technology Drive, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92718 714/453-8545x212; FAX 714-453-0510

Edward J. Martin
Executive Director
Hazardous Materials Control Resources
Institute
One Church Street, Suite 200
Rockville, MD 20850-4129
301/251-1900; FAX 301/738-2330

John McDonagh
Director
Massachusetts Vocational Curriculum
Resource Center
758 Marrett Road
Lexington, MA 02173
617/863-1863; FAX 617/863-9965

C. Daniel McGrew
Director
Marketing
Hazardous Materials Control Resources
Institute
One Church Street, Suite 200
Rockville, MD 20850
301/251-1900; FAX 301/738-2330



Reggie Moore
Manager
Environmental Education
National Environmental Health Association
720 South Colorado Boulevard
Suite 970
Denver, CO 80222
303/756-9090; FAX 303/691-9490

Eugene Moss
National Institute for Occupational Safety
and Health
MS R-13
4676 Columbia Parkway
Cincinnati, OH 45226
513/841-4543; FAX 513/841-4488

David Nay Martin Marietta 8714 Kenilworth Drive Springfield, VA 22151 703/425-9858 (home) 703/569-8800 (office) FAX 703/866-3205

Joseph Nickles
Principal Engineer
Regulatory Analysis
Bechtel Hanford, Inc.
450 Hills Street
P.O. Box 969; H4-86
Richland, WA 99352
509/372-9208; FAX 509/372-9447

Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair Industrial Technology Amarillo College P.O. Box 447 Amarillo, TX 79178-0001 806/354-6001; FAX 806/354-6096

Ed Price Texas State Technical College 3801 Campus Drive Waco, TX 76705 817/867-3438x3384; 800/792-8784 Jerry A. Riehl BGC, Inc. 9315 Fauntleroy Way, SW Seattle, WA 98136 206/938-2527

Charles L. Richardson
Executive Director
National Environmental Training
Association
2930 East Camelback Road
Suite 185
Phoenix, AZ 85016-4412
602/956-6099; FAX 602/956-6399

David C. Riddle Training Manager Westinghouse Hanford Company 1482 Wendell Phillips Road Sunnyside, WA 98944 509/837-5504; FAX 509/373-5027

Bruce A. Rodgers
Director of Environmental Affairs
Electric Fuels Corporation
One Progress Plaza
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
813/824-6653; FAX 813/824-6411

Gary B. Scherck Boeing Corporation SHEA 348 South 300th Federal Way, WA 98003

Peter Scott
Dean
Science and Industry
Linn-Benton Community College
6500 SE Pacific Boulevard
Albany, OR 97321-3774
503/928-2361; FAX 503/967-6550



Thomas H. Smith
Senior Special Agent
Hazardous Material Response
Union Pacific Railroad Company
State Railroad Police
1711 Quintana Road
San Antonio, TX 78211
210/921-4095; FAX 210/921-4094

Sharon Speer
Occupational Safety Training Institute
9000 West Bellfort
Suite 570
Houston, TX 77031

John Tippie Laborers-AGC Education and Training Fund 37 Deerfield Road P.O. Box 37 Pomfret Center, CT 06259 203/974-0800

Susan Drew Thomas
National Association of Environmental
Professionals
5156 McArthur Boulevard, NW
Washington, DC 20016
202/966-1500; FAX 202/966-1977

Jackie H. Ward Sr. Lead Safety Specialist Entergy Service Corporation P.O. Box 2951 Beaumont, TX 77704 409/827-5186; FAX 409/827-5310 Michael Waxman Associate Professor University of Wisconsin Engineering Professional Development 432 North Lake Street Madison, WI 53706-1498 608/262-2101; FAX 608/263-3160

LuAnn E. White Tulane University School of Public Health 1430 Tulane Ave. New Orleans, LA 70112 504/584-1779; FAX 504/587-7352

Steven T. Wiederwax
Safety and Environmental Administrator
American Marazzi Tile
359 Clay Road
Sunnyvale, TX 75182-9710
214/226-0110x222; FAX 214/226-2508

Roger Wise Tampa Department of Sanitary Sewers 2700 Maritime Boulevard Tampa, FL 33605 813/247-3451x206; FAX 813/248-5269

Michael E. Zientek Coe-Truman Technologies, Inc. 5008 Fox Trail Drive, NE Olympia, WA 98516 306/493-0488; FAX 360/438-0205



Business/industry and education representatives

These individuals participated in some capacity in the development of the skills standard.



C Allbright Struce Allbright Struce Allbright Struce Allbright Struce Allbright Struce Austin Mara G. Austin C Bartel Thomas J. Bartel Product Environmental Affairs Manage C Bear Robert L. Bear O Bergfeld William Bergfeld Coordinator O Blakely Myra Blakely Expert Consultant Struce Struck	Type	Last	Full Name	Title
S Atlas Jerry Atlas C Austin Mara G. Austin C Bartel Thomas J. Bartel C Beral Thomas J. Bartel C Berg Robert L. Bear O Bergfeld William Bergfeld Coordinator O Blakely Myra Blakely Expert Consultant S Boon David Boon Professor O Chapma Kenneth Chapm Special Assistant C Collins Richard Collins C Cook Charlie Cook Training Manager C Douglass Joe Douglass Director of Regulatory Affairs I Drevdahl Jean Drevdahl O Fambles Llewellyn Famble S Feil Douglas A. Feil Associate Director C Gardner David G. Gardne Chair, Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ec Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist Davegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reggie Moore McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer Price Ed Price Rodgers Rodgers Bruce A Rodgers O Scherck Gary B. Scherck Nard Jacke H. Michael C Richards C Riddle David C. Richar C Rodgers C Speer Sharon Speer O Thomas C Specialist C Speer Sharon Speer O Thomas C Specialist C Spe				
C Austin Mara G. Austin C Bartel Thomas J. Bartel C Bear Robert L. Bear O Berafeld William Berafeld Coordinator O Blakely Myra Blakely Expert Consultant S Boon David Boon Professor O Chapma Kenneth Chapm Special Assistant C Collins Richard Collins C Collins Richard Collins C Cook Charlie Cook Training Manager Douglass Joe Douglass Director of Regulatory Affairs I Drevdahl Jean Drevdahl Fembles Liewellyn Famble S Feil Douglas A. Feil Associate Director C Gardner David G. Gardne Chair, Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ec Grant Kevin Grant I Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Moore Regale Moore Moore Regale Moore Moore Nord W. Johnso Environmental Specialist Prickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Charles L. Richar S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Charles L. Richar C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Speer Sharon Speer D Tippie John Tippie D Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor		1	i	
C Bartel Thomas J. Bartel Product Environmental Affairs Manage C Bergfeld William Bergfeld Coordinator O Bergfeld William Bergfeld Coordinator O Blackely Kyra Blakely Expert Consultant S Boon David Boon Professor O Chapma Kenneth Chapm Special Assistant C Coolins Richard Collins C Cook Charlie Cook C Cook Charlie Cook C Douglass Director of Regulatory Affairs I Drevdahl Jean Drevdahl Feil Douglas A. Feil Associate Director C Gardner Lewellyn Famble Lewellyn Famble C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ec Gerant Kevin Grant Director of Organizational Developm Larry Kevin Grant Director of Organizational Developm Larry				
Bear Robert L. Bear Bergfeld William Bergfeld Coordinator Blakely Myra Blakely Expert Consultant Boon David Boon Professor Chapma Kenneth Chapm Special Assistant Collins Richard Collins Cook Charlie Cook Training Manager Cook Charlie Milke Hamid Director of Organizational Developm Milke Hamid Director of Organizational Developm Cook Training Manager Cook Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Cook Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Cook Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Cook Manager Eugene Moss Cook Charlie Moore Manager Cook Moore Reagie Moore Manager Cook Moore				Product Environmental Affairs Manager
Bergfeld William Bergfeld Coordinator	F			
Blakely Myra Blakely Expert Consultant Boon David Boon Professor Chapma Kenneth Chapm Special Assistant Collins Richard Collins Cook Charlie Cook Training Manager Cook Charlie Cook Training Management Cook Cardner Cook Charlie Cook Training Management Cook Cardner Cook Training Manager Cook Training Manager Cook Training Manager Cook Training Manager Cook Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Cook Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Cook Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Cook Manager Cook Training Manag				Coordinator
S Boon David Boon Professor O Chapma Kenneth Chapm Special Assistant C Collins Richard Collins C Cook Charlie Cook Training Manager C Douglass Joe Douglass Director of Regulatory Affairs I Drevdahl Jean Drevdahl O Fambles Llewellyn Famble S Feil Douglas A. Feil Chair, Environmental Management C Gardner David G. Gardne Chair, Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ec O Grant Kevin Grant I Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen C Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Mewin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director Moore Reggle Moore Manager Moore Reggle Moore Manager Moore Reggle Moore Manager Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer Nickles Joseph Nickles Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riedle David C. Riddle Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers C Scherck Gary B. Scherck Director Incompendation Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck Director Engineer C Speer Sharon Speer C Tipple John Tippie C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist	,	i .		
Chapma Kenneth Chapm Special Assistant Collins Richard Collins Cook Charlie Cook Training Manager Cook Charlie Cook Training Management Cook Charlie Cook Charlie Charlie Cook Cook Charlie				
Collins Richard Collins Cook Charlie Cook Training Manager Douglass Joe Douglass Director of Regulatory Affairs Drevdahl Jean Drevdahl Fambles Llewellyn Famble Llewellyn Gardne Chair, Environmental Management Vice President Environmental and Ec Lore President Environmental and Ec Lore Profesional Director of Organizational Developm Llewellyn Milke Hamid Llewellyn Greene Llewellyn Milke Hamid Llewellyn Johnso Llewellyn Milke Hamid Llewellyn Llewellyn Llewellyn Llewellyn Llewellyn Milke Hamid Llewellyn Llewellyn Llewellyn Llewellyn Milke Hamid Llewellyn Llewell Llewellyn Llewellyn Llewellyn				
C Cook Charlie Cook Training Manager C Douglass Joe Douglass Director of Regulatory Affairs I Drevdahl Jean Drevdahl O Fambles Llewellyn Famble S Feil Douglas A. Feil Associate Director C Gardner David G. Gardne Chair, Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ec O Grant Kevin Grant I Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist Danes Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Joh R. Lovegreen CEO D Martin Edward J. Martin S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director C MacGrew C. Daniel McGre D Moore Reagle Moore Manager D Moore Reagle Moore Manager D Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay D Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehi Jerry A. Riehi D Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp D Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor				
C Douglass Joe Douglass Director of Regulatory Affairs i Drevdahl Jean Drevdahl o Fambles Llewellyn Famble s Feil Douglas A. Feil Associate Director C Gardner David G. Gardne Chair, Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ec Grant Kevin Grant i Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director Morer Regaie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor		Cook		Training Manager
i Drevdahl Jean Drevdahl o Fambles Llewellyn Famble s Feil Douglas A. Feil Associate Director C Gardner David G. Gardne Chair, Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ector Grant Kevin Grant i Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager s McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O MoGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reggie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nilckles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Richi Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor				
Fambles Llewellyn Famble S Feii Douglas A. Feil Associate Director C Gardner David G. Gardne Chair. Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ec Grant Kevin Grant I Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reggie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	i			,
S	0			
C Gardner David G. Gardne Chair, Environmental Management C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Ect O Grant Kevin Grant I Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reggie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor				Associate Director
C George Lois D. George Vice President Environmental and Eco Grant Kevin Grant i Greene Louise R. Greene C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director CEO Martin Edward J. Martin Edward J. Martin CE Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director Training Manager C. Daniel McGre Moore Regale Moore Manager Moore Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay David Nay David Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor				Chair, Environmental Management
o Grant Kevin Grant i Greene Louise R. Greene c Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm c Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist c Jones Jack B. Jones c LaRue Kristina LaRue c Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director c Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO o Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director c Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager s McDona John McDonagh Executive Director o McGrew C. Daniel McGre o Moore Reggie Moore Manager o Moss Eugene Moss c Nay David Nay c Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer s Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section s Price Ed Price c Riehl Jerry A. Riehl o Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director c Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs c Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. s Speer Sharon Speer o Tippie John Tippie o Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultan c Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor		George	Lois D. George	
C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reagie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor				
C Hamid Mike Hamid Director of Organizational Developm C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reagie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	i	Greene	Louise R. Greene	
C Johnson David W. Johnso Environmental Protection Specialist C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reagie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant	С		Mlke Hamid	Director of Organizational Developmen
C Jones Jack B. Jones C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reggie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant		Johnson		
C LaRue Kristina LaRue C Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director C Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reggie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant		Jones	Jack B. Jones	
Lindberg William S. Lindber Regional Director Lovegree Jon R. Lovegreen CEO Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager McDona John McDonagh Executive Director McGrew C. Daniel McGre Moore Reggie Moore Manager Moss Eugene Moss Moss Executive Director Moss Eugene Moss Moss Eugene Moss Moss Executive Director Moss Eugene Moss Moss Eugene Moss Moss Executive Director Moss Eugene Moss Moss Eugene Moss Eugene Moss Eugene Moss Eugene Moss Eugene M	-	LaRue	Kristina LaRue	
O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reggie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant	С		William S. Lindber	Regional Director
O Martin Edward J. Martin Executive Director C Merwin John T. Merwin Training Manager S McDona John McDonagh Executive Director O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reggie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant	c	Lovegree	Jon R. Lovegreen	CEO
s McDona John McDonagh Executive Director o McGrew C. Daniel McGre o Moore Reagie Moore Manager o Moss Eugene Moss c Nay David Nay c Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer s Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section s Price Ed Price c Riehl Jerry A. Riehl o Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director c Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager c Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs c Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. s Scott Peter Scott Dean c Speer Sharon Speer o Tippie John Tippie o Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant c Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	0			Executive Director
O McGrew C. Daniel McGre O Moore Reagie Moore Manager O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	С	Merwin	John T. Merwin	Training Manager
Moore Reagie Moore Manager Moss Eugene Moss Nay David Nay Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer Nickles Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair Description Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section Price Ed Price Riehl Jerry A. Riehl Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs Rocherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. Scott Peter Scott Dean Speer Sharon Speer Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant Warman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	s	McDona	John McDonagh	
O Moss Eugene Moss C Nay David Nay C Nickles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	0			
C Nay David Nay C NIckles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	0	Moore	Reggie Moore	Manager
C NIckles Joseph Nickles Principal Engineer S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	o	Moss	Eugene Moss	
S Pickle Douglas L. Pickle Professor and Division Chair D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section S Price Ed Price C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	С	Nay	David Nay	
D Potoka Bruce Potoka Chief Site Support Section s Price Ed Price c Riehl Jerry A. Riehl o Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director c Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager c Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs c Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. s Scott Peter Scott Dean c Speer Sharon Speer o Tippie John Tippie o Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant c Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	c	Nickles	Joseph Nickles	Principal Engineer
s Price Ed Price c Riehl Jerry A. Riehl o Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director c Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager c Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs c Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. s Scott Peter Scott Dean c Speer Sharon Speer o Tippie John Tippie o Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant c Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	s	Pickle	Douglas L. Pickle	Professor and Division Chair
C Riehl Jerry A. Riehl O Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	D	<u>Potoka</u>	Bruce Potoka	Chief Site Support Section
o Richards Charles L. Richar Executive Director c Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager c Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs c Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. s Scott Peter Scott Dean c Speer Sharon Speer o Tippie John Tippie o Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant c Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	s	Price	Ed Price	
C Riddle David C. Riddle Training Manager C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	С	Riehl	Jerry A. Riehl	
C Rodgers Bruce A. Rodgers Director of Environmental Affairs C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	0	Richards	Charles L. Richar	Executive Director
C Scherck Gary B. Scherck No longer at Boeing Corp. S Scott Peter Scott Dean C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	С	Riddle	David C. Riddle	Training Manager
s Scott Peter Scott Dean c Speer Sharon Speer o Tippie John Tippie o Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultan c Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	С	Rodgers	Bruce A. Rodgers	Director of Environmental Affairs
C Speer Sharon Speer O Tippie John Tippie O Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	c	Scherck	Gary B. Scherck	No longer at Boeing Corp.
o Tippie John Tippie o Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant c Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	s	Scott	Peter Scott	Dean
o Thomas Susan Drew Thom Professional Development Consultant C Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist U Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	c	Speer	Sharon Speer	
c Ward Jackle H. Ward Senior Lead Safety Specialist u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	0			
u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	0	<u>Thomas</u>	Susan Drew Thom	Professional Development Consultant
u Waxman Michael Waxman Associate Professor	c	Ward	Jackle H. Ward	Senior Lead Safety Specialist
		Waxman		
u White LuAnn E. White	u	White	LuAnn E. White	
i				Safety and Environmental Administrator
c Wise Roger Wise		Wise	Roger Wise	
c Zientek Michael E. Ziente				
s Powers Steve Powers Training Manager	<u>s</u>	Powers	Steve Powers	Training Manager



Division	Organization
	Lockheed Idaho Technologies Company
	Texas State Technical College
	Metro Dade Department of Soild Waste
	Unisys Corporation
	Facilities and Environmental Consultants, Inc.
Environmental Programs	Laborers-AGC Education and Training Fund
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency/OSWER
Hazardous Materials Management	Front Range Community College
Education Division	American Chemical Society
	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Regist
	EmTech
	AMES Rubber Corporation
	Occupational Safety and Training Institute
Hazardous Materials Training and Research Insti	
	Owens Community College
	P.E. LaMoreaux and Associates, Inc.
Federation of Environmental Professionals	Cedar Bay Generating Facility
	CH2M HILL
	US Army
	US Navy
I&HW Enforcement System	Texas National Resource Conservation Commiss
	SafetyCorp, Inc.
	Applied Geosciences, Inc.
	Hazardous Materials Control Resources Institute
Training Program Development	FERMCO
	Massachusetts Vocational Curriculum Resource
Environmental Education	National Environmental Health Association
	National Institute for Occupational Safety and
	Martin Marietta
Regulatory Analysis	Bechtel Hanford, Inc.
industrial Technology	Amariilo College
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
	Texas State Technical Coilege
	BGC, Inc.
	National Environmental Training Association
	Westinghouse Hanford Company
	Electric Fueis Corporation
Science and Industry	Linn-Benton Community College
	Occupational Safety Training Institute
	Laborers-AGC Education and Training Fund
	National Association of Environmental Professio
	Entergy Services, Inc.
University of Wisconsin	Engineering Professional Development
	Tulane University
	American Marazzi Tile
	Tampa Department of Sanitary Sewers
	Coe-Truman Technologies, Inc.
	Lakeshore Technical College



committee 4/17/96

Address 1	Address 2	City	State	Zip	Salutation
P.O. Box 1625		ldaho Falls	ID	83415-3810	
3810 Campus Drive	_	Waco	TX	76705	Jerry
8675 NW 53rd Street	Suite 201	Miami	FL	33166	Mara
Suite 1100	2525 East Camelback Roa	1	AZ	85016	Tom
205 Cambridge Drive	I STATE OF THE STA	Longwood	FL	32779-5709	<u> </u>
37 Deerfield Road	P.O. Box 37	Pomfret Cent		06259	William
401 M Street SW	Mail Code 5101	Washington	DC	20460	Myra
3645 West 112th Avenue	101011 0000 0101	Westminster	CO	80030	Mr. Boon
1155 Sixteenth Street NW		Washington	DC	20036	Ken
2141 Dayron Circle		Marietta	GA	30062	Richard
303 Arthur Street		Ft. Worth		76107	iCharlie
23-47 Ames Boulevard		•		07419	Joe
			OR	97006-4194	
17524 N.W. Bernard Place			TX	77031	Liewellyn
8415 West Bellfort #300			$\overline{}$	52404	
6301 Kirkwood Boulevard S	1	Cedar Rapids			Doug
300 Davis Street	0.0.0010	Findlay	ОН		Dave
2612 University Boulevard	P.O. Box 2310	Tuscaloosa	AL	35401	<u>iLois</u>
P.O. Box 26324	304 Lazy Meadow Drive	Jacksonville	FL	32226	Kevin
2550 Hwy. 70 SE		· -	NC		Louise
P.O. Box 22508		Denver	CO	80222-0508	
PSC 557 Box 1286		FPO	AP	96379-1286	
P.O. Box 640121	1	Kenner	LA	70064-0121	,
P.O. Box 13087		Austin	TX		Kristlna
3203 Superior-Room 202	P.O. Box 1248	Sheboygan	WI	53082	Bill
29B Technology Drive	Suite 100	Irvine	CA	927 18	Jon
One Church Street	Suite 200	Rockville	MD		Ed
P.O. Box 39874	498 Circle Freeway-Suite 1	Cincinnati	ОН	45239	John
758 Marrett Road		Lexington	MA	02173	John
3308 Tidewater Ct.		Almey	MD	20832	Dan
720 South Colorado Bouleva		Denver	co	80222	Reggie
MS R-13	4676 Columbia Parkway	Cincinnati	ОН	45226	Eugene
8714 Kenilworth Drive		Springfield	VA	22151	David
450 Hills Street	P.O. Box 969; H4-86	Richland	WA	99352	Joe
P.O. Box 447		<u>Amarillo</u>	TX	79178-0001	Doug
26 West MLK Boulevard		Cincinnati	ОН	45268	Bruce
3801 Campus Drive		Waco	TX	76705	Ed
9315 Fauntleroy Way, SW		Seattle	WA	98136	Jerry
2930 East Camelback Road		Phoenix	AZ	85016-4412	Charles
1482 Wendell Phillips Road		Sunnyside	WA	98944	David
One Progress Plaza		St. Petersburg	FL	33701	Bruce
				· ·	Gary
6500 SE Pacific Boulevard		Albany	OR	97321-3774	Pete
9000 West Bellfort	Suite 570	Houston	ΤX	77031	Sharon
37 Deerfield Road	P.O. Box 37	Pomfret Cent	CT	06259	John
5165 MacArthur Boulevard,		Washington	DC	20016	Susan
P.O. Box 2951		Beaumont	TX	77704	Jackie
432 North Lake Street		Madison	WI	53706-1498	
School of Public Health	1430 Tulane Ave.	New Orleans	LA		LuAnn
359 Clay Road		Sunnyvale	TX	75182-9710	
2700 Maritime Boulevard			FL		Roger
5008 Fox Trail Drive NE			WA		Michael
				,,,,,,,	



committee 4/17/96

Phone	Fax
208/526-3564	208/526-1880
817/867-3438 or 800/792-8784	200/020 1000
305/594-1635	305/594-1591
602/224-4221	602/224-4285
	407/682-6238
407/682-4462	203/974-1459
203/974-0800	
202/260-4527	202/260-8626
303/466-8811x259	303/466-1623
202/872-4388	202/872-8734
404/639-6068	404/639-6075
800/336-0909	817/338-9565
201/827-9101	201/827-8893
503/629-0573 (h) 503/978-5628 (w)	
800/270-6882	212/222 1252
319/398-5678	319/398-1250
419/423-6827 x252	
205/752-5543	
904/751-4000	904/751-7320
704/327-7000	
303/771-0900	303/843-9365
(Japan)	
504/361-2699 office days 504/469-	
512/239-2562	512/239-2550
414/452-5569	
301/251-1900	
513/648-7336	513/648-7084
617/863-1863	617/863-9965
301/570-0426	
303/756-9090	303/691-9490
513/841-4543	513/841-4488
703/425-9858 (home) 703/569-8800	703/866-3205
509/372-9208	
806/354-6001	806/354-6096
513/569-7537	513/569-7276
817/867-3438x3384 800/792-8784	
602/956-6099	602/956-6399
509/837-5504	509/373-5027
813/824-6653	813/824-6411
503/967-8860	503/967-8834
203/974-0800	
202/966-1500	
409/827-5186	
608/262-2101	608/263-3160
504/584-1779	504/587-7352
214/226-0110x222	214/226-2508
813/247-3451x206	813/248-5269
010/24/-04018200	010/240-0209
414/458-4183 ×178	414/457-6211
414/400-4100 X1/0	14/40/-UZII_



Marketing
National Voluntary Skills Standard document and brochure



NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY

Center for Occupational
Research and Development
601 Lake Air Drive
P.O. Box 21689
Waco, Texas 76702-1689

For further information, contact James Johnson, Project Director at 800-972-2766.



PLACE STAMP HERE

National Voluntary Skills Standard
Hazardous Materials Management Technology
Center for Occupational Research and Development
601 Lake Air Drive
P.O. Box 21689
Waco, Texas 76702-1689



growth has occurred in the concern for the environment, resulting in a number of federal, state, and local regulations restrictions, and leading to a growing

and restrictions, and leading to a growing number of employment opportunities. For the most part, these jobs have been filled by workers who have grown up with the industry, gaining needed skills through workshops, courses, and on-the-job training. As the regulations and procedures become standardized, specific education, training, and skills required for professionals and technicians in these fields are emerging.

PREPARING FOR THE FUTURE

The United States remains the only major industrialized nation without national standards that define the skills required for industrial occupations, especially in rapidly changing technical fields. Skills standard projects have been established by the U.S. government to provide information about the skills, education, and training needed to function in emerging technical fields. As industry's need for qualified, knowledgeable technicians has increased during the past decade, so has the opportunity for schools to develop appropriate programs.

Funded by the U.S. Department of Education with matching funds from industry, the Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) has developed a voluntary skills standard for technicians who handle hazardous materials and hazardous waste. Intended to guide educators in creating curricula to meet the demands of industry, the Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT) standard will provide the basis for education and training programs that will ensure industry's access to appropriately trained technicians.

PROVIDING EMPLOYABILITY SKILLS

A skills standard must outline employability skills needed to succeed in the technical field represented. The standard includes the academic foundation required as well as the specific technical skills identified by employers. The standard also must address the broad competencies and capabilities identified in the Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills (SCANS) report. These employability skills are the workplace know-how that defines effective job performance today.

RELATING A SKILLS STANDARD TO EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

A skills standard benefits both industry and education by providing a clearly recognizable educational target. Educational programs designed to prepare people with the skills identified in this national standard will enable students to qualify for employment across the country. While programs may vary according to local employment needs, geographical area, and the diverse backgrounds of individuals entering the program, the skills standard provides a clear foundation upon which to build a quality program.

INDUSTRY'S PARTICIPATION

The National Voluntary Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology was developed with the assistance of environmental technology experts representing over 100 businesses and industries and with the help of the following professional societies:

Hazardous Materials Control Resources Institute (HMCRI)
National Association of Environmental Professionals (NAEP)
National Environmental Health Association (NEHA)
National Environmental Training Association (NETA)
Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE)

Developed under Grant No. V244B30010 from the U.S. Department of Education Business and Education Standards Program.

Please send me a complimentary copy of the

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY Skills Standard



HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY

Position
School/Organization
Address
City
State/Zip
Telephone
Briefly describe your involvement with HMMT:
A complimentary copy of the Skills Standard
will be provided to individuals involved in Hazardous Materials Management Technology.
(Limited quantities are available)
Please send me additional copies of the HMMT Skills Standard at \$18.50 per copy. (Item No: HM579-X)
rease and 676 for supping and nanding.
☐ Check enclosed for \$
☐ Purchase Order enclosed. No.
□ Visa □ MasterCard Exp.

You may also order by faxing form to 817-772-8972. For more information, call CORD at 800-972-2766.

Account No. Signature

Publicity
Press release sent out prior to dissemination of doucment.





FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE March 17, 1995

Contact: Teresa Rollins

(800) 972-2766

CORD RELEASES FIRST NATIONAL STANDARDS IN HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT

WACO, TEXAS -- The United States remains the only major industrialized nation without national standards that define the skills required for industrial occupations. Skills standard projects have been established by the U.S. government to provide information about the skills, education and training workers need to function in emerging technical fields. The Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) has developed a voluntary skills standard for technicians who handle hazardous materials and hazardous waste.

During the past decade, there has been phenomenal growth in the concern for the environment, resulting in numerous federal, state and local regulations and restrictions, and leading to a number of employment opportunities. For the most part, these jobs have been filled by workers who have grown up with the industry. These workers gained needed skills through workshops, courses and on-the-job training. As the regulations and procedures become standardized, specific education, training and skills required for professionals and technicians in these fields are emerging.

According to *Peterson's Job Opps'94*, *The Environment*, the number of jobs in the environmental industry have steadily increased over the past few years. There were 793,159 environmental jobs in 1988, and in 1992, that number rose to 1,073,397. It is expected to reach 1,327,150 in 1997.

-MORE-

193



Street Address: 601C Lake Air Drive · Waco, Texas 76710 · Telephone (817) 772-8756 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 21689 · Waco, Texas 76702-1689 · Fax (817) 772-8972

"With the rise in the number of jobs in the environmental industry, it is imperative that we outline the skills necessary to succeed in this field," said Jim Johnson, project director of the Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT) Project. "It is our hope at CORD that this skills standard will make a strong connection between the employment needs of business and industry and the educational institutions that prepare people for employment in the environmental industry."

The HMMT project, which is funded by the Department of Education, is one of 22 skills standard projects that has been coordinated by the Department of Labor and Department of Education. The hazardous materials standard defines what hazardous materials management technicians should know and be able to do to succeed on the job, and provides curriculum guidance to high schools, community colleges and universities that are creating or expanding hazardous materials management programs.

Thirteen job functions have been identified in the standard, along with the skills and knowledge necessary to successfully complete each job function. Also listed are specific skills related to chemistry, mathematics, physics, toxicology and computer technology that a Hazardous Materials Management Technology technician must possess.

The National Voluntary Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology was developed with the assistance of environmental technology experts representing more than 100 businesses and industries, and with the help of the Hazardous Materials Control Resources Institute (HMCRI), the National Association of Environmental Professionals (NAEP), the National Environmental Health Association (NEHA), the National Environmental Training Association (NETA) and the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE).



Newsletters Skill Standards Report



WHAT IS A SKILL STANDARD?

Bv Jim Johnson

riefly stated, a skill standard is a list of skills, knowledge, and level of ability that a person must possess to be successful in a given occupation. There are as many ways of developing a skill standard as there are funded projects, but some common elements exist in all



An important component of the Clinton Administration's educational vision for the United States is the development of Occupational Skill Standards. As part of the initiative, Goals 2000: Educate America, twenty-two skill standards development projects have been funded. This is a joint effort of the Departments of Labor and Education. The Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) has received funding from the Department of Education for two of the projects-Photonics and Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT).

The intent of Skill Standards Report is to communicate the progress of these and other skill standards projects and to share future plans and activities as the projects proceed.

projects. A thorough definition of the occupation is essential. Typically included in the definition is a task list. This is not a simple list of activities but rather a description of the level of ability to which each task must be performed. For instance, if a photonics technician understands safety eyewear, does he/she simply use the eyewear provided for them or do they clean and store the eyewear, select those appropriate to the laser in use, test them for compliance, or design the eyewear for a given task?

After a comprehensive task list has been formulated, an analysis must be conducted to determine the skills and knowledge needed to

successfully complete each task. Some skills may be very general in nature and applicable to a wide range of technologies, while others are very specific to a given occupation. Again, using the eyewear example, to understand the concept of optical density (OD) of protective filters, a photonics technician must know that an increase of 1 OD number (ie: from 3 to 4) increases the attenuation of the filter by a factor of 10 (from an optical attenuation of 1000 times to an attenuation of 10.000 times). A knowledge of exponents from mathematics is necessary to understand this concept. However, occupational skill standards would not attempt to define all the mathematical skills and knowledge involved with this concept.

MAKING THE TASK/SKILL LIST A "STANDARD"

Using the premise that standards are statements or policies that define a "norm" of expected outcomes, a skill standard must be recognized as the national norm for a particular occupation. Standards typically fall into two categories-regulatory or consensus. Regulatory standards are legislated into action and enforced by federal, state, or local authorities. Examples include the standards published by the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) or OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration). Skill standards are voluntary which means that they have become a standard by a consensus of opinion. The common ANSI (American National Standards Institute) standards are well-known examples of voluntary because they have been designed and agreed upon by committees of experts on the subject. Likewise, skill standards are voluntary standards designed by a coalition of experts. Both the Photonics project and the HMMT project have developed extensive coalitions of leaders from business, industry, government, professional societies, and education.

- story continued on next page

Inside:

Skill Standards Meet Tech Prep

Task Collection Theory


Photonics & HMMT Updates



... "What is a Skill Standard?" continued

IMPACT OF SKILL STANDARDS ON INDUSTRY

Skill standards are intended to be industry led. Industry must define tasks and activities that employees are expected to perform. Educators participate in the process and help breakdown the tasks into skills and then take the lead in developing the educational objectives and training activities to instill these skills. The process and involvement of industry and education is illustrated below.



IMPACT OF SKILL STANDARDS ON EDUCATION

The current skill standards development program will define twenty-two different occupations from a national perspective. These standards can be used by schools as guidelines for implementing new training programs or evaluating existing programs. The standards will accelerate the design of new programs and curriculum, but schools must mold the national skill standards to the needs of local industry by forming local coalitions of experts. This has a secondary benefit because it will allow for improved communication between schools and local industry.

Uniform job descriptions benefit both industry and education by providing a clearly recognizable educational target. This is a double-edged sword because educational providers will be judged and held accountable to the standard.

SKILL STANDARDS MEET TECH PREP

NATIONAL TECH PREP NETWORK TO SHOWCASE PROJECTS AT SPRING CONFERENCE

By Julie Vitale

he most logical marriage of initiatives between education and industry is that of the Tech Prep/Associate Degree movement and the Industry Skill Standards projects. Both are federally-funded projects (Tech Prep funded through the Department of Education and Skill Standards funded through both the Departments of Education and Labor) with the purpose of better preparing students to enter the workforce.

Tech Prep/Associate Degree is a philosophy and a process of designing curriculum to connect secondary and postsecondary education levels as well as to integrate the academic and vocational skills and knowledge necessary to prepare for a career field. With this in mind, the skill standards projects add a much needed and critical component of a Tech Prep curriculum; the outcomes that a Tech Prep student must have upon exiting education and entering the workforce. Tech Prep educators are seeking advice, input, and involvement from businesses around the country and in various occupations to help them devise a logical sequence of courses that fully develop the skills industry requires now as well as in the future. Tech Prep graduates will be a new kind of employee; one that can continuously build their skills upon a foundation of solid math and science concepts.

The first step toward collaboration will be taken on April 10, at the National Tech Prep Network (NTPN) Conference in Baltimore. Project directors of various skill standards projects will conduct a pre-conference session to present the total scope and outcomes of their projects to Tech Prep educators. This gathering of education and industry representatives will initiate a dialogue between those who have a vested interest in skill standards and educational reform.

For more information on the NTPN Pre-Conference session, contact Jim Johnson at CORD, 800-972-2766.

Skill Standards SeminarOctober 3 - 4, 1994
Roney Teaching Center
Waco, Texas

- ✓ AGENDA: Assisting Schools in Program Evaluation
- ✓ PARTICIPANTS: Representatives from Industry and Education



TASK COLLECTION THEORY: METHODOLOGIES AND LOGISTICS FOR THE PHOTONICS SKILL STANDARDS PROJECT

By Darrell Hull

evising industry skill standards is a complex endeavor. The first and most important step toward standards development is taken when representatives from the designated industry are asked "What tasks must be accomplished by technicians in your field?"

Because photonics and HMM are emerging technologies, the skill standards that will be developed through these projects must be as forward-looking as possible. The involvement of first line managers, engineers, or scientists that work directly with technicians is vital in this planning stage. Because these individuals are responsible for creating lasting improvements for photonics products or related services and are familiar with technician tasks and job requirements, they have a good sense of what the industry will face over the next five years.

Before industry representatives can respond to our question, we must first define the term "task." For the photonics skill standards project we will refer to tasks as assignments technicians must be able to accomplish on the job. Other skill standards projects may use a term such as objectives or competencies to describe what we call technician tasks.

Regardless of the terminology, all skill standards projects will eventually link the needs of industry with the curriculum and training provided at educational institutions in order to provide technicians with the skills needed to be successful in their future jobs in industry.

To compile a comprehensive list of tasks, the industry representatives will be provided lists of tools and equipment along with action verbs that they will use to briefly state the tasks that technicians must perform. Industry committees, which will meet in June and July, will match action verbs with common tools or equipment. As task lists are gathered, the photonics project staff will organize the statements using Bloom's Taxonomy, a tool frequently used by educators, which will allow classification of tasks by the degree of cognitive processing required.

Once industry tasks have been defined for photonics technicians, committees of educators will be asked to translate those tasks into skills technicians must possess to be able to accomplish them. In a future issue of *Skill Standards Report*, we will focus on the translation of the tasks set forth by the photonics industry into skills developed by educators.

Category: Analysis, Test and Measurement

	Align	Select	Specify	Purchase	Fabricate	Mount	Install	Position	Rearrange	Repair	Refurbish	Integrate	Alter	Classify	Identify	Demonstrate	Operate	
Boxcar Averagers																		
Densitometers																		
interferometers																		
Microdensitometers																		
Microscopes																		
Monochrometers																		
Optics Metrology Equipment																		
Power/Energy Meters																		
Radiometers/Photometers																		
Reflectometers																		
Spectroradiometers																		
Spectrum Analyzers																		
Time-Delay Generators																		
																		!

As Photonics task statements are gathered, they will be classified by degree of cognitive processing required to complete the task.

PhotonicsBEST Meeting

BUSINESS & EDUCATION STANDARDS FOR TECHNICIANS

July 23 in San Diego

- Photonics Engineers
- Corporate Managers
- Corporate Administrators
- Photonics Technicians

Visit our booth at CLEO in Anaheim





Jim Johnson

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR PROJECT: HMMT

The HMMT project has established an advisory committee which met for the first time on December 3, 1993 and consists of 40 representatives from industry, labor, societ-

ies, education, and government agencies. Four groupings or specialities within HMMT were identified: Compliance, Remediation, Laboratory/Analytical, and STD (storage, transportation, and disposal). They are all expected to have a similar foundation of HMMT skills but possess enhanced skills in the speciality area.

Regional "focus" group meetings are being conducted to help identify local variations in HMMT requirements. The first one of its kind was held on February 23 at South Seattle Community College, and another was held in Miami on March 8. Additional meetings are being planned for Albuquerque, New Orleans, and Atlanta. The second advisory committee meeting is being planned for Fort Worth in June to coordinate with the annual meeting of the National Environmental Health Association. A survey is being prepared for distribution to several hundred potential employers of HMM technicians, with the results expected to be compiled and validated by the Advisory Committee later in the summer and eventually disseminated at the Skill Standards seminar in October.



Darrell Hull

PROJECT: PHOTONICS

Considerable efforts are still underway to broaden CORD's list of industry relationships and contacts with significant representation from industry and education

constituents on this project. The industry or business connection, we feel, must be exceptionally strong if we are to devise standards that are meaningful. Subsequently, the contingent from industry is taking time to assemble. If you have agreed to participate with us on the project or have nominated someone to participate and have yet to be contacted, please be patient, as we are attempting to put together complete committees and notify those individuals as soon as possible.

Our primary source of industry/business contacts is from the professional societies that already serve the photonics field, specifically, the SPIE (the International Society for Optical Engineering), the OSA (Optical Society of America) and the ASLMS (American Society for Laser Medicine and Surgery). Once we have received validated task lists from our industry/business participants, we will begin meeting with educators who can assist us in translating the associated skills. Meanwhile, if you have not been contacted to assist us on this project and you feel like you have something to contribute, call me at 800-972-2766, or email (darrellhull@delphi.com).

CORD COMMUNICATIONS

P.O. Box 21206 Waco, Texas 76702-1206



SKILLS TANDARDS REPORT

THE NEED FOR SKILL STANDARDS

By Darrell Hull

n the earlier part of this century, the industrial system in the United States was second to none. Our industries flourished because of our economic strength, a proven approach to mass manufacturing, superior factories and equipment, and a workforce composed of strong managers and capable, compliant front-line workers. A college degree was considered a sure road to economic and professional success and the guarantee of a superior lifestyle that would improve each year. This concept became part of the American dream, and its perception has persisted into the present, even as

the reality of the American job market has shifted.

What are the keys to competitive-ness in America for corporations that wish to compete on a global scale? Certainly their workforce plays a primary role. New workers in

ness in
America for corporations
that wish to compete on a
global scale? Certainly
their workforce plays a primary role. New workers in
this country must be as capable and competent as
their counterparts in other
countries, or the U.S. will
lose the heightening economic battle.

The intent of the Skill Standards Report is to communicate the progress of the Photonics and HMMT skill standards projects and to share future plans and activities as the projects proceed.

Until the 1980s, our unskilled and semiskilled workers were competing indirectly with workers in thirdworld countries who could learn their jobs relatively quickly, achieve a comparable or superior level of quality, and remain satisfied with wages that were five to ten times lower than those of the American worker. The only way for American companies to compete globally and maintain operations within the United States was to make full use of information systems, sophisticated technology, and automation.

Fewer but higher-skilled workers were needed as a result. Delays in retooling and restaffing or "upskilling" resulted in loss of market share and loss of jobs. Something had to be done. The competence of students applying for jobs had to be addressed.

Nearly two-thirds of all students in public education do not complete a baccalaureate degree and are often perceived as students who cannot learn foundational subjects such as math and science. In fact, it is these students who in the future must be able to apply and transfer the same academic foundations even as the technology changes around them.

Community and technical college associate degree programs can play a role in preparing this large majority of students for the technical and academic skills they need in the workforce. Educational standards in an ideal school would include achievement that is measured by demonstrable skills and abilities. Employers not only would have a voice in setting the outcomes, but also would provide a kind of quality check on the educational process by their interest in hiring recent graduates of such a school.

In an effort to obtain consensus on the skills that should be imparted in educational institutions for these workers, the U.S. Departments of Education and Labor have funded 22 projects to identify and develop skill standards. A complete listing of the skills that should be taught would break down barriers such as different course names or numbers, and require schools to use a common language to describe what is taught. Translated, skill standards would provide a consistent base of skills which employers could use to evaluate potential employees.

Obviously, several iterations of employers and educators reviewing each other's work are required before the standard becomes a consensus document that students/ workers, educators, employers, government, organized labor and others can rely upon to successfully link industry needs and education goals. This endeavor is what the 22 individual skill standards projects hope to accomplish.

Inside:

Constructing a Common Framework...
Certification Programs and Skill Standards



CONSTRUCTING A COMMON FRAMEWORK FOR SKILL STANDARDS PROJECTS

efore the National Skill Standards Board can function effectively, a set of criteria for endorsing standards must be developed. To build this common "framework," the Institute for Educational Leadership (IEL) has designed a process from which all project grantees should construct a skill standards model. Six commonly used models that meet the minimum necessary criteria for developing skill standards have been developed. These show that the skill standards:

- must communicate information to various audiences
- must allow for comparison of standards across occupational clusters
- must provide an avenue for implementation of certification activities such as assessment, recertification, and career mobility

Based on these criteria, any of the following six models as well as the Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) model for the Photonics Skill Standards Project could be acceptable standard forms for a skill standard. The first six models use APDOT categorization, and their advantages and disadvantages were developed by IEL. The final example demonstrates the model CORD has used in constructing the Photonics Skill Standards Project.

DEVELOPING AND EVALUATING MODELS FOR UNIFORMITY

MODEL A DESCRIPTION

The standard set is expressed as one or more statements of skill standards. Supporting evidence describing the requisite knowledge/skills and assessment is specified by standards set, but is not part of the standard.

Advantages

Standards statements can be written in any format and at any level of specificity. Each standard set is supported by descriptions of the requisite knowledge, skills, and assessments. Certification by standard set is possible.

Disadvantages

Descriptions may contain some duplicate information, since the same knowledge and skills could be required in more than one standard set. Having the same knowledge and skills apply to different standards sets may affect how assessments are constructed. Comparison of standards across and within occupational clusters may be limited if standards sets and supporting evidence are written at different levels of specificity.

MODEL A: SKILL STANDARDS SET + SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

Standards Set:

The worker calibrates equipment to produce a product within +/-.0001 degrees of specifications. Calibrations occur thout assistance within two hours of blueprint review.

Supporting Evidence: Knowledge/Skills

- Can apply arithmetic calculations with 100% accuracy
- Has knowledge of basic manufacturing terminology
- Has knowledge of computer programming techniques for equipment calibration
- Has knowledge of algorithms to perform calculations
- Is able to develop a diagnostic computer program to obtain optimum equipment operations
- Is able to calculate equipment without assistance to produce within +/- .0001 degrees of blueprint specifications

Assessment:

- Assessments: a test of knowledge, a performance exercise, and a portfolio of 10 successful computerized manufacturing programs
- Assessments are given only by approved NWM programs.

MODEL B DESCRIPTION

The standard is a competency unit that includes the duty/ function, tasks/activities, and performance criteria. The range specifies the circumstances under which performance criteria are applied.

Advantages

The competency unit, which as a whole represents the standard, is written in a specific grammatical format and at a predetermined level of specificity. This uniformity can enhance interpretation by different users. Certifications could be given by competency unit to permit horizontal as well as vertical career growth. Knowledge and skills must be integrated within the context of the work to be performed.

Disadvantages

A restricted format for writing standards limits the flexibility of the author. The parameters for assessment are established by the evidence of successful performance and the range indicators. This may restrict the way assessments are conducted. Using all performance criteria rather than sampling would be time-consuming if large numbers of individuals had to be assessed.

MODEL B: COMPETENCY UNITS

Duty/Function:

Calibration of manufacturing equipment to specifications Tasks/Activities:

- Performs calculations to translate scaled drawings
- Interprets manufacturing specifications to determine requisite equipment calibrations
- Designs diagnostic programs to calibrate equipment

Evidence of Successful Performance:

- Applies appropriate mathematical calculations with 100% accuracy in translating scaled specifications to size
- Calibrates equipment that produces a product within +/- .0001 degrees of blueprint specifications
- Calibrates equipment within prescribed time frame



Range:

- Standard manufacturing specifications for metal products or electromagnetic wire
- XYZ computerized manufacturing system
- Manufacturing or recycling settings

MODEL C DESCRIPTION

Standards are expressed in terms of content and performance. To distinguish degrees of skill mastery, different performance standards can be established for the same content standard.

Advantages

Standards clearly differentiate what one needs to know or be able to do and the level at which competency is determined. Performance standards are clearly distinguishable from content standards. Performance standards can be used to differentiate vertical as well as horizontal career growth requirements. Content standards can be further clustered/organized by worker attributes (APDOT Content Model). A standard format for writing standards facilitates comparisons across and within occupational clusters.

Disadvantages

Every content standard must have one or more performance standard. Performance standards cannot relate to more than one content standard. Assessment strategies must be well conceived to avoid creating performance standards that are not practicable.

MODEL C: CONTENT- & PERFORMANCE-BASED STANDARDS

Content Standards:

Workplace Basic Skills: the worker knows basic mathematical calculations to compute density.

Occupational Knowledge: the worker knows manufacturing terms frequently used in product blueprint specifications. Occupational Skills: the worker writes manufacturing programs using commonly accepted computer language.

Performance Standards:

Written Tests:

■ The worker attains a passing score on the NWM written tests of basic skills.

Performance Exercises:

- The worker produces a widget within +/- .0001 degrees of specifications within two hours of review (entry level).
- The worker's portfolio demonstrates creation of operable computer programs written in 10 or more manufacturing setups (advanced level).

MODEL D DESCRIPTION

The standards module contains four components: technical skills, equipment/tools, basic skills, and range indicators.

Advantages

Certification of standards can be done by each component of a module (e.g., tools and equipment) or by module. Standards modules can differ by setting. Each component of the standards module can be written in any format.

Disadvantages

The module does not describe how the knowledge, skills, and equipment/tools are to be employed. Performance criteria are not stated. With no standard format for writing standards, comparisons across and within occupational clusters may be limited. Equipment and tools may become outdated more quickly than the technical and foundational skills.

MODEL D: SKILLS + TOOLS MODULE

Technical Skills:

- Has knowledge of basic manufacturing terminology
- Has knowledge of advanced programming techniques
- Is able to calibrate equipment to optimum standards
- Is able to calibrate equipment without assistance to produce the product within +/- .0001 degrees of specifications
- Is able to write manufacturing computer programs

Equipment and Tools:

- XYZ computerized manufacturing system
- Standard computer equipment

Foundation Skills:

- Has knowledge of algorithms to perform calculations for density
- Can apply arithmetic calculations with 100% accuracy
- Is able to read blueprint specifications
- Knows basic computer operations

Range

- Manufacturing or recycling settings which specialize in metal or electromagnetic wire products
- XYZ computerized manufacturing system

MODEL E DESCRIPTION

This model contains both basic workplace skill standards and cross-functional skills. Each skill statement is a standard.

Advantages

Only basic skills and cross-functional skills are specified. Both content and performance standards can be established but are not required by the model. Standards for occupational clusters rather than single occupations can be established. Certification of competency can be based upon a set of basic workplace and cross-functional skills that are not occupationally specific. The certification entity would not need to be linked to a particular industry or occupation.

Disadvantages

Skill standards would not include occupationally specific standards. If standards are established across occupational clusters, validation to a specific occupation would still be necessary. Without a common format for expressing standards, comparisons across occupational clusters may be limited.

MODEL E: WORKPLACE BASICS & CROSS-FUNCTIONAL SKILLS

Workplace Basic Skills:

Content Standards:

 Has knowledge of arithmetic to perform calculations for density



 202^{3}

Performance Standards:

■ Can apply calculations with 100% accuracy

Cross-Functional Skills:

Content Standards:

- Able to read manufacturing blueprint specifications without assistance
- Knows computer programming

Performance Standards:

- Demonstrates 100% accuracy in interpreting five different blueprint specifications
- Creates 10 or more operable computer programs

MODEL F DESCRIPTION

Only occupationally specific standards are described. Each standard consists of a description of the knowledge, skills, duties/functions, and range.

Advantages

Only occupationally specific information (knowledge and skills as well as duties/functions) is provided in each standard. Standards are clearly linked to work duties/functions. Certification of occupational-specific standards can be performed separately from basic workplace skills or cross-functional skills. Certification by duty/function is also possible.

Disadvantages

Without a common format for expressing standards, comparisons across occupational clusters or with foundational skills may be difficult. Standards will not provide information about foundational skills such as basic and cross-functional skills. Occupational knowledge and skills required for one duty/ function may also be required for others. This may cause duplicate information to be recorded in each standard. Performance criteria are not specified.

MODEL F: OCCUPATIONAL-SPECIFIC STANDARDS

Occupational Knowledge:

- Has knowledge of basic manufacturing terminology
- Has knowledge of advanced programming techniques for equipment calibration
- Has knowledge of computer programs commonly used in manufacturing

Occupational Skills:

- Is able to calibrate equipment to optimum standards
- Is able to calculate equipment with 100% accuracy
- Is able to write manufacturing computer programs
- Is able to develop diagnostic computer programs for equipment calibrations

Duties/Functions:

- Develops computerized diagnostic programs to calibrate optimum equipment efficiency
- Calibrates equipment to optimum operating standards
- Develops five-stage computerized manufacturing programs to meet customer blueprint specifications
- Knows basic computer operations

Range:

 Standard manufacturing specifications for metal products and electromagnetic wire

- XYZ computerized manufacturing system
- Manufacturing or recycling settings

CORD PHOTONICS MODEL DESCRIPTION

Standards are a collection of "tasks" and their associated "skills/competencies or knowledge components." Tasks are simple two-word statements, developed initially by a content expert. This is done by first listing the tools and equipment used by workers in the field and allowing members of the industry to connect these tools/equipment with verbs that indicate how the instrument is used. The tasks are validated and translated (again by educational content experts) into skills/competencies or knowledge components.


Advantages

Industry can easily provide a great deal of input into the standards. Industry and educators have clearly established roles, making it easier for them to participate in development. This understanding helps the project attract large numbers of coalition members from both sectors. Certification is tied to performance of the industry-specified tasks if necessary, creating an opportunity for applied, hands-on evaluation of competency. Simplicity and concrete terms should provide users with a workable standard, capable of being understood by educators, students, and employers, so that adoption is not a complex process. Curriculum development that is applied in nature is a straightforward endeavor using this output.

Disadvantages

Collection of the task data reveals many useless variables that would not be considered "real" tasks, but are evaluated regardless (i.e. clean software). This process would require adaptation for occupational categories that are nontechnical in nature.

MODEL



These models demonstrate only a few popular ideas for constructing standards. Many new formats continue to be proposed to industry and education. The design chosen for the NSSB must meet as many of the current industry needs as possible, yet remain flexible enough to change as new and better ways of presenting standards develop.

→ mechanics of

R.H. and L.H. threads



Replace screws

CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS AND SKILL STANDARDS

By Jim Johnson

A critical part of the 22 skill standards projects currently being developed is the identification of certification verifying that an individual has mastered the skills listed in the standard. The term "certification" may have different meanings depending on the technology or profession involved.

Often, "certification" is associated with management or professional levels of employment. The few certification programs that do exist for the technician are called "nonprofessional" or "sub-professional" certifications. Generally, they have little influence on employers. Virtually all certification programs require a candidate to have an educational degree, some related occupational experience, and a passing score on a competency test. Many certification programs also have a "code of ethics" that the certified individual accepts. The more rigorous the requirements, the more prestigious and respected the certification. These requirements do give an indication of an individual's qualification and work ethics, but they do not verify the mastery of any particular skill. Certification programs of this type need to be tied to the National Skill Standards so that the testing and assessment methods do, in fact, verify that the individual is proficient to the level of ability defined by the skill standard.

Certification programs need to be tied to the National Skill Standards so that the testing and assessment methods verify that the individual is proficient to the level of ability defined by the skill standard.

Most schools find it essential to be "accredited." Recognized accrediting agencies such as the Southern Association of Schools and Colleges (SASC) and the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) have been setting standards for school operations and programs for many years. Recently, occupational groups and professional societies have been getting involved by setting guidelines that they expect training programs to follow. Automotive Service Excellence (ASE) and the National Automotive Technical Education Foundation (NATEF) have established a successful certification process for the automobile service industry. These guidelines outline the industry's expectations of training programs and address such issues as number of hours of training required, topics covered, tools and equipment used in the training, and instructor qualifications. The guidelines also define expected student performance. The certification program sets guidelines for the assessment of both the training facility and the students. The success of the program is primarily due to the fact that the employers are stakeholders in the educational process and recognize the practical value of the certification process.

To complicate things more, many states require licenses for certain technologies, such as health occupations. Other federal and state agencies also require certificates of training. In the field of Hazardous Materials Management, for example, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requires special training for employees before they are "certified" to work with hazardous materials. Construction workers may need certifications from the state to work with lead and asbestos abatement.

Certification and the accompanying assessment of skills are a major part of the 22 National Skill Standards Projects and will be one of the top priorities of the newly established National Skill Standards Board. Certification provides another method for industry and professional leaders to be actively involved in the educational process.

of the state of th **WORKSITE LEARNING** SPECIALTY CONFERENCE

following the National Tech Prep **Network Annual Conference** October 30-31, Minneapolis

Co-sponsors:





For registration information, call 1-800-231-3015.





Jim Johnson

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR PROJECT: HMMT

Approximately 50 Hazardous Materials Management technicians (HMMT) contributed to an activity journal earlier this year by listing the job duties and responsibilities they

encounter on a daily basis. These duties, along with information from various job descriptions, were grouped and organized into a Task Outline. This was presented to representatives of industry and education at three regional focus group meetings. The outline was also presented to the National HazMat Advisory Committee at a June meeting in Fort Worth, Texas. The comments and suggestions from attendees of these meetings are now being incorporated into the outline.

Staff at the National Environmental Health Association (NEHA) are using the outline to design an industrial survey. The survey will be sent to a large number of HMMTs and their employers. The results will validate the tasks and prioritize each task based on its importance and how often it is performed on the job.

A certification subcommittee has been formed and had its first meeting in July at the Roney Teaching Center in Waco, Texas, with the group investigating various certification programs and discussing possible methods of incorporating the skill standards into technician certification programs.



Darrell Hull

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR

PROJECT: PHOTONICS

Over 100 coalition members from the photonics industry completed task collection documents and discussed the development process for the project this past May in

Anaheim, California. A second meeting, PhotonicsBEST, held this month in San Diego, was industry's final contribution to the initial phase of the project, giving coalition members from several professional organizations within the industry an opportunity to evaluate a compilation of all tasks provided to date.

Once the tasks for technicians have been analyzed, a meeting will be held in late August specifically for educators, who will begin the translation of tasks into skills. For example, industry will tell us the tasks workers should be able to perform in the workplace, such as "Align a Nd:YAG laser." The educators will then translate this task statement into skills that should be imparted in the educational institution. These might include the principle of reflection and the mechanics of mirror mounts, Q-switch operation, and so on. Educators will also be asked to develop a consensus opinion on the qualifications needed by educators to teach in this area. If you are interested in participating in the project or would like more information, you may contact me at CORD, 800-972-2766, or by E-mail (darrellhull@delphi.com).

HMMT DISSEMINATION WORKSHOP • OCTOBER 3-4, 1994 • CALL 800-972-2766 FOR MORE INFORMATION.

CORD COMMUNICATIONS

P.O. Box 21206 Waco, Texas 76702-1206



Skill Standards Report is published by CORD Communications, an organization of the Center for Occupational Research and Development. The CORD organizations are dedicated to the advancement of technical education and contextual learning.

VOLUME 2, NUMBER 1

SKILLSTANDARD REPORT

HAZMAT AND PHOTONICS SKILL STANDARDS PROJECTS PUBLISHED

The Hazardous Materials Management Technology and Photonics skill standards projects have been reauthorized for an additional grant period that will extend the work of the projects to three years each. The skill standards documents represent the first version of what will be a long process of change and reissuance to address the requirements for workers in the areas of both HazMat and Photonics.

As both projects conclude the first phase of funding, the Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) is currently distributing the standards publications. Only after a document is printed and released can the standards be evaluated for clarity and construction, as well as applicability to curriculum development and implementation. Since all standards must be continually updated to include the latest industry and educational changes, individuals should contact the project directors at CORD to make suggestions or voice concerns.

To order a copy of the skills standard publication for either HazMat or Photonics, contact CORD Communications toll-free at 800-231-3015. To receive a complimentary copy of the HazMat publication, call CORD at 800-972-2766.

The intent of Skill Standards Report is to communicate the progress of the HazMat and Photonics skill standards projects and to share future plans and activities as the projects proceed.

The photonics skills standard will be available for \$18.50 from CORD Communications by calling 800-231-3015. All proceeds will be applied toward the printing, postage, and handling of the dòcument. Should revenue from the sale of the skills standard document exceed production and handling costs, the excess money will be applied to accomplishing project objectives and funding of future iterations of the Photonics standard.

The photonics skills standard is also available on Internet's World Wide Web server at no charge. Internet: http://www.spie.org/photonics_ed.html

The skills standard for HazMat is available without charge (on a single-copy basis) to qualified recipients. To receive your free copy of the publication, call CORD at 800-972-2766. You will be asked to complete a brief survey about your involvement in the field of HazMat. Multiple copies will be available for \$18.50 each and can be ordered from CORD Communications by calling 800-231-3015. The standard will be available April 15 on the Internet: http://www.cord.org/~HMMT

CAREER CLUSTERS PROJECT

CORD is embarking on a new project under the guidance of the fifty State Directors of Vocational and Technical Education to design a career-cluster educational structure and a process for developing integrated curriculum clusters. Among other objectives, this project will attempt to identify foundations for learning similar to those outlined in the Photonics and HazMat standards. These foundations would be appropriate for clusters of occupations such as those with a Bio/Chemical core or foundation (including the HazMat project) or those with an Electro-Mechanical core (including the Photonics project). Now that the two skill standards documents are being released in print, as are other skill standards documents, it will be possible to analyze the standards to determine their commonality. If needed, this analysis could result in changes to the standards that would enhance their core or foundational elements in relation to other standards within the cluster of occupations.

This career-cluster structure should prove useful to high school students and educators alike in the construction of programs that articulate well within a Tech Prep (4+2) program of study. A solid Tech Prep program requires coordination between secondary and postsecondary institutions to give students a solid foundation for a career pathway and the world of work. A prospectus containing information about this collaborative project is available by calling Terri Johnson at 800-972-2766.

Inside:

• Using Skill Standards for Curriculum Design • Second Phase Funding for Skill Standards

SKILL STANDARDS PROJECTS RECEIVE SECOND PHASE FUNDING

By Jim Johnson and April Watkins

The Hazardous Materials Management Technology and the Photonics Technician Skills Standard Projects both passed the half-way mark on November 1, 1994. Phase 1 is completed and Phase 2 has begun. Building on the accomplishments of the first 18 months, it is now time to lay plans for the remaining 18 months.

Now that the standards are published, why is Phase 2 needed? Because occupational skill standards are a new concept in the United States, businesses and industries are not accustomed to using such guidelines. Even in many educational circles, the design of educational programs consistent with national norms is a new approach to curriculum development. Project activities in Phase 2 will concentrate on issues such as the validation, dissemination, certification, and integration of the skill standards. We will attempt to reach an ever-expanding number of businesses and educational organizations to assist them in implementing the standards as an employment guideline and educational resource. The Internet offers a new tool for reaching a large audience. Not only will this allow greater access to and publicity of the standards, the Internet also gives interested parties an opportunity to make comments and suggestions concerning the projects. Hazardous Materials Management Technology and Photonics can only benefit from this input.

In Phase 2, HazMat project teams will also work closely with educators to design training programs consistent with the standard. Two types of educational programs are needed in this technology. The first program will include short-term courses designed to meet compliance requirements or to retrain employees whose jobs have been disrupted by economic forces such as military base closures. The second program is aimed at the education of students who will choose this occupation to begin their careers. Photonics teams will work to develop an integrated curriculum structure that accounts for academic standards, SCANS skills, and other workplace experiences. To be successful in these efforts for both standards, we must investigate integrated curriculum structures that guide individuals in their chosen career paths.

A final goal of Phase 2 is assessment and certification. The project staff along with various committees comprised of business and education representatives must define the requirements by which individuals can be assessed for certification based on the requirements of the standards.

HAZMAT SKILLS STANDARD DISSEMINATION WORKSHOPS SET

The HazMat dissemination workshop seminars are intended to help educators understand the significance of skill standards in developing technical curricula. The seminars are provided as a part of the U.S. Department of Education's Skill Standards initiative. A portion of each seminar has been designed to help participants understand the elements necessary to start or evaluate Hazardous Materials Management Technician (HMMT) programs in their institutions.

Where do you, the educator, come into the picture? This HazMat Skills Standard should be the basis for educational goals that determine the curriculum, that teach the skills, and that produce the students who can demonstrate the competencies outlined in the standard and perform as HMMTs in industry. It is in this last phase that you, as an educator, are the critical element. Each seminar will introduce you to the skills standard, and provide you the opportunity to reflect on how the standard can be turned into educational goals for your institution.

HAZMAT SKILL STANDARDS DISSEMINATION WORKSHOP

APRIL 27-28, 1995

Environmental Occupations Center University of Florida Gainesville, Florida

JUNE 14, 1995


in conjunction with the meeting of The National Association of Environmental Professionals Washington D.C.



RELATING SKILL STANDARDS TO EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

By Jim Johnson

hen developing an educational program for HMMTs, the curriculum developer must implement a planning procedure, such as the one outlined, before courses or text materials are chosen. The following illustration shows multistep procedures that are described below.



Step 1: Identify all the standards that must be used to define an Integrated Educational Result. Skill standards identify occupational requirements, while other standards specify additional requirements. For instance, the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) has established a standard for secondary-level mathematics courses. The SCANS report, published by the United States Department of Labor, identified skills that should be addressed at all levels of education. Collectively, these standards and skills must be incorporated into an HMMT educational program. Integrating these standards in terms of educational results is the second step in the process.

Step 2: Integrate concepts from various disciplines across the curriculum.

Step 3: Look at the sequence and level of skill developments identified. Decisions about the grade level or student-development level most appropriate for the introduction of the various skills, competencies, and standards can then be made.

Hands-on educational activities are encouraged in a Hazardous Materials Management Technology program. Recently, issues related to school-to-work transitions and worksite learning have added dimensions not formerly addressed in most curriculum designs. Although assumptions can and have been made about

the ways in which worksite learning can be accomplished, planning has rarely focused on expected results or methods of evaluating those results.

Step 4: Justify the need to move to a worksite learning activity rather than a schoolsite activity. Many of the skills represented by the standard and by SCANS information can be acquired in a classroom, laboratory, or simulated work environment. However, certain skills may be best addressed at a worksite, in an on-the-job environment. This determination must be based on sound reason and closely coordinated with the schoolsite curriculum. Many of the skills defined in the HazMat standard can be integrated into secondary-level training programs that articulate with postsecondary programs.

Step 5: Therefore, a Tech Prep program is strongly recommended for the preparation of HMMTs since it places emphasis on a curriculum that progresses from the secondary through the postsecondary level, and to employment. In addition, Tech Prep places very high importance on the "contextual" methods of learning that have proven successful for technician-level training.

Steps 6-7: As the curriculum is implemented, constant evaluation must be provided to verify that the requirements of the Standard are being met.







Jim Johnson

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR PROJECT: HAZMAT

Over the next few months, Phase 2 activities will provide a variety of opportunities for discussion and review of the newly developed HazMat standard. I have listed project

meetings, as well as conferences where project information will be presented. I hope to see you at one of the following:

■ PETE-North Central; Cedar Rapids, Iowa; March 19-20

■ ATE; Biloxi, Mississippi; March 24

■ NTPN; St. Louis, Missouri; April 9-11

■ AACC; Minneapolis, Minnesota; April 24-25

HazMat Skills Standard Project Dissemination Workshop,
 TREEO Center; Gainesville, Florida; April 27-28

■ PETE-Northwest; Portland, Oregon; May 5-6

■ NTPN; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; May 10-12

While some of these meetings only include individual presentations on HazMat, the dissemination workshop is a must for schools exploring programs in Environmental Technology. Each of these meetings require registration. For more information, contact me at CORD by calling 800-972-2766.



Darrell Hull

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR PROJECT: PHOTONICS

At the close of 1994, the Photonics Technician Skills Standard Project Steering Committee met for two days in Waco, Texas at the CORD offices. This was a critical

meeting since it determined the direction of the project as we head into 1995 and the final grant phase. Among other things, the committee approved a final version of the Photonics standard.

The committee also approved three very ambitious initiatives for the second phase of the project. First, it is the hope of the committee and project staff that the Photonics standard will live beyond the life of the grant. Therefore, additional input to keep the standard current and a means for doing that are both necessary. Second, a curriculum-design team is being formed to determine how the standard will be used in developing curricula for technicians. This effort will take into consideration a 4 + 2 model that relies heavily on a Tech Prep foundation. Third, a national certification process will be constructed to create a mechanism by which adherence to the standard is maintained.

As always, if you are interested in participating, or if you want to provide comments, you are encouraged to contact me at CORD by calling 800-972-2766. We welcome your input.

CORD COMMUNICATIONS

P.O. Box 21206 Waco, Texas 76702-1206 BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
WACO, TX
PERMIT NO. 39



Skill Standards Report is published by CORD Communications, an organization of the Center for Occupational Research and Development. The CORD organizations are dedicated to equipping all learners to succeed in the contemporary workplace.

Business/Industry Survey


This survey was used to collect information as to what businesses consider on importance of skills/knowledge for hazardous materials technicians (employed with company).



NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous Materials Management Technology Please check the answer that best describes your opinion or current situation.

Please return the completed survey in envelope provided by April 30, 1995.

Vame:	:				
Title:					·
Comp	any:				<u> </u>
Addre					
City/S			-		
Felepl	hone:		Fax:		
Check	the box that most close	ely describes your co	ompany.		
	□ Agriculture□ Mining□ Construction	☐ Contra	ortation and Utilities cting Services		cturing Administration
1.	Check the appropriat	te range for the appr	oximate number of pe	ople employed t	by your company.
	less than 25	25 - 100 -	101 - 250	251 - 1000	more than 1000
2.	Check the appropriate company.	te range for the num	ber of hazardous mate	rials technicians	employed by your
	one part-time	1 - 5	6 - 25	26 - 100	more than 100
3.	How large an area do	oes your company c	over?		
	Local	Statewide	Regional	National	International
4.	How many offices of	r facilities are within	n your company?		
	one	2 - 5	6 - 15	·16 - 50	over 50
5.	How large is your ar	ea of responsibility	?	•	
	Local	Statewide	Regional	National	International
6.	How many offices of	r facilities are in you	ur area of responsibilit	y?	
	. one	2 - 5	6 - 15	16 - 50	over 50





NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous Materials Management Technology

The National Voluntary Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology describes four areas of specialization for hazardous materials management technicians and the various tasks performed in each area. The areas of specialization are as follows:

- <u>Laboratory/Analytical Technician (LAT)</u>: The primary area of specialization for this individual is the analysis and testing of chemical compounds in a laboratory setting. This person may complete tasks ranging from the initial preparation of samples for analytical testing to the operation of complex and highly sensitive instrumentation.
- Compliance/Regulations Technician (CRT): This individual's primary area of specialization and focus is interpreting and implementing regulations and ensuring industry compliance with the regulations. This person may complete tasks ranging from inspection to enforcement, to suggestions of statements to meet changing or new regulations.
- Field Operations/Remediation Technician (FORT): This person's primary area of specialization and focus is the practical aspects of working with hazardous materials in the field. This person may complete tasks ranging from the collection of samples, data, and information to the implementation of remedial and corrective actions.
- Treatment/Storage/Disposal Technician (TSDT): This individual's primary area of specialization and focus is in the methods and techniques for safe, effective, and efficient treatment, storage, and disposal of mixed materials and waste. This person may complete tasks ranging from the handling and transportation of hazardous materials and waste to the implementation of effective treatment and disposal methods.

7. Which one of these best describes the pri	mary duties of your technicians?
☐ Laboratory/Analytical	☐ Field Operations/Remediation
☐ Compliance/Regulations	☐ Treatment/Storage/Disposal



NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous Materials Management Technology

particular skill standard. The Frequency of Use scale will be used to indicate how often the skill is currently being used by technicians in the industry. Please read each Listed below are two scales accompanied by a skill standard statement. The Importance of Skill scale will be used to indicate how important industry considers the skill standard statement and check one item on each scale that indicates the following:

- How important you think it is for your company's hazardous materials technicians to be able to demonstrate the skill;
 - How often you think your hazardous materials technicians use the skill in their employment with your company.

	Impor	Importance of Skill	fSkill	:			Frequ	Frequency of Use	. Nse		
Not Important				Very Important		Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Quarterly	Yearly	Never
0	o	0	٥	o	Evaluate hazardous materials and hazardous waste sample data	٥	σ	o	o	o	٥
0	o	o	o	σ	Safely handle hazardous materials and hazardous waste	0	0	o	0	0	o
a	σ	0	σ	0	Respond to hazardous materials and hazardous waste emergency situations in accordance with regulatory requirements	0	٥	o	0	٥	o
o	0	0	o	0	Operate equipment related to hazardous materials and hazardous waste operations	o	٥	σ	o	· •	0
0	0	٥	o	–	Identify and label hazardous materials and hazardous waste in accordance with regulatory requirements	o	o	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	. 0	٥	Calibrate, operate, and maintain instrumentation	0	0	0	0	٥	o
σ	o	٥	0	0	Compile, record, and maintain required documents for hazardous materials and hazardous waste management activities	0	o	o	o	٥	o
σ	σ	o	o	٥	Implement procedures to comply with appropriate regulations	o	o	0	σ	٥	0
0	o	o	o	o	Implement applicable safety regulations and procedures	0	٥	0	0	0	o
σ	σ	٥	0	σ	Select and use appropriate personal protective equipment and respiratory protection	0	σ	o	o .	٥	o
o	o	o	0	0	Collect, prepare, document, and ship samples for analysis	o	o	o	0	0	0
0	٥	0	o	o	Transport and store hazardous materials and hazardous waste in accordance with applicable regulations	o	o	0	0	0	0
_ ·		0	0	o	Operate hazardous materials and hazardous waste treatment and disposal systems	0	0	o	o	0	0
5 2	~~				CENTER FOR OCCUPATIONAL				Č	eņ.	



Waco, Texas * 7670 * FAX 817/772-8972 800/972-2766 P.O. Box 21689

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous Materials Management Technology

Listed below is an Importance of Skill scale accompanied by statements of basic skills. The scale will be used to indicate how important industry considers the particular basic skill. Please read each basic skill statement and check one item on the scale that indicates how important you think it is for your company's hazardous materials technicians to possess the basic skill.

	A hazardous materials technician must have a background in mathematics. This must include the completion of a secondary level mathematics curriculum, including algebra and geometry.	A hazardous materials technician must have a background in science. This must include an understanding of the interrelationships between chemistry, toxicology, and biological systems.	A hazardous materials technician must have a background in physics. This must include the ability to apply the concepts of physics to mechanical, thermal, electrical, and fluid systems.	A hazardous materials technician must have a background in computer applications. This must include the use of hardware, word processors, spreadsheets, databases, and communications programs.	A hazardous materials technician must be able to locate, understand, and interpret written information in prose and in documents such as manuals, graphs, and schedules.	A hazardous materials technician must be able to communicate thoughts, ideas, information, and messages in writing.	A hazardous materials technician must be able to apply statistical quality-control techniques to situations.	A hazardous materials technician must be able to work and communicate as a member of a team.	
Very Important	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	
:	0	o	o	0	0	0	0	0	
,	0	0	o	o	0	0	0	0	
:	0	o	0	0	o	0	0	0	٠
Not Important	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The National Voluntary Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT) is a project developed under a grant from the U.S. Department of Education. The success of this project depends on the feedback and involvement of industry. Are you willing to participate in either of the following areas:

Further evaluation of the HMMT National Voluntary Skills Standards	
Voluntary	
National	
e HMMT	
tion of th	•
er evalua	
Furth	•
0	1

ည
žõ
this [
on
advisor
an a
As
_
О

Thank you very much for your assistance in this project. We welcome your comments, so please contact us at any time.

では

Please send a copy of the National Voluntary Skills Standard for Hazardous Material Management Technology. O

Articles



SPECIAL REPORT

Though different from the usual news updates on emerging regulations or current events that are often featured in the Special Report column; this article presents important information on the development of a skills standard, one component of the Clinton administration's educational initiative; "Goals 2000: Educate America."

The Development of a Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology Technicians

James Johnson and Robert L. Bear, P.E.

uring the past decade we have witnessed a phenomenal growth in the concern for the environment. This growth, as a result of ever-increasing federal, state, and local regulations and restrictions, has led to the development of numerous employment opportunities. For the most part, the employment opportunities have been filled by professionals who have, for lack of a better term, grown up with the industry. However, in recent years, as the regulations and procedures have become more standardized and routine (and concern for the minimization of costs in a competitive marketplace have increased), many of the responsibilities undertaken by professionals have been transferred to technician-level personnel. This transition from professional to technician-level personnel has developed an opportunity for the education and training of individuals with specific skills and has caused the federal government to recognize the need for the development of measurable skills standards for these individuals.

The United States remains the only major industrialized nation that is without standards to define the skills required for industrial occupations. With few exceptions, our schools have been preparing people for vocations with only vague job descriptions to guide them. Schools can only guess at the demands of a particular occupation, as there is presently, in most cases, no nationally-based norm. For the most part, schools have made this guess with the help of a small number of localized industrial representatives. This has limited the effectiveness of schools in developing programs that meet the needs of industry beyond a specific, locally recognized need. It is little wonder that schools receive criticism for producing students who cannot function in an entry-level position without needing long periods of on-the-job training before they become productive employees. Additionally, as the needs of industry for qualified, knowledgeable technicians have increased during the past decade, the opportunities for schools to develop such programs have increased.

The current administration's educational initiative is designed to combat this and other educational problems. A multi-faceted program, "Goals 2000: Educate America" has as one of its top priorities the development of skills standards for certain key occupations. "Skills standards" define the knowledge, skills, attitudes, level of ability necessary to successfully function in specific

occupations. At this time, 22 different occupational skills standards development projects are in progress. In addition, other projects are underway that will eventually identify and standardize foundational skills for all occupations. These skills are identified in the Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary (SCANS) Skills report, published by the Department of Labor, relating to technical and interpersonal skills. Since occupational skills standards are a new concept in this country, there is no uniform format or developmental process for them.

Guidelines for the development of skills standards are generally accepted as follows: 1. Skills standards must be voluntary; 2. They must be industry based; 3. The occupation must be explored and defined in detail; 4. A coalition consisting of representatives from industry, business, and education must lead the development and validate the final result; 5. A list of tasks and associated skills must be disseminated, discussed, debated, and modified by experts in the field until a consensus is reached and the list is recognized as a standard.

The advantages of having skills standards include the following: 1. Employees will have a clear picture of what they have to be able to do to be successful in the occupation; 2. Training providers can be held more accountable, since a clear set of performance expectations will be outlined; 3. Skills standards will make U.S. businesses more competitive in the global marketplace, since workers will have an understanding and level of ability that will equip them to perform tasks successfully; 4. Educational institutions and curriculum developers will have a clearly defined target that industry has provided; 5. Less emphasis will be placed on a degree and more on job-related skills.

In recognition of the need for qualified entry-level personnel in this area, "Goals 2000: Educate America" includes the development of a standard of skills for Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT) technicians. In the development of this standard, a national advisory committee has been assembled to guide the project. The committee, consisting of 49 representatives (including representatives of various regulatory agencies), provides several different viewpoints. The composition of the advisory committee is shown in Table 1.

This advisory committee has been commissioned by the federal

Table 1. Hazardous Materials Management Technology Skills Standard Project.

National Advisory Committee Membership						
Industry	13					
Consultant/Remediation	7					
Municipal	2					
Unions	3					
Societies	6					
Government	2					
Military	3					
Colleges (2- and 4-Year)	13					

government with the task of answering fundamental questions, such as:

- Is an HMMT technician the person who responds to an emergency like a chemical spill or a fire? Or is that person a "firefighter" with some special skills?
- Does an HMMT technician work with short-term emergency response, with the clean-up or remediation of contaminated sites, or on long-term pollution prevention projects?
- Does an HMMT technician need to possess knowledge of chemical hazards only, or is a knowledge of nuclear and/or biological hazards also expected?
- How completely does an HMMT technician need to know the federal, state, and local environmental laws?
- Does an HMMT technician interpret regulations and apply them to a specific situation, or does the HMMT technician fill out and submit reports to various agencies?

Within the field of HMMT are different occupations that require different skills related to hazardous materials management. In some environmental occupations, an HMMT technician needs only a limited set of hazardous materials management skills, while in other occupations a much more rigorous set of skills is required. Indeed, some occupations may even require that an HMMT technician obtain specialized skills in related occupational areas such as safety and health, management, regulations, laboratory operations, remediation, and so on. This concept is illustrated in Figure 1, which begins by showing that all technicians need foundational skills related to communications, mathematics, science, logical reasoning, and interpersonal relations. As occupational skills are acquired, a person may be employable in some hazardous materials management occupations even though that person does not have all the skills required to become an HMMT technician.

The definition of an HMMT technician varies with the needs of the prospective employer. Since it is a subset of the more general category of Environmental Health and Science, it is instructive to explore some of the history of the development of this field.

Although employment in the environmental industry has begun to flatten out recently (1), in our opinion, the outlook still remains strong for opportunities in the field of Environmental Health and Science. Environmental engineering curricula at major colleges and universities across the United States used to be primarily a subsection of the civil engineering departments, providing a spe-

cialty in water-waste treatment and design (WWT/D). However, in the past several years, institutions of higher learning have begun to place the environmental engineering curricula on their own as stand-alone departments of environmental engineering. The need for environmental technicians has paralleled the need for environmental engineers.

Qualified HMMT technicians are still receiving training on the job. According to one study, on the whole, "technicians (this, although not identified as such, includes HMMT technicians) get more education and training in preparation for their jobs and upgrading once they are on the job than any other occupational group..." (2).

The authors of this paper have hired and trained four, non-professional HMMT technicians in the last four years. In each case, the resumes received for these positions were divided between those who were overqualified, with baccalaureate and master's degrees in engineering and geology and those who had no previous experience or education that would have qualified them prior to employment. The overqualified people were not considered, which meant that it became necessary to immediately send those hired to various seminars and training courses and to assist them in the field to provide them with the skills needed to perform their work.

HMMT Technician Defined

So, what is an HMMT technician, and how does this individual fit into the field of Environmental Health and Science? In differentiating technicians from technical professionals (that is to say, doctors, engineers, and scientists), Anthony Carnavale, et al., state:

"Technicians include employees whose primary expertise lies in a particular technical specialty area. While technicians have a considerable depth of knowledge and highly developed skills in their areas of expertise, they generally lack the breadth of knowledge in the theoretical aspects of their specialties that is required of technical professionals. Although many technicians are graduates of four year colleges, many have developed their skills and knowledge through technical or vocational schools, community colleges, or on-the-job training. After technical and non-technical professionals, technicians are the most highly educated and well-trained employees in the American workforce."

Technicians usually receive training that applies directly to their jobs. This training has its basis in theory but is focused more directly




Figure 1. Hazardous Materials Management Occupations.



Journal of Environmental Health • Volume 57, Number 6

on the application of theory to the job than is training for technical professionals (3).

According to the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, HMMT technicians are individuals who: "...provide information and advice on ways to collect, transport, handle, store, and dispose of toxic wastes. They help monitor and direct the cleanup of land, water, and air. These technicians survey industries to learn what disposal methods they use. They look at hazardous waste treatment disposal from the standpoint of both effectiveness and cost. From their findings, they make recommendations for ways to collect, move, store, treat, and dispose of wastes. They offer advice and technical aid to members of industry and government" (4).

To help protect people and the environment, HMMT technicians, especially those who work for the state or federal government, draft rules and regulations for handling hazardous waste. They also help develop programs to prevent spills of hazardous waste. They review company or agency plans for spill prevention, and they suggest changes in those plans. They help develop regulations for the reporting of spills and for measuring environmental damage caused by those spills (5).

We submit that HMMT technicians are highly skilled and knowledgeable individuals who are trained to use technical applications of theory to specific tasks. As such, they may work with professionals who are trained in the understanding of theory to develop and implement tasks that relate to the field of Environmental Health and Science. This is not to say that HMMT technicians do not have an understanding of the theoretical concepts, only to imply that their experience and training is directed more toward the implementation of the theory than the actual concepts behind the practice itself. As such, HMMT technicians fall well within the field of Environmental Health and Science as a major, necessary, and highly employable subset.

Tasks Performed by HMMT Technicians

This project defines areas of specialization for HMMT technicians. The tasks performed can span a range of activities (6). Because of this multiplicity of tasks, to provide some parameters, the advisory committee has arbitrarily grouped the activities of an HMMT technician into the following four subsections: I. Laboratory/ Analytical Technicians (LAT): The primary area of specialty and focus for this individual is the analysis and testing of chemical compounds in a laboratory setting. The tasks this person may be required to undertake may range from the initial preparation of samples for analytical testing to the operation of complex and highly sensitive instrumentation; 2. Compliance/Regulations Technicians (CRT): This individual's primary area of specialty and focus is in the interpretation and implementation of regulations to ensure compliance of the same in industry. The tasks this person may be asked to undertake may range from inspection to enforcement, and to the writing of new regulations as needs arise and situations change; 3. Field Operations/Remediation Technicians (FORT): The FORT's primary area of specialty and focus is in the practical aspects of working with chemical, biological, and nuclear hazards and materials in the field. The tasks this person may be asked to undertake may range from the collection of samples, data, and information to the design and implementation of remedial and rective actions; and 4. Transportation/Storage/Disposal Technicians (TSDT). This individual's primary area of specialty and focus is in the methods and techniques for safe, effective, and efficient treatment, storage, and disposal of chemical, biological, and nuclear materials and wastes. The tasks this person may be asked to undertake may range from the handling of hazardous materials and wastes to the design and implementation of effective treatment and disposal methods.

A Typical Day in the Life of an HMMT Technician

As previously stated, the tasks performed by an HMMT technician can span a wide range of activities. The above groupings have been arbitrarily formulated in an effort to provide some parameters for this assessment. Based on our experience in the field, we recognize that to attempt to finely divide the tasks performed into one category or another is impractical. These technicians are called upon by their employers to be multifaceted, and there is no such thing as a typical day in the life of any of the above-stated groupings of individuals.

However, borrowing from the approach described by Paula M. Hudis, et al., there do appear to be some broad ranges of activities under which the activities of our groupings for HMMT technicians may fall (7). In that light, we offer the matrix in Table 2 as an outline of the tasks they may be called upon to undertake in a typical day.

HMMT Specialties and Where Employment is Anticipated in the Environmental Industry

While the rate of employment in the environmental industry is flattening, in our opinion the outlook still remains strong for employment opportunities in the field of Environmental Health and Science. Susan Camardo, writing in Peterson's Job Opps '94, The Environment, states that the environmental industry "had been riding high in the mid to late 1980s, with growth estimated at anywhere from 16% to 30% per year. But about two years ago, the growth rate slowed dramatically, to 2.1% in 1991 and 3.9% in 1992. Grant Ferrier, editor of The Environmental Business Journal, predicts that the industry will remain flat throughout 1993, with an

Table 2. HMMT Specialities and Activities.

		нммт 1	Technicia:	n
Activity	LAT	CRT	FORT	TSDT
Remediation	•	•	• •	• •
Corrective Activities	•	• •	•	•
Waste Treatment and Management	•	•	•	••
Source Minimization and Recycling		•		••
Disposal Activities	•	•	•	• •
Monitoring Activities	•	•	• •	•
Transportation Activities	•	•	•	• •
Emergency Response Activities		•	••	•

- Area of Specialization
- • Primary Area of Specialization

upturn starting in 1994. He doesn't see the environmental industry returning to its former double-digit growth levels but projects that growth over the next five years will average 5% to 7%" (8). Ms. Camardo offers several reasons for the slowdown of the environmental industry. These include: 1) the maturation of the industry, 2) the increase in competition. 3) a shakeout and consolidation of companies within the industry, and 4) the postponement of environmental spending by industry due to prevailing economic forces. However, she notes that a steady increase in the number of jobs in the environmental industry over the past several years has been charted by others. In 1988, there were 793,159 jobs. This number has risen to 1,073,397 as of 1992. The expectations are that the employment figure will rise further to 1,327,150 jobs in 1997.

Ms. Camardo indicates that the "industry segments that look particularly strong in the near term are:

- Environmental energy sources (solar, wind, geothermal, and other forms of alternative energy);
- Air pollution control (air quality management, equipment manufacturing);
- Resource recovery (post-consumer and post-industrial recyclers and scrap dealers, waste-to-energy plants);
- · Waste management;
- · Environmental testing and analysis; and
- · Environmental consulting.

The most sluggish segment is expected to be asbestos abatement, due to continuing softness in commercial real estate sales, which historically account for a third of this segment's market."

In discussing the "Industry of Tomorrow," Ms. Camardo states: "Perhaps the most important trend experts see developing is a shift in the forces driving the environmental business. Up to now, growth has been fueled by corporate America's needs to comply with extensive and often complex environmental regulations... As a result, firms specializing in environmental cleanup, especially those in the waste management area, have made up the largest and strongest part of the industry. And the price tag for this cleanup has been tremendous.

But now, more and more attention is being focused on the other side of the environmental equation—prevention. Not only is this a necessary step to take in the preservation of the planet, but it also makes good business sense. Pollution prevention relies on using more efficient processes to reduce wastes while producing more product per unit of raw material...

Jeffery Leonard, president of the Global Environment Fund, L.P., which focuses on investments that promote environmental improvement, estimates that more than half of United States environmental spending by the year 2000 will spring from non-regulatory factors rather than anti-pollution laws. According to Michael Silverstein of Environmental Economics, 'The real action is going to be in avoiding environmental expenditures rather than making them.'

...One thing is certain—whatever form they take, environmental jobs are here to stay "(8). (Emphasis is ours.)

Potential Environmental Employers

Based on our observations and the sources we consulted for this paper, potential environmental employers appear to fall into two broad categories: those who provide environmental services and

those who generate hazardous wastes and materials.

Environmental service "includes companies that provide private firms and government entities with environmental waste management, hazardous waste removal, and environmental management services as well as related laboratory and environmental equipment services. These firms reportedly represent a \$132 billion business in the United States, employing about 814,000 workers" (9).

Those who generate hazardous wastes and materials include businesses and industries that "are rarely classified as environmental entities. Instead, they may include manufacturers, agricultural processors, mining operations, public utilities, and national energy laboratories. These hazardous waste generating organizations employ about as many individuals in environmental jobs as do environmental services firms. In combination, these two sectors of the environmental industry include nearly two million American workers, about 1.5% of the employed civilian workforce" (9).

Related to Our Subgroups

If, as previously reported, the trend in the next several years will be away from remedial activities and toward prevention, it would seem obvious that all the subgroups we have identified would benefit.

It may be less obvious that the FORT subgroup will find the possibilities of employment increasing at the same pace as the other three subgroups. However, we contend that this group will see an increase in employment as well. Few of the Superfund sites in the United States have been remediated since Superfund's inception in 1986. In the state of Florida alone, some have estimated that over 10,000 underground storage tanks exist. Of these, it has also been widely estimated that approximately one-quarter are leaking their contents into the surrounding environment. At an industry-wide accepted standard of \$150,000 to \$250,000 to effectively remediate a site involving discharges from underground storage tanks, a total of between \$375 million and \$625 million could be required to clean up the contamination presented by these sites alone. Presently, the state of Florida has been setting aside approximately \$19 million each year for the cleanup of sites contaminated by leaking underground storage tanks. Even doubling or tripling the annual amount of dollars available from the state of Florida would not begin to significantly decrease the number of years it would take to remediate these sites.

Our experience has shown us that each situation, while different, is not necessarily unique. Therefore, while underground storage tank cleanup may not be the major concern in another part of the United States, we assume that other situations and conditions exist elsewhere that will be of vital concern on a per capita basis to that area. Therefore, we assume that FORT opportunities will continue to exist in the foreseeable future.

In regard to the two major potential employers identified earlier, we expect that the services of all four subgroups will be required by both segments. This expectation is based on the activities we have defined for these subgroups and the interrelated needs of the two segments of potential environmental employers.

Principal Skills Required for Each Subgroup

The principal skills required for technicians in each of the subgroups, as we have defined them, are not to be confused with

ERIC:

 $ar{f IC}$ i • Journal of Environmental Health • Volume 57, Number 6

those skills which we consider to be basic skills, such as written and verbal communication skills, teamwork, and physical ability. The principal skills consist of those necessary on a higher level for an HMMT technician to effectively perform the tasks required.

As seems obvious from Table 2, the tasks each subgroup HMMT technician may be required to undertake in a typical day overlap the tasks of other subgroups. That is to say, none of the subgroups appears to be able to claim sole ownership of the activities offered. In our estimation, this means that the principal skills must be shared across the subgroup boundaries. It does seem obvious that the LAT should possess a well-developed principal skill in laboratory testing techniques. However, it is also apparent that the FORT should possess an understanding of and appreciation for laboratory practices to be able to provide the LAT with a sample for analysis or to develop a sampling program.

In short, what we are saying is that, while each subgroup may find it necessary to develop a keen understanding or skill level in a particular area, it is also necessary for the subgroups to share common abilities and understandings.


Identify and Categorize

The goal of the current project is to identify and categorize job requirements, not to produce a training curriculum. In addition, this project does not attempt to associate the skills with any particular type of school or degree. We do expect that the required skills can be grouped into specific categories as shown in Figure 2. Even though a specific certification or degree does not guarantee the acquisition of these skills, we do expect that a minimum of an Associate of Science or an Associate of Applied Science degree may be necessary.

Biochemical core skills are required by many technologies grounded in biology or chemistry. It is, however, necessary to analyze these very carefully because the same topic may need to be understood at different levels. For example, a chemical laboratory technician may need to understand that pH is a logarithmic expression that defines the hydrogen ion concentration. This technician may also need to understand and manipulate the relationship: pH=log H+. An HMMT technician, however, may not need to understand that pH is a measure of acidity or alkalinity with a value of 7 being neutral. He or she may simply need to know how to take soil or water samples, measure their pH with a given meter, and verify that the value is within acceptable limits.

Conclusion

The HMMT study identifies basic skills technicians must possess



to be effective as entry-level personnel in the environmental field. These skills have been identified by a national advisory committee through a grant from the federal government, specifically the Department of Education and the Department of Labor. The identification of this basic skills standard was achieved through a cooperative effort between people involved in the many facets of the environmental industry, government, and education.

Additional specialty skills may be developed or required because of an individual's interests, on-the-job training, or the unique requirements of an employer. These additional skills would represent advanced skill levels acquired after employment.

Further assessment and identification by an HMMT national advisory committee whose mission would be to certify that individuals have achieved the skills needed to meet minimum industry-based skill requirements may be desirable. The task of standardizing skills standards by developing a conscientious and investigative certification process to verify that they have been acquired, represents additional work beyond the original scope of the project.

James Johnson, Center for Occupational Research and Development, 601 Lake Air Dr., Waco, TX 76710-5878.

Robert Bear, P.E., Facilities and Environmental Consultants, Inc., Longwood, FL 32779-5709

References

- 1. Camardo. S. (1993), "Carving Your Niche in the Environmental Industry," *Peterson's Job Opps '94, The Environment*, Peterson's Guides, Princeton, N. I.
- 2. Carnavale, A.P., L.J. Gainer, and E.R. Schulz (1990), *Training the Technical Work Force*. Jossey-Bass, Inc., Publishers, San Francisco, Calif., p. 3
- 3. ibid. p. 11.
- 4. Dictionary of Occupational Titles (1985), Chronicle Guidance Publications, p. 4.
- 5. Hudis, P. M., S.L. Garland, J.R. Matlof, and K.L. Vork (1992), Educational Needs and Employment Trends of Environmental Hazardous Materials Technicians and Related Workers, National Center for Research in Vocational Education, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, Calif. December 1992, p. 71.
- 6. ibid. p. 70.
- 7. ibid, p. 71-74.
- 8. Camardo, p. 6-7.
- 9. Hudis, p. 67-68.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

EMPLOYERS WANT THE "SOFT" SKILLS IN A TECHNICAL ENVIRONMENT

Robert L. Bear, P.E.
Facilities and Environmental Consultants, Inc.
205 Cambridge Drive
Longwood, Florida 32779

September 30, 1995



Introduction

A recent study, based on research conducted by the author, has provided insight into the importance industry places on the necessary skills for entry-level employment in a technical environment. These include the ability to interpret instructions, to communicate effectively, and to work as a member of a team—the so-called "soft" skills.

Working in a technical environment requires significant nontechnical knowledge that must be imparted to students prior to their employment. Historically, training for a career in a technical environment has not always included the development of soft skills.

Background Information¹

Until recently, the United States has been the only major industrialized nation without standards defining the skills required for industrial occupations. Recognition of the need for measurable skills standards was highlighted in *Goals 2000: Educate America*, a document produced by the Clinton administration. In this publication, top priority was given to the development of skills standards for certain key occupations.² The purpose of these skills standards is to identify the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and level of ability an individual needs to successfully enter the workplace.

For the past two years, the author has been involved with the Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) as a technical advisor, a project team member, and chairperson of the National Advisory Committee in the development of skills standards for one of these key occupations: Hazardous Materials Management Technology. The project team for this endeavor consisted of community college educators, association representatives, and technical advisors; the National Advisory Committee was made up of representatives of various industries in the United States.

The work of the project team and the National Advisory Committee involved an eighteen-month assessment of the requirements of various industries located throughout the United States for technicians in entry-level positions. The result of the project was the publication by CORD of the

National Voluntary Skills Standard, Hazardous Materials Management Technology, James R. Johnson, Project Director, Center for Occupational Research and Development, Waco, Texas, January 1995.

"The Development of a Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology Technicians," James R. Johnson and Robert L. Bear, P.E., *Journal of Environmental Health, Volume 57, Number 6*, National Environmental Health Association, Denver, Colorado, January/February 1995.

- 1. Health Science
- 2. Electronics (ED)
- 3. Computer-Aided Drafting and Design
- 4. Air Conditioning and Refrigeration
- 5. Electronics Construction
- 6. Biotechnical Sciences
- 7. Chemical Process Industry
- 8. Auto Service
- 9. Human Services
- 10. Hazardous Materials
 Management Technology
- 11. Electronics (DOL)
- 12. Heavy Highway and Environmental
- and Environment
- 13. Photonics
- 14. Printing
- 15. Metal Working
- 16. Agriculture
- 17. Industrial Launderers
- 18. Welding
- 19. Food Marketing
- 20. Forest and Wood Products
- 21. Tourism, Travel, and Hospitality
- 22. Retail Trade



¹ The Background Information Section was borrowed from the following two sources:

² Under separate grants from the U.S. Departments of Education and Labor, skills standards have been or are being developed for 22 industries. These include the following:

National Voluntary Skills Standard, Hazardous Materials Management Technology (Skills Standard)³ in January 1995.


The main portion of the *Skills Standard* identifies skills required for thirteen job functions industry representatives have indicated they want entry-level hazardous materials technicians to perform. Also included in the document are five academic skills and three basic background skills that were deemed important by the National Advisory Committee. These basic background skills are the so-called "soft" employability skills.

Since January 1995, the author has focused on the validation and verification of the standard, including the basic background skills. This was accomplished through the use of an extensive survey completed by managers of hazardous materials technicians from various industries located throughout the United States.

The purpose of this report is to compare the basic background skills called for in the standard with the academic skills—soft skills—that respondents looked for in entry-level technicians. On the basis of the results, the importance of these "soft" skills in preparation for entry-level positions is significant.

Survey Methodology


Information was obtained from a survey mailed to approximately 5,800 people involved in the management of environmental personnel in various industries. The surveys were distributed by the National Association of Environmental Professionals and the National Environmental Training Association to people on their respective mailing lists. From the mailing, 373 surveys (approximately six percent) were returned. From the responses, the following demographic information was made available:




<u>Graph 1</u> Regions of the United States

³ A copy of this document may be secured from the Center for Occupational Research and Development, P.O. Box 21689, Waco, Texas 76702-1689, (800) 972-2766.







Graph 2 Type of Company

Graph 3 Number of Employees

Each respondent was asked to rate the thirteen identified desirable job functions, five academic skills, and three basic background skills on a five-point Likert scale of importance.⁴ The choices for each item were as follows:

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5

 Not Important
 Very Important

The statements to be evaluated concerning the academic and basic background skills were as follows:

- 1. A hazardous materials technician must have a background in mathematics. This must include the completion of a secondary-level mathematics curriculum, including algebra and geometry. (Academic Skill 1, A1)
- 2. A hazardous materials technician must have a background in science. This must include an understanding of the interrelationships between chemistry, toxicology, and biological systems. (Academic Skill 2, A2)
- 3. A hazardous materials technician must have a background in physics. This must include the ability to apply the concepts of physics to mechanical, thermal, electrical, and fluid systems. (Academic Skill 3, A3)
- 4. A hazardous materials technician must have a background in computer applications. This must include the use of hardware, word processors, spreadsheets, databases, and communications programs. (Academic Skill 4, A4)
- 5. A hazardous materials technician must be able to apply statistical quality-control techniques to situations. (Academic Skill 5, A5)

⁴ Additionally, respondents were asked to indicate how often technicians in their organizations use the thirteen skill functions. The skill functions were separated from the academic and basic background skills because they deal with the more specific skills required of hazardous materials technicians and are not, typically, as general in nature.



- 6. A hazardous materials technician must be able to locate, understand, and interpret written information in prose and in documents such as manuals, graphs, and schedules. (Basic Skill 1, B1)
- 7. A hazardous materials technician must be able to communicate thoughts, ideas, information, and messages in writing. (Basic Skill 2, B2)
- 8. A hazardous materials technician must be able to work and communicate as a member of a team. (Basic Skill 3, B3)

Survey Results

On a percentage basis, the following responses were received:

	11	1	2	3	4	5	σ
Academic 1	370	5.7	15.9	33.0	25.9	19.5	1.134
Academic 2	371	0.3	5.4	17.8	36.1	40.4	0.901
Academic 3	371	10.5	23.5	39.4	20.8	5.9	1.043
Academic 4	372	4.6	15.6	30.9	32.5	16.4	1.076
Academic 5	369	8.1	19.5	33.3	29.0	10.0	1.094
Basic 1	372	0.3	2.4	5.4	36.0	55.9	0.731
Basic 2	372	0.5	1.9	6.2	40.1	51.3	0.736
Basic 3	371	1.0	0.8	4.3	16.4	77.4	0.726

Table 1
Responses, %

Of particular interest was the number of survey responses that indicated a 4 or a 5 on the Likert scale of importance. On this basis, the following table of percentages was generated:


Academic 1	45.4
Academic 2	76.5
Academic 3	26.7
Academic 4	48.9
Academic 5	39.0

Basic 1	91.9
Basic 2	91.4
Basic 3	93.8

<u>Table 2</u> Preferential Responses, %



Graphically, the responses compare as follows:



Comparison of Academic and Basic Background Skills

Conclusions

The results of the survey highlight the importance of equipping entry-level persons with the soft employability skills. They indicate that the basic background, soft skills such as the interpretation of written information and the ability of an individual to both communicate and work effectively as a member of a team were considered to be of importance to over 90% of all of the respondents. As noted by Lynn A. Corson, Ph.D., these skills that are normally taught in a liberal arts course of study and not in a technical curriculum.⁵

The environment in which hazardous materials technicians work is technical in nature. They are called upon to perform tasks that are exact and that require the analysis and interpretation of scientific data. While the specific skills required for hazardous materials technicians may be somewhat different from those required in other technical environments, it can be argued that they are not unique. Technical environments—such as accounting, engineering, medicine, metal working, and a host of others—are all exact in nature and also require close attention to detail, procedures, and data. Therefore, it appears that the results of this study may be transferable to other technical environments.

In a study dealing with the skills required for graduate accountants, Helen A. LaFrancois surveyed accounting firms. In parallel with the results of our study, Ms. LaFrancois seemed to be concerned with the fact that colleges were not teaching the skills deemed by practitioners to be necessary in successful accountants. From the results of her survey she noted that "... on the top of the list of needed skills, and of those needing improvement, are those involving the communication arts."

⁶ "Dear (CPA Firm): How Do the Skills of Your New Graduate Accountants Match the Skills You Need?" Helen A. LaFrançois, Journal of Career Planning and Employment, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, Fall 1990, pages 71ff.



⁵ Dr. Corson is the Director of the Indiana Pollution Prevention and Safe Materials Institute, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, and serves on the National Advisory Committee. His comment was made at a meeting of the National Advisory Committee in Reno, Nevada, on July 29, 1995.

In 1993, Constance J. Pritchard and Paul P. Fidler reported on their study of 555 small business organizations, each with less than 500 employees. They, too, had concerns about the skills required by various companies hiring new graduates. From the results of their study, they concluded that the managers value a strong inner commitment as well as team skills." While their sample group was not necessarily concerned with companies involved in technical environments, their results indicate the relative importance of teaching team skills similar to those discovered in our study.

Most succinctly, another journal author, Deborah Flores, wrote:

Firms still want the 4-point-oh'ers, but communication and working-along-withothers skills are coming to be just as important.⁸

Over 90% of the industry managers who responded to our survey considered the employability skills as strongly desirable. This is especially surprising when one considers the relative variance from the traditional areas of education (mathematics, science, statistics, and computers) of individuals preparing for technical careers as indicated by the respondents. Of these, only Academic Skill 2 (a background in science) was viewed as desirable by over 50% of the respondents.

From the results of our survey, it appears that the managers in the technical fields are saying, "Give us people with well-rounded backgrounds in the basic technical skills, but make sure they can communicate and work well with others. We can then train them to do the rest."

Paying attention to the results of the survey becomes critical when one considers where the greatest potential for employment is. According to the most recent report of the Collegiate Employment Research Institute, Michigan State University, "The job opportunities are predicted to be in the hotels/motels industry, computer systems occupations, engineering, accounting and finance, sales and marketing, medical and health care occupations, environmental fields, science and economic development." It is interesting to note that of the nine categories identified, seven were in technical fields. Additionally, Patrick Scheetz, the director of the Institute, has stated "... graduates who exhibit computer and teamwork skills stand a better chance of landing a job."

If it is true that the job opportunities lie in the technical fields, the results of our survey indicate that creating opportunities for students to develop the soft skills while mastering the technical skills lis essential. For those who are providing training and learning services, the challenge then will be to provide curricula and learning opportunities that foster the development of communication and team skills simultaneously with the learning of technical and academic and skills.

⁹ "Job Market Looks Strong," Orlando Sentinel, Orlando, Florida, December 6, 1994. The emphasis is the author's.



⁷ "What Small Firms Look for in New Graduates," Constance J. Pritchard and Paul P. Fidler, *Journal of Career Planning and Employment*, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, Spring 1993, pages 45ff. The emphasis is the author's.

⁸ "Wanted: Team Players for the 1990's," Deborah Flores, *Journal of Career Planning and Employment*, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, Summer 1992, pages 67ff.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Carmado, Susan, "Carving Your Niche in the Environmental Industry," Peterson's Job Ops'94, The Environment, Peterson's Guides, Princeton, New Jersey, 1994.

Flores, Deborah, "Wanted: Team Players for the 1990's," Journal of Career Planning and Employment, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, Summer 1992, pages 67ff.

Holton, Ed, "Teaching Going-to-Work Skills: A Missing Link in Career Development," Journal of Career Planning and Employment, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, Spring 1992, pages 46ff.

Johnson, James R., and Robert L. Bear, P.E., "The Development of a Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology Technicians," *Journal of Environmental Health, Volume 57, Number 6*, National Environmental Health Association, Denver, Colorado, January/February 1995.

LaFrancois, Helen A., "Dear (CPA Firm): How Do the Skills of Your New Graduate Accountants Match the Skills You Need?" *Journal of Career Planning and Employment*, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, Fall 1990, pages 71ff.

Pritchard, Constance J., and Paul P. Fidler, "What Small Firms Look for in New Graduate Candidates," *Journal of Career Planning and Employment*, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, Spring 1993, pages 45ff.

Rouff-Steffen, Kay, "The Push Is on for People Skills," Journal of Career Planning and Employment, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, Fall 1991, pages 61ff.

"Job Market Looks Strong," Orlando Sentinel, Orlando, Florida, December 6, 1994.

National Voluntary Skills Standard, Hazardous Materials Management Technology, Johnson, James R., Director, the Center for Occupational Research and Development, Waco, Texas, January 1995.



Site Visits



Site Visits

Site	Date	Staff Member
Dallas Ft-Worth Sectional American Chemical Society	January 20, 1994	Woody Baker
meeting Dallas, TX		
EG&G of Florida Cape Canaveral, FL	August 14, 1994	Staff member
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	September 9, 1994	
Tupperware Orlando, FL	September 14, 1994	Staff member
Kelly Air Force Base Kelly Air Force Base, TX	September 14, 1994	Jim Johnson
Marathon Power Technologies Waco, TX	September 21, 1994	Staff member
Plantation Foods, Inc. Waco, TX	September 27, 1994	Staff member
Glace & Radcliffe and Associates, Inc. Maitland, FL	September 29, 1994	Staff member
Allergan, Inc. Waco, TX	September 30, 1994	Staff member
Sherwin-Williams Company Waco, TX	October 25, 1993	Staff member
PDG Environmental, Inc. Titusville, FL	November 8, 1993	Staff member
3M Austin, TX	November 12, 1993	Staff member
Radian Corporation Austin, TX	November 12, 1993	Staff member



Dissemination

This database includes those who received a National Voluntary Skills Standard document.






Canker for Opanjardonal Randeral and Davalopinimi P.O. Box 21669 Weiso, Rash. 76702-1689

0965321

Guy Albanese Safety Mgr. TN Industries 13850 Diplomat Drive Dallas, TX 75234

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Michael L. Layton CHMM

Lead Sr. Engineer Entergy Operations Inc. WMSB 4238 P.O. Box B Killona, LA 70066

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




Pamela Scherry Isabelle

Env. Analyst
Delmarva Power and Light Co.
P.O. Box 6086

Newark, DE 1971&



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



James A. Williamson Project Mgr. Michael J. Kirby & Assoc. 110 S. Marjorie Milliken, CO 80543

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Charles T. St.Clair Mgr. Health & Safety NIPSCO 721 Zigler Rd. LaPorte, IN 46350

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Kenneth W. Ford
Assistant Lab Director
Microbal Laboratories
1121 West Broadway
Louisville, KY 40203





Cantartor Cantartor Canal Taconardo anal Davaloponant Rou Bon Zubby Weise, Taken Zo702-1559

0965321

David R. Alkema Laboratory Supervisor KAR Laboratories, Inc. 4425 Manchester Rd. Kalamazoo, MO 49002

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Stephen W. Carney, CEP

President Carney Env. Consulting Service 6435 SW 85 Street Miami, FL 33143

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Mary E. Ford
Sr. Env. Health Engineer
Nixon Hargrave
1 Clintor Square
Rochester, NY 14603



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Doug Hill
HazWat Manager
TRW
R2/1112 One Space Park
Redondo Beach. CA 90278

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Camberdor Observender Robertanian (Profesional Profesion 21660 Weign, Presion 76762-4689 0965321

Michael W. Chintis Associate Principal GZA GeoEnvironmental 141 E. Palm Lane Phoenix, AR 85004

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Configuration

Oraquioniforali Reseasamentanti Denelogiotani
P.O. Son 25660

What, Rest 76702-1660

Charles N. Cawley
Eng. Supervisor
Bechtel Environmental Inc.
P.O. Box 350
Oak Ridge, TN 37830





Canterior Coonsils and Reconnect and Development P.O. Bot 21688 Welso, Texas 76702-1689

0965321

Brian Pals
Sr. Industrial Hygienist
Morrison Knudsen Corp.
7100 E. Belleview Avenue #300
Englewood, CO 80111

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Cultriot Cearpel Carle Romannal enad Davalegament Pic. Ecc 21688 Whyp. Takk 75707-1688

0965321

Robert S. Palermo
President, CET
RSP Associates, Inc.
1 Tobey Lane
Wakefield, MA 01880

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Configuration

Occupational Recognition and Peccal parament

P.O. Bott 24/360

Vince: Taxet 767/02-1360

David B. Winsor
Vice President
Parsons Brinckerhoff Energy Se
1660 Lincoln ST. Suite 2000
Denver, CO 80264

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Canter for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Bot 21689 West, Taxas 76702-1659

0965321

Robert A. McDonald VP Commercial Programs IT Corporation 5600 S. Quebec St. Suite 280-D Englewood, CO 80111

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Conformor Occupational Resource and Devolopantal P.O. Box 29660 Weigo, Ferni 76702-1660

James J. Talbot
Sr. Program Director
ERW Program Management Co.
855 Springdale Drive
Exton, PA 19341


Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Cantar tor Occupational Research and Development F.O. Box 244388 Ware, Torax 76702-1688

Charles B. Blanton
Environmental Eng.
Halstead Metal Products
1525 N. Falls Blvd.
Wynne, AR 72396







George C. Winton Mgr. Admin/Facilities Tau-tron 10 Lyberty Way Westford, MA 01886


> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Todd Jennings

Regulatory Compliance Speciali Metal Lubricants Co. 17050 Lathrop Ave. Harvey, IL 60426

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Nick Williams **Environmental Mgr** The Chas. H. Lilly Co. P.O. Box 83179 Portland, OR 97283



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Center for Occupational Resourch and Development P.O. Bon 21669 Weco. Texas 76702-1659

0965321

Thomas Davis Corp. Safety/Env. Mgr Henry Vogt Machine Co. 1000 ₩. Ornsby Avenue Louisville, KY 40210

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



George Swartz CSP Safety Director Midas International 225 N. Michigan Ave. Chicago, IL 60601

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contor for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Con 21688 Wood Trans 75702-1888 0965321

Richard Artino Corporate Safety & Env. Mgr Nestle Frozen Food Company 30003 Bainbridge Rd. Solon, OH 44139






Cunter for Occupational Revolution and Development P.O. Bot 21889 West, Turns 76702-1889

0965321

Allen Standard
Sr. Environmental Specialist
Morrison Knudsen Corp.
1 MK Drive
Hornell, NY 14843

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Doug Johnson
Environmental Eng. MG58/201
IBM Corporation
8501 IBM Drive
Charlotte, NC 28262


Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



Rudolf W. Weigel
Industrial Hygienist
Martin Marietta Energy Systems
P.O. Box 2009
Oak Ridge, TN 37831



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




Peter Norris

Dir. Health, Safety & Env. Ser
Fisons Corporation
755 Jefferson Road

Rochester, NY 14424

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



David Blair CHMM
Environmental Engineer
Wellman, Inc.
1000 McIver Road
Darlington, SC 29532

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Gregory M. Carr
Env Safety & Workers Comp. Mgr
Howard Industries
P.O. Box 1588
Laurel, MS 39441






Caniar ior Oracujerikonal Kannarzin and Ogwaldomian P.O. Bon 21888 Wireo, Karsa 76702-1689

0965321

William M. Moore Jr.
Corporate Hgr. Reg. Compliance
Detrex Corporation
P.O. Box 510
Southfield, MI 48086

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Frank Swanson
MTS III
Rocketdane
P.O. Box 7922
Canoga Park, CA 91309


Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



James R. Wright Dir. Env. Health & Safety University of Alabama 301 Sparkman Dr. JRC, 108 Huntsville, AL 35899



Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



່ 0965321

Ron Martinez
OHS, Env. & Security Mgr
McDonnell Douglas Aerospace Co
1602 Zuni Road
Pueblo, CO 81001

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



Christopher M. Hchol
Operations Director
Superior Special Services
P.O. Box 500
Port Wosh, WI 53074

Environmental Technology

Skills Standard Update



Joe L. Citta Jr.
Env. Manager
Nebraska Public Power Dist.
P.O. Box 499
Columbus, NE 68601





Conkertor Occurrational Renocardi and Development P.O. Bon 24669 Weich, Teras 76702-1689

0965321

Donald H. Burris
Env. & Safety Specialist
Queens Group Kentucky, Inc.
P.O. Box 14505
Louisville, KY 40214

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Genierier
Genegesiforrikkoscharda mae Dengiepaanna Roo. Boot Lakise Whice, Total 76702-1669

David Wheeler

Sr. Cost Estimator
OHM Corp.
5335 Triangle Parkway Ste 450
Norcross, GA 30092

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Cambar for Oceanos Constituent Development P.O. Eng 21639 Vinas, Fores 70702-1689

0965321

Tony Robledo
Project Mgr.
Enterprise Services Advisory
2275 Hwy 77 North
Waxahachie, TX 75165



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update Cantar for Observational Research and Dave's pineat P.O. Bott 21559 Weico, Teires 70702-1659

0965321

William G. Macfarlane, CHMM President Seacost Ocean Services 37 Custom House Wharf Portland, ME 04101

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canter for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Box 21689 Water, Texas 76702-1659

Beverly Ausmus Ramsey Consultant P.O. Box 179 Woodsboro, MD 21798

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contor for Occupational Research and Development R.O. Son 24880 Whee, Texas 76702-1880

Edward M. Brashier 1051 Kennard St. Jacksonville, FL 32208






Canterlor Geograficant Taconal nad Developanent P.O. Bon Indie Wers, Tanac 76702-1632

0965321

Jerry V. DeRosa Environmental Scientist 41 Campden Way Rochaster, NY 14610

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Meil P. Jiorle
Sr. Project Manager
Schoor DePalma
P.O. Box 900
Manalapau, NJ 07726


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Douglas Murtland
Vice President
SEA
7918 Jones Branch Dr Suite 500
McLean, VA 22102



Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



Tom Effinger Director

Environmental Mgt. Cons. 427 Main Street

Evansville, IN 47708-1501

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canter for Openies Constant and Development P.O. Box 21669 Whee, Tetal 76704-1669

0965321

Frank Krawik
Manager
Ecology & Environment, Inc.
111 W. Jackson Blvd Suite 1200
Chicago, IL 60604

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contertor Carrenalians Development P.O. Eor 24328 Whee, Torre 76702-1638

0965321

L.O. Smith
Safety Supervisor
Sanofi Winthrop, Inc.
1776 N. Centennial Dr.
McPherson, KS 67460





Canterlor Occupational Recental and Development P.O. Bot 21688 Were, Ferm. 76702-1689

0965321

David Aikins Manager Environmental Affairs O'Sullivan Industries, Inc. 1900 Gulf Street Lamar, MO 64759

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canication

Congressional Roscoment and Domain and Roscoment

12.0. Con 22.020

Verso, Taxa 70.004-1080

Roberta Johnson
Regulatory Affairs Specialist
Diagnostic Products Corp.
5700 West 96th St.
Los Angeles, CA 90045

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Combonior

Occupational Component and Development

Fig. Box 24880

Vired, Virex 76702-4880

David C. Andre
Env. Engineer
Kodak Colorad Division
9952 Eastman Park Dr.
Windsor, CO 80551



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canter for Occupational Resonant and Development P.O. Box 21669 Whee, Texas 76702-1689

0965321

Franklin B. Fischer
Loss Control & Security Spec.
Sullair Corp
3700 E. Michigan Blvd.

Michigan City, IN 46360

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Conformation for Observational Resonance and Observational Resonance and Observational R.O. Box 21688

P.O. Box 276792-1688

0965321

James E. Amburgey
Mgr. Security & Env. Complianc
Huffy Bicycle Co.
P.O. Box 318
Celina, OH 45822

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contor for Occupational Research and Development F.O. Box 244380 Whee, Forex 7670244320

Scott Harris
EH&S Manager
Polyken Technologies
2320 Bowling Green Road
Franklin, KY 42134






Conterfor Occupational Research and Develoument P.O. Bor 21669 Weico, Taxas 76702-1689

0965321

Patricia A. Forby Roth Safety & H.M. Supervisor AGCO Wanufacturing Group 627 S. Cottage Independence, MO 64050

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Steve Gedlje Env. Control Engineer **Bayer Corporation** 1630 Industrial Park Street Covina, CA 91722

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Conterfor Occupational Research and Development P.O. Bott 24688 Wareo, Total 76762-1689 0965321

Alan Madewell **Environmental Manager AVX Corporation** P.O. Box 867 Myrtle Beach, SC 29577

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Center for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Son 21689 Walco, Textes 70702-1559

0965321

Ginger M. Pasley Environmental Engineer United Technologies Automotive 600 S. Kyle Street Edinburgh, IN 46124

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Conterfor Openierijonal Reservan sine Deweldemikal P.O. 80121689 Waren, Tarong 76702-1659

0965321

Richard E. Seplow Camelback Conservation 7473 E. Bent Tree Dr. Scottsdale, AZ 85255

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contertor Occupational Research and Develorment P.O. Bott 24680 Whoo Texas 75702-1888

0965321

Keith W. Harned ASP Safety Coordinator E.I. DuPont P.O. Drawer 219 New Johnsonville, TN 37134







Cunker for Obserstional Resonancia and Development P.O. Bor: 21689 Weiso, Tarse 76702-1689

0965321

James D. Dodds
HazWat Response Team Captain
Tosco Refining Co. Avon Refine
Solano Way
Martinez, CA 94553

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canter in Obergeriforalizorografi erri Dandinament R.O. Bort Infila Were, Toral Forga-1689

Allen P. Lusby REM
Div. Environmental Mgr.
Sunbeam Outdoor Products
4101 Howard Bush Drive
Neosho, MO 64850

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Contention

Contention

Contention

(2.0: Contention

Tingo, Total Total

0965321

Thomas Badrick, CHMM
Env. & Safety Mgr.
OECO Corporation
4607 SE International Way
Milwaukee, OR 97222



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update Conterior Occupational Research and Development P.O. Bott 21660 Watco, Texas 78702-1660

0965321

Rich DeBlasio
Sr. Instructional Designer
ALCOA
100 Technical Drive
Alcoa Center, PA 15069

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Comborior

Observational Research and Developmant

P.O. Box 21660

Weico, Texas 76702-1660

Alan L. Kirkpatrick CHMM Incinerator Services Superviso Ciba Geigy P.O. Box 11 St. Gabriel, LA 70776

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Conierior

Occupational Research and Development

P.O. Bott 24688

Whee, Tatal: 76702-1688

Dennis L. Caputo, CEP CHMM VP Env. & Safety Compliance Proler International Corp. P.O. Box 286 Houston, TX 77001






Canter for Decurrational Reconces and Development P.O. Ron 24888 Weren, Taren 76702-1689

0965321

Stephen W. Wanning CHMW Idaho Division of Env. Quality 1410 N. Hilton Boise, ID 83706

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Tim Eckhardt
Experimentalist
Avery Research Center
2900 Bradley St.
Pasadena, CA 91107


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Roberta H. Pursell Waste Mgmt Specialist NC Dept. EHNR 59 Woodfin Place Asheville, NC 28801



Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



0965321

George Kolesar Mgr. Safety, Health, Environme Rubbermaid 1147 Akron Road Wooster, OH 44691

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Candanior Occupational Recorded and Devolutional P.O. Bot 21668 Ware, Town 76702-1689

0965321


John Crenshaw
Environmental Mgr
First Tennessee Bank
300 Court
Memphis, TN 38103

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Contor for Operational Resease is not Development P.O. Bott 24888 Whee, Veris 76702-4888


Henry K. Veltman
Safety & Occup. Health Coord.
Conoco, Inc.
10 Desta Drive Suite 100W
Midland, TX 79705





James Skrabak CIH
Asst. Mgr of Safety and Health
Stone & Webster Engineering Co
245 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02210

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Kenneth R. Cochran Dir. Training & Fire Services

Virginia Power 1100 Coxendale Rd. Chester, VA 230831

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Contector

Occopy to reither exist development

[2.0] Box 24880

Vine, Fores 76702-1680

Martha Coopersmith-Gray Environmental Mgmt Specialists AT&T Bell Laboratories Goo Mountain Ave. #3A-202 Murray Hill, NJ 07974

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Cantar for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Bott 21689 Wared, Texas 76702-1689

0965321

Edward Rose
Corporate Safety Director
Brimerson
1210 W. 190th St.
Torrance, CA 90502

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canter for Canter for Special Research and Forest Property Research and Forest Property Research Resea

David Richards CHMM Mgr. Technical Services Barton Solvents, Inc. P.O. Box 221 Des Moines, IA 50301

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Diane K. Rumme Mgr. GBU Compliance PSE & G Co. 80 Park Plaza T2&C Newark, NJ 07101





Gunkarior Occurrational Romancia and Development P.O. Bon 24668 Were, Terse 70702-1688

0965321

Maribeth McCormick
Sr. Env. Scientist
Orange & Rockland Utilities, I
1 Blue Hill Plaza
Pearl River, NY 10985

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Lee R. Klockow Env. Wanager Lubrichem Environmental, Inc.

206 Valley Creek Rd. Elizabethtown, KY 42701

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Configurator


Ocasorriforal President and Development

R20. Borreligen

Vineo. Forer 7/3/02-4880

Ellen Pulaski
Environmental Mgr.
Carolina Power & Light
305 Homestead Drive
Carg, NC 27513

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Thomas A. Wojtalik
Env. Engineer
TN Valley Authority MR5K
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, TN 37402

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contor for Observentenal Rossonral and Oskoleraturi P.O. Bott 21666 Weice, Takat. 76704-1659

0965321

Gary W. Peithman CHMM
Sr. Administrator Env.
American Airlines, Inc.
4333 Amon Carter Blvd M/D 5425
Ft. Worth, TX 76155

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contertor


Cocupational Research and Development

P.O. Box 21688

Whee, Texas 76702-1688

W. Stephen Collings
Principal Env. Engineer
Commonwealth Electric Co.
2421 Cranberry Highway
Wareham, MA 02571





George Perko EH&S Regional Mgr Koch Industries, Inc. P.O. Box 2256 Wichita, KS 67201

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Saniarior Oceanosiionali Romanai anti Davalo empol P.O. Eure 24669 Whish, Total 76702-1689

0965321

Robert S. Dorzback CHMM REM
Sr. Env. Scientist
Louisville Gas and Electric Co
P.O. Box 32010
Louisville, KY 40203

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Conferent Organizational Resource en al Dispositational R.C. Contribusion Vinas, Fotan FC702-14889

Joel Scoggin CHMM HazMat Manager Columbia Helicopters, Inc. P.O. Box 3500 Portland, OR 97208

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Center for Occupational Reconstant and Development P.O.Bott 21689 Were, Tattes 76707-1659

0965321

Jeffrey Dee Holler, CEP Env. Consultant Pennzoil 700 Milam, Box 2967 Houston, TX 77252

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canternor
Ordunal Resonatan and Development
P.O. Bon 21666
Werdo, Turae 76702-1680


Linda L. Schneider CIH
Industrial Hygienist
Omaha Public Power District
444 S. 16th St. Mall 2E/EPI
Omaha, NE 68102

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Combondor
Cocupadonal Research and Develorment
P.O. Box 21680
Whed, Fores 76702-1650

Jim Helzer
EH&S Manager
GTEL Environmental Laboratorie
4211 W. May Avenue
Wichita, KS 67209





Joseph D. Angelone Operations Manager MXI 713D East Main St. Bridgewater, NJ 08807

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



Laure Brown, CHMM
Operations Administrator
Tank Liners, Inc.
3410 NW 264th
Killsboro, OR 97124


Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



William L. Going
President
William L. Going & Associates,
31 Kobelt Drive
Wallkiu, NY 12589



Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



0965321

Karl W. Kramer REW CHMW Sr. Project Wanager Environmental Recovery, Inc. P.O. Box 330569 Atlantic Beach, FL 32233

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Gregory L. Rexroad CEP
Engineer III
EG&G Florida, Inc.
BOX-165
Kennedy Space Ctr., FL 32899

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



John E. Bowers
Project Geologist
Empe, Inc.
220 Athens Way Suite 410
Nashville, TN 37228






Cantar lor Cesus Tisus Resourch and Development P.O. Bon 21688 Ware, Terse 76702-1689

0965321

Jesse R. Graham
HazMat Instructor
Chattanooga State College
4501 Amni Cola Hwy
Chattanooga, TN 37406

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Mark J. Knight
Technical Serv. Mgr.
Frvor Daniel Env. Serv. Inc.
200 W. Monroe
Chicago, IL 60606

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Steven R. Kennedy Mgr of Env. Services ETTL Engineers & Consultants, 1717 E. Erwin Tyler, TX 75702



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update Cantar for Decupational Research and Development P.O. Son 21669 West, Texas 78702-1669

0965321


Jeff Weatherly
Health & Safety Coordinator
CDM Federal Programs Corp.
8215 Melrose Dr. Suite 100
Lenexa, KS 66214

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Michael J. Wilder
President
Pacific Basin Env. Consultants
P.O. Box 428
Laupahoehoe, HI 96764


Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



้ 0965321

Ronald G. Alderfer VP & Gen. Mgr. PDC Technical Services, Inc. P.O. Box 9071 Peoria, IL 61612





Mark Meech
Sr. Consulting Engineer
Jacobs-Sirrine Engineers
5511 Capital Ctr. Dr. Suite 50
Raleigh, NC 27606

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Ganlar for Craego-Afonal Romanna and Dandopanen Roe Eon Michael Meas, Fore 7070A-1680

Donna Coventry
Env. Safety Scientist
Bayer Corporation
511 Benedict Avenue
Tarrytown, NY 10591

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Genierier
Geriffereißeserichent Deweibenneni Feo. Ebstehöße What, Verl 76702-1659

Veronica A. Hanzel REM, CHMM Dir. of Env. Safety & Health Environmental Support Network, 4835 Munsin St. NW Canton, OH 44718

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canter for Occupational Resembly and Development P.O. Bon 21689 Ward, Takes 76702-1689

0965321

Gary P. Franillin CEP
Director, Env. Science
EMA Consulting Env Science
1st & Chew Roads
Hammonton, NJ 08037

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update

Canier idt Organiziëngi Rossenga and Organizati P.O. Bon 21664 Wered, Versa 76702-1659

Arthur C. Newby
Senior Scientist
Consoer Townsend Envirodyne En
545 Mainstream Drive
Nashville, TN 37228

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Comberon
Occupational Research and Devolutional
P.O. Bot 21680
Whee, Texas 76702-1680

Brian D. Smith CHMM
District Health & Safety Rep.
Groundwater Technology, Inc.
7980 Castleway Drive.
Indianapolis, IN 48250





Canter for Occupational Recoverational Davelopment P.O. Romanios Weigh, Faise 76702-1689

0965321

John R. LaRiviere, CEP Principal Abiqua Engineering, Inc. P.O. Box 4155 Salem, OR 97302

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Griniship Descriptional Bonosnah and Davalopment Riol Bon 21688 Warel Total 76702-1669

L.F. Mango
President
Phenix Env. Inc.
75 Glen Road, Suite 108
Sandy Hook, CT 06482

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contor for Obschool Research and Davalonnam R.O. Best 24820 Whas, Text 76702-1650

Rod M. Wilson
Vice President
Env. Remediation Services, Inc
4030 Option Pass
Ft. Wayne, IN 46818



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update Canter for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Bott 21669 Weres, Vattas 78702-1679

0965321

Rebecca J. Robertson
Project Mgr.
ERM, Inc.
5088 W. Washington St. Ste 101
Charleston, WV 25313

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Cantartor Occupational Research and Dave Cambrit P.O. Bon 24688 Water, Texas 76702-4689


Harry S. Kemp
President
Business Env. Consulting, Inc.
P.O. Box 665
Cordova, TN

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Conium ion Occupational Research and Development P.O. Bott 24660 Wase, Terra 75702-1668


William Fink
Branch Manager, Principal
Braun Intertec Corporation
16855 W. Cleveland Ave.
New Berlin, WI 53151





Glenneth H. Hutt President Bio-Environmental Solutions 112 East Main Street McArthur, OH 45651

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

David C. Breeding RPE,CSP


Pivision Head

Tx. Engineering Ext. Service

Tx A&M University

College Station, TX 77843

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Myra L. Peak
President
Peak Env. Mgmt. Inc.
P.O. Box 404
Green River, WY 82935




Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




Bernard F. Mallett
President
Mallett Environmental & Safety
1732 Knollcrest Dr.
Sheboygan, WI 53081

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



LCDR George Taylor Regional Industrial Hyg. Con. U.S. Public Health Service 19018 E. Hickock Drive Parker, CO 80134


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



James Antonelli Project Mgr Henderson & Bodwell 36 Harrison Place Farmingdale, NY 11735








R.E. Mathis
President
E&P Industry Compliance Ser.
2201 Civic Circle, Suite 510
Amarillo, TX 79109

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



0965321

Garry L. Van Hecst, CEP
Regional Project Director
ABB Environmental Services, In
34 Industrial Park Place
Middletown, CT 06457


Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



Edward Sherman
Principal Engineer-Env. Affair
Schindler Elevator Corp
1200 Biglerville Road
Gettysburg, PA 17235




Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Irvine D. Cohen
Chief Exec. Officer
Enviro-Sciences, Inc.
111 Hadard Blvd.
Mt. Arlington, NJ 07856

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



Terry A. Kuykendall
Program Mgr.
Parsons Engineering Science
1700 Broadway, Suite 900
Denver, CO 80127

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



Joseph R. Nardone Sr. Corp. Env. Engineer Techneglas, Inc. RR4 Box 60 Pittston, PA 18640





Ronald Burstein PE DEE CHMM Corporate Env. Affairs Mgr National Starch & Chemical Co. 10 Findeme Avenue Bridgewater, NJ 08807

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Melonie M. Sviatyla Env. Health & Safety Mgr Martin Marietta Control System MD 451, 600 Main Street Johnson City, NY 13790

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Edward Konol Dir. Safety Health & Env. **PACO Pharmaceuticals** 27 Franklin Place Kearny, NJ 07032

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Center for Occupational Research and Ocvelopment P.O. Bon 21689 Waco, Texas 78702-1689

0965321


Edward J. Ryan III CSP Safety Manager **Uniroval Chemical** 280 Elm Street Naugatuck, CT 06770

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Joseph L. D'Angelo CHMM Hazardous Materials Investigat Bristol-Myers Squire Company P.O. Box 4000 Princeton, NJ 08543

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Eugene J. Kobliska Corporate Health & Safety Offi Webcraft Technologies Rt 1 and Adams Station North Brunswick, NJ 08902





Canter for Orangenional Research and Development P.O. Bot 21689 Waren Taran 76702-1689

0965321

Trent Dilworth CHMM Env. Coordinator Caterpillar 202 Cardinal Drive Corinth. MS 38834

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Genter for Operitational Companies P.O. Boit MGCC Wared Toxic 76707-1689

Robert A. Large

Environmental Scientist AGMC/EM 813 Irving-Wick Drive West Newart AFB, OH 43057

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Contociór

Orangalio pal Resoencia en di Developancial


P.O. Rota 24882

Vinco, Total 76702-1689

John P. Sandstedt, CEP SHMM Dir. Regulatory Compliance Sybron Chemicals, Inc.

P.O. Box 66 Birmingham, NJ 08011

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



0965321

James R. Armbrust Env. & Safety Engineer Eaton Corporation 3401 E. 4th Street Hutchinson, KS 67501

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Cantarior

Decupational Research and Development
P.O. Bot 21689
Were, Texa, 76702-1689

Jay R. Whimpey
Mgr. Environmental Services
Thiokol Corporation
P.O. Box 689 MS 301
Brigham City, UT 84302

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Cantar for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Box 21680 Whee, Ferry 76702-1680

Paul Fazio Facilities Engineer G.H. Bass P.O. Box 659 Wilton, ME 04294





Canter for Descriptional Recentain and Devalopment P.O. Bon 2:1888 Water, Tatas: 78702-1689

0965321

Robert Materia MA CET CHMM Env. Health & Safety Training Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. 1 Squibb Drive New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Stanley P. Wroblewski, Jr.

Marine Shale Processors, Inc. 9828 Highway 90 East Worgan City, LA 70380


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Kevin R. Hayes
Industrial Safety Eng.
ABB Ceno Fuel Operations
P.O. Box 500
Windsor, CT 06095




Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321


Marvin R. Glass, Jr.
Environmental Supervisor
MacMillan Bloedel Packaging In
P.O. Box 336
Pine HIII, AL 36769

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Laurie Capalaces
Environmental Technician
Dovatron International
P.O. Box 5212
Binghamton, NY 13902

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



David A. Scott
Corporate Safety & Env. Dir.
Mestek, Inc.
260 N. Elm St.
Westfield, MA 01085





Cantar for Occupational Recentary and Development P.O. Bon 24689 Water, Take 76702-1689

0965321

Robert Segura
Env. Health & Safety Mgr.
Analog Devices
804 Woburn St.
Wilmington, MA 01887

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Cantarior Obserte il Cantarografia anci Dominispansak Prol. Bot 24668 Ware, Torre, 76704-1689

0965321

Frank Trainer
Safety Coordinator
News & Observer Pub. Co.
215 S. McDowell St.
Raleigh, NC 27602

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Conformation

Cerupational Research and Development

P.O. Son 24680

Vale, Teat 76702-1680

Robert P. Kennedy Sr. Mfg. Engineer Cutler Hammer 2900 Doc Bennett Rd. Fayetteville, NC 28306



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Center for Occupational Research and Davelopment P.O. Bon 21689 Werd, Tense 76702-1689

0965321

Paul E, Jaywell, Jr. Manager EHS G.E. P.O. Box 2223 Decatur, AL 35609

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Cantertor Occupational Research and Devolopment P.O. Bon 21669 Were, Tarre 76702-1689

ິ0965321

Allen H. White
Safety Manager
Gaston Copper Recycling Corp.
P.O. Box 318
Gaston, SC 29053

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Conibrior
Occupational Research and Development
P.O. Box 21000
Whee, Texas 76702-1660

Jack D. Greer
Environmental Coordinator
American Drew, Div. of LADD
P.O. Box 489
North Wilkesboro, NC 28659





Canker for Occurentensi Roscontal and Dowelbamouk P.O. Bot 21689 Weich, Taine, 76702-1689

0965321

Byron K. Boggs
Safety Manager
Cincinnati Electronics
7500 Innovation Way
Mason, OH 45040

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Jay M. Hunter

Labor Relations Generalist The Akron Beacon Journal P.O. Box 640 Akron, OH 44309


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Bob Conely
Safety & Environmental Mgr
Huvon, Inc.
6554 Lakeshore Road
Lexington, MI 48450



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321


Douglas J. King Project Engineer APV Crepaco, Inc. 100 South CP Avenue Lake Mills, WI 53551

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




Rick Nesbit Env. Health & Safety Mgr. Eaton Corp. RR #2 Lincoln, IL 62656

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Wm D. Morgan, Jr. Facilities Supervisor Ciebert Corporation 1050 Dearborn Dr. Columbus, OH 43229





Lori E. Read
Facility Engineer
Streater
411 1st Avenue S.
Albert Lea, MN 56007


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Gary Reniker

Corporate Dir. of Safety
NX International Ink. Co.
2647 South 93th St.
Edwardsville, KS 66111


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



James Carty
Env. Technician
Texas Instruments
P.O. Box 405 M/S 3403
Lewisville, TX 75067




Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




Jana Plageman Gessner Env. Engineer Toledo Wolding & Die 1429 Coining Dr. Toledo, OH 43612

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



G. Richard Bonin
Sr. Environmental Specialist
Schuller International, Inc.
925 Carpenter Road
Defiance, OH 43512

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Sandra Agi Env. Coordinator Schlage Lock Company Box 193324 San Francisco, CA 94119






Center tor Occupational Recented and Development P.O. Borrandis Wase, Teres 76702-1689

0965321


Randy Reed Health, Safety & Env. Mgr. AlliedSignal Bldg. C-13 Freeport Center Clearfield, UT 84016

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Wanda S. Jackson
Corporate Safety/Env. Engineer
Singer Furniture Co.
P.O. Box 5337
Roanoke, VA 24012

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Greg Slominski Environmental Engineer BGF Industries, Inc. 401 Amherst Avenue AltaVista, VA 24517




Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canker for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Bot 21689 Wato, Tettas 76702-1689

0965321


Terry S. Casey
Dir. Env. Health & Safety
KRONOS, Inc./RHEOX, Inc.
16825 Northchase Dr. #1200
Houston, TX 77060

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



John Mackay Facilities Manager Alliant TechSystems, Inc. 401 Defense Highway Annapolis, MD 21401

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Tom Thorpe
Sr. Safety Engineer
Litton Systems,
5115 Calvert Rd.
College Park, MD 20740





Comiscilor Coordalicant Remonstrational Development P.O. Box 21689 Wese, Terar 76702-1689

0965321

Mary Anne Hunter
Dir. of Environment/Safety
Arvin Industries, Inc.
P.O. Box 617
Pulaski, TN 38478

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




Kristin Parisi
Env/Safety Engineer
Wesley-Jessen
2000 Clearwater Drive
Des Plaines, IL 60018

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Wichael R. Lyons Safety Director Dart Container Corp. P.O. Box 546 Leola, PA 17540


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Gary T. Barger
Corporate Safety Director
Broyhill Furniture Ind., Inc.
One Broyhill Park
Lenoir, NC 28633

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Rich DeBlasio
Sr. Instructional Designer
ALCOA
100 Technical Drive
Alcoa Center, PA 15069

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update

Canibr for Openicational Research and Development P.O. Bott 21380 Whed, Tour 75702-1680

William Blarr
Safety Supervisor
Sierra Technologies
485 Cayuga Road
Cheektowaga, NY 10591





Conkertor Occurs Monderch and Devalopment P.O. Por 21689 Wero, Nater 76702-1689

0965321

Jean Wheat
Safety & IH Manager
S.D. Warren Co.
P.O. Box 5000
Westbrook, ME 04092

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Jon D. Johnson

Corporate Industrial Hygienist Peavey Electronics Corp. P.O. Box 2898 Meridian, MS 39301


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Timberlyn Smith Env. Health & Safety Admin. Hallmark Cards, Inc. 2501 McGee #105 KC, MO 64141



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Jerry W. Harrison
Mgr. Environmental Compliance
Ciba Geizy Corporation
P.O. Box 18300
Greensboro, NC 27419

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Sandra Sampson

Maintenance Hazmat Tech

Perdue Foods, Inc.

P.O. Box 539

Washington, IN 47501


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Phillip D. Norman
Sr. Safety Specialist
Gulfstream Aerospace
P.O. Box 22500
Oklahoma City, OK 73123-1500







Jeffrey M. Bradshaw
Env/Safety Manager
Karsten Manufacturing Corp.
2201 West Desert Cove
Phoenix, AR 85029


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



Walt Wyss

Mgr. Environmental Affairs Syntex Corp. 3401 Hillview Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94303

> Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




0965321

Dennis R. Poulsen CEP
Manager, Env. Services
California Steel Industries, I
14000 San Bernardino Avenue
Fontana, CA 92335




Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



0965321


Earl E. Heinlein Jr.
Mgr. EH&S and Site Security
Siemens Rolm Communications
4900 Old Ironsides Dr M/S 1230
Santa Clara, CA 95052

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




Pamela J. Reich
Dir. Env., Safety & Health
C & D Charter Power Systems, I
3043 Walton Rd.
Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



John C. Maxfield
Sr. Env. Consultant
ALCOA
State Highway 35
Point Comfort, TX 77978





Vince Jones
Hazardous Materials Coord.
City of Margate
Benson & Winchester Ave.
Margate City, NJ 08402


Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Kenneth R. Teeter
Sup. Env. Emergency Response
Mo. Dept. of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MI 65102

Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update




0965321

Chuck Rizzo
Hazardous Materials Mgr
UIC, EHSO (MC 646)
1110 S. Pauling
Chicago, IL 60612



Environmental Technology Skills Standard Update



0965321

Charles W. Gilbert Sr.


Sr. Environmental Engineer

TVA Environmental Research Ctr

P.O. Box 1010

Muscle Shoals, AL 35660

Environmental Technology
Skills Standard Update



0965321

David R. Crowe
HW Mgmt Coordinator
Lake County Dept. of SW Mgmt
13130 Astatula Landfill Rd.
Tavares, FL 32778



Example PresentationsThese presentations were made at site visits, conferences, and meetings.





Translating Skill Standards into Educational Programs

Jim Johnson Sr. Research Associate Center for Occupational Research and Development 1-800-972-2766



Skill Standards Defined

 They identify the knowledge, skills, attitudes and level of ability an individual needs to perform successfully in the workplace.



Characteristics of Skills Standards

- * Occupational Crientation
 - more than a job description
 - more than a DACLM
- Industry Lead
 - more than an advisory committee
- Industry Validated
 - buy- in, consensus
 - partnerships





Skills Standards are NOT

- ♦ Magic
- Course Outlines
- ◆ Developed Over Nght
- Statements of Educational Objectives



Skills Standards DO

- Provide students with better information about an occupation before entering it
- Businesses will be provided with better information to hire highly skilled workers
- Accountability among training providers due to measurable standard
- * Guide curriculum and program development



Skills Standards ALSO

- Gve guidance for occupational assessment
- Set a standard for the development of certification programs





National Skills Standards

- ◆ Joint effort
 - Department of Education
 - Department of Labor
- ♦ Cornerstone of Clinton's Administrations workforce development system
- Twenty- two projects funded represent approximately 25% of US. workforce



National Skills Standards Format

- + Format varies but typically includes
 - definition of the occupation
 - job oriented tasks and/or skills
 - academic or general skills
- Oriented toward Task Analysis
- Needs expansion to give direction to training programs



National Skills Standards Sample Format, HMMT

- Define occupation (ex: Hazardous Materials Management Technician)
 - Entry level
 - Areas of employment include
 - Field remediation
 - Transportation, storage & disposal
 - Regulations/compliance
 - Laboratory/analytical
 - Compliance Based Training Requirements



National Skills Standards Sample Format, HMMT

- ♦ Job Functions
 - 13 different job functions
 - may not be needed by all employees
- Enabling Skills and Knowledge
 - specific tasks
 - general knowledge





National Skills Standards Sample Format, HMMT

- "Academic" Skill and Knowledge
 - Mathematics
 - Chemistry
 - Physics
 - Computer
 Toxicologi
- Toxicology
- Employability Skills (SCANS)
- Quality Movement
- + Curriculum Development









How will <u>Industry</u> use Skills Standards?


- ♦ Incorporate into Job Descriptions
- * Responsibility Section of HazMat Manual
- Evaluate Existing Personnel
- Develop Training Programs
- Upgrading Staff
- + Evaluation of Performance
- Baseline for Entry Level Personnel
- ♦ To be used by Tech Prep Consortium



How will <u>Education</u> use Skill Standards?

- ♦ Evaluate Existing Programs
 - compatible with local industry needs
 - modify national standard to meet local variations
- Curriculum Development
 - TPAD/seamless curriculum
 - Develop new programs








Goals of Phase 2

- ♦ Validation
- ◆ Dssemination
- ◆ Assessment, Certification, and Accredation
- Curriculum Guidance



Educational Paths --postsecondary--
































OSHA'

(6) Training Certification. Employees and supervisors that have received and successfully completed the training and field experience specified in paragraphs (e)(1) through (e)(4) of this section shall be certified by their instructor as having successfully completed the necessary training. A written certificate shall be given to each person so certified. ...

(4) The employer shall certify that the training required by paragraphs (g)(1) through (g)(3) of this section has been accomplished. The certification shall contain each employee's name, the signatures or initials of the trainers, and the date of the training. ...

awood Community Callege / HMTRI

10/4/96



Certified Instructor???

- EPA accredits some programs like asbestos removal and approves instructors to instruct in specific programs.
- OSHA authorizes instructors to issue attendance cards for construction safety or industrial safety
- DOT no longer certifies programs or instructors



Watch out for questionable statements

vood Community College / HMTRI

104/96

Certification of Professionals

A recent survey Identified over 57 certifications in the the environmental health and safety field.

Some have counted over 90 individual programs

newood Community College / HMTRI

104/96



Lots of Programs


- What are the advantages?
- What is the value?
- Is it selective?
- Who is benefiting?
- Who is the sponsor?
- Why are they sponsoring the program?

wood Community College / HMTRI

104/96

Accreditation Advantages


- Peer Review and Backing of Program
- Quality Assurance and Documentation
- Transferability of Skills Across the Nation (standardization)





104/96














Working Together

- CWV / IUOE Meeting May 22 and 23.
 Major colleges and universities, unions,
 GOCO facilities, CORD and others.
- CORD/PETE/NETA Meeting July 28 and 29 at Western PETE meeting in Reno NV.

wood Community College / HMTRI

104/9

What TECH are we PREPping for?

Rob Auld
Executive Director
National Coalition of Advanced
Techology Centers

Center for Occupational Research and Development

TECH PREP

A Broad Definition

Tech Prep is a set of principles that guide a process of curriculum reform, leading to desired improvements in the educational system.



TECH PREP is

- Designed for the *Neglected Majority*
 - those students in the middle 50% of the high school population that are not college bound
- Analogous to "College Prep"
 - college prep directs students toward a Bachelor Degree
 - tech prep directs students toward an Associates Degree with a career focus
- Career Oriented

TECH PREP also

- Encourages a "seamless curriculum"
 - -2+2+2 or better yet 4+2+2
 - reduces repetition of studies by developing "articulation" agreements
- Encourages partnerships with business and industry
- Presents new materials and information in the way most students learn best
 - contextual methods



The Narrowing Pyramid

For every 100 students in grade 5:

Provided to the provided students of the provided students o

National Center for Educational Statistics, October 1991


The Neglected Majority

by Dale Parnell

"The academic and vocational desert of American education is the high-school general-education program ... Unfocused learning remains one of the prime barriers to achieving excellence for a host of high-school students."




Who are the Neglected Majority in High Schools?




The Economic Engine Drives Education



The Life Cycle of a Person, Technology or an Economy



Past and Future Economies








The Department of Labor estimates that by the year 2000 at least 44% of all workers will be in data services--for example, gathering, processing, retrieving, or analyzing information





Various sources, by Stephen Barley, EQW Working Papers, The New Crafts:..., Cornell University



"Technization" of the workforce

"...professional and technical workers have been catapulted from the second most peripheral occupational category to what may be the core of the labor force by the next century."

from: The New Crafts: The Rise of the Technical Labor Force and its Implications for the Organization of Work by Stephen R. Barley, Cornell University, School of Industrial and Labor Relations. 1992

What is a Technician?

- hundreds of studies regarding blue-collar, clerical, managerial and professional work, but
- few studies about technician occupations have been published
- typically come from the "neglected majority" (general education curriculum)
- NOT "junior professionals" or "frustrated engineers"



Technicians are NOT Junior Engineers "...the concept that technicians are 'junior professionals'


"...the concept that technicians are 'junior professionals' misrepresent the technicians role."

"the image of a 'junior professional' is **inaccurate**, it may lead educators to develop curricula that are, at best, irrelevant and, at worst, a barrier to entry."

"We have found instead that the division of labor between technicians and professionals is usually more collaborative (horizontal) than hierarchical (vertical) and that members of the two types of occupations command substantively different knowledge and skill."

from What Do Technicians Do? by Stephen R. Barley, School of Industrial and Labor Relations, Cornell University, 1993

Occupational Categories by Dimensions Critical to Horizontal and Vertical Divisions of Labor



from "The New Crafts by Stephen R. Barley

Transportability of Substantive Knowledge



Technicians vs Professionals

- Technician occupations require formal knowledge of science, math, and technology yet their most valued skills are developed in a hands-on conversation with materials and techniques
- Professionals possess greater formal knowledge but rarely possess the artisanal skills critical to the success of experiments, tests and measurements

Characteristics of Workers in High Performance Workplaces



Quotes--Peter F. Drucker

- In 1960 almost 1/2 of all workers in the industrial countries were involved in making things
- By 2000, no developed country will have more than 1/6 to 1/8 of its workforce in traditional roles of making or moving goods
- "knowledge" is becoming our most important "product"
- This calls for different organizations, as well as different kinds of workers

"13 Ground Rules for Job Success in the INFORMATION AGE"

Price Pritchett

1. Become a quick-change artist

"You think you understand the situation, but what you don't understand is that the situation just changed."--Putman Investments advertisement



Price Pritchett

2. Commit fully to your job

"They're only puttin' in a nickel, but they want a dollar song." -Song title

"13 Ground Rules for Job Success in the INFORMATION AGE"

Price Pritchett

3. Speed Up

"I have a microwave fireplace. You can lay down in front of the fire all night in eight minutes."-Steven Wright



Price Pritchett

4. Accept ambiguity and uncertainty

"Every year more and more people will be self-employed.

Many will work temporary or part-time--sometimes because that's the way they want it, sometimes because that's all that is available."-John Hardy, The Age of Unreason

"13 Ground Rules for Job Success in the INFORMATION AGE"

Price Pritchett

5. Behave like you're in business for yourself

"There has been more information produced in the last 30 years than during the previous 5,000.

The information supply available to us doubles every 5 years."--Richard Saul Wirman, Information Anxiety



Price Pritchett

6. Stay in school

"There are two kinds of people, those who finish what they start and so on..."-Robert Byrne

The cost of computing power drops roughly 30% every year, and microchips are doubling in performance power every 18 months.--Business Week

"13 Ground Rules for Job Success in the INFORMATION AGE"

Price Pritchett

7. Hold yourself accountable for outcomes

"Somebody has to do something, and it's just incredibly pathetic that it has to be us."

--Jerry Garcia of the Grateful Dead



Price Pritchett

8. Add value

The first practical industrial robot was introduced during the 1960's, today there are over 20,000,000.

"The factory of the future will have only two employees, a man and a dog. The man will be there to feed the dog. The dog will be there to keep the man from touching the equipment."

-Warren Bennis, Professor of Business Administration, University of Southern California

"13 Ground Rules for Job Success in the INFORMATION AGE"

Price Pritchett

9. See yourself as a service center

"Today's average consumers wear more computing power on their wrist than existed in the entire world before 1961."

-Ian Morrison and Greg Schmid



Price Pritchett

10. Manage your own morale

Computer power is now 8,000 times less expensive than it was 30 years ago. If we had similar progress in automotive technology, today you could buy a Lexus for about \$2. It would travel at the speed of sound, and go about 600 miles on a thimble of gas."—John Naisbitt, Global Paradox

"13 Ground Rules for Job Success in the INFORMATION AGE"

Price Pritchett

11. Practice kaizen

kaizen is Japanese for "continuous improvement"



Price Pritchett

12. Be a fixer, not a finger-pointer

"We have only one person to blame, and that's each other."
--Larry Beck, New York Ranger

"13 Ground Rules for Job Success in the INFORMATION AGE"

Price Pritchett

13. Alter your expectations

Look at the roster of the 100 largest U.S. companies at the beginning of the 1900's. You'll find that only 16 are still in existence.

During the decade of the 1980's, a total of 230 companies--46%--disappeared from the "Fortune 500".



"The significant problems we face today cannot be solved at the same level of thinking we were at when we created them"--Albert Einstein

New and Emerging Technologies

- Advanced Materials
- Artificial Intelligence
- Digital Imaging
- High Density Storage
- Software Producability
- Photonics (optoelectronics)

- Superconductivity
- Medical Devices and Diagnostics
- Visualization
- Biotechnology
- Microelectronics
- Environmental Technology







Meeting Attendance Request

Included are several example letters requesting presentations on the skills standard project.





NORTH CENTRAL PARTNERSHIP FOR ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION

P.O. Box 2068 Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406 (319) 398-5677 FAX: (319) 398-1250

Mr. Jim Johnson Senior Research Associate C.O.R.D. 601 Lake Air Drive Waco, TX 76710

March 29, 1995

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you so much for your willingness to address the 1995 North Central PETE conference on the critical issue of CORD and the Voluntary Skills Standards project. Your presentation was valuable, timely, and useful to the participants. In fact, your remarks were very highly rated by the conference participants on their conference evaluation forms.

I am especially thankful for your contribution of professional and personal time, particularly in light of the great demands on your resources. You were very important to the success of our conference!

Warmly,

Ann M. Valentine

Regional Director, North Central PETE

alentine

cc: file





February 17, 1995

Division of Continuing Education

National Institute for Technology Training

Jim Johnson
HMMT Project Director
Center for Occupational Research
and Development (CORD)
P. O. Box 21689
Waco, TX 76702-1689

Dear Mr. Johnson:

I have enjoyed talking with you about our 1995 NITT Summer Institute to be held during the week of June 5-9, 1995, at Mississippi State University, and we are happy to learn that it might be possible for you to join us for this event.

By this letter we wish to formally invite you to be our keynote speaker at the Institute on Tuesday, June 6, 1995, from 9:15 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. As we discussed, immediately following your keynote address, we would like for you to give a presentation on National Voluntary Skill Standards (Hazardous Materials Management Technology.) NITT will pay you the actual cost of your travel to and from Mississippi State University, to include airfare (not to exceed regular coach rates), and your hotel accommodation at our Butler-Williams Guest House. If possible, we would appreciate your sending to us, as soon as possible, an abstract of your presentation, a short biography, and a black and white photograph for our use in maximizing our promotional activities for the Institute.

For your further information we are enclosing some information on NITT and our 1995 Summer Institute brochures.

We look forward to having you as our honored guest at the 1995 NITT Summer Institute. Please call if your should need additional information.

Sincerely,

Virgil Elam Manager

VE:g

Enclosures

NHI

m disk



C. O. R. D. SEP 15 1934 RECEIVE

Barry L. Mellinger, President

Royce B. Luke, Vice President Jackson County Campus P O Box 100 Gautier, MS 39553 Telephone: 601/497-9602

September 13, 1994

Mr. James Johnson Senior Research Associate Center for Occupational Research & Development P. O. Box 21689 Waco, TX 76702-1689

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you for responding to the American Technical Education Association (ATEA) Call for Papers for the 32nd National Conference on Technical Education being held in Biloxi, MS, on March 23-26, 1995.

It is my pleasure to indicate your proposal "What Tech are we Preping for? Focus on Post Secondary Programs" has been selected for the conference. A designated time for your presentation will be established and you will be notified later. One hour concurrent sessions will be held Friday afternoon, March 24 and all day Saturday, March 25.

Please fill out the enclosed information sheet and return it as soon as possible. Presenters are expected to register for the conference. A conference brochure and registration information will be available in November.

Again, I extend a special thanks for your proposal and interest in ATEA.

Sincerely,

Bennie VanCourt

Bennie Van Court

ATEA National Program Planning Committee

BV:qkp

Enclosure



Jing



April 6, 1996

Daniel M. Hull
The Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD)
P.O. Box 21689
Waco, TX 76702

RE: RFI Work Assignment Number CRD-2

Dear Mr. Hull.

We are pleased to inform you that you have been selected by the State of Florida to provide technical assistance on Work Assignment Number CRD-2. The purpose of this work assignment is to make a presentation at the Florida STW conference. Specific work to be performed under this technical assistance subcontract is described in the attached Statement of Work. The total value of this work assignment is \$1,065.00. (See attached budget.) The effective date of this work assignment is April 6, 1996. The period of performance is from April 22, 1996 to April 23, 1996.

The point of contact for Florida is:

John Marshall, State STW Coordinator

Department of Education 325 W. Gaines Street

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400

904.488.7394 904.487.0426

If you have any questions about this work assignment, please call Glenda Stewart at 703.299.1638.

Sincerely,

F. Stuart Hodgson, DTI

Principal Officer

MEKTUOT DO WED 17:00 ID:FL DVHCE EUUITT HDN: TEL NU:2137287-552



National SCHOOLTOWORK Learning&InformationCenter

400VirginiaAve.,SW,Ste.210,Washington,DC20024,Tel.800-251-7238,Fax202-401-8211,stw-lc@ed.gov

TASK ORDER TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REQUEST FORM

Requesting State:	NATURE BAVER" FAX MENO; 0	1616 Date Fol pages
State Contact: John Marshall	To Sea Star	From Co.
	Pnorte	Phone #
Phone Number: 904 - 487 - 4325	Fax #	Fax #
Name of TA Provider:Jim Johnson / CORD		
Brief description of services requested: (Attach actual Statement of Work)		
Mr. Johnson will be making a presenta	tion at the Florida STV	W conference.
The presentation will cover (1) how me Florida STW can develop occupational	ational skill standards	were developed, (2) ho
	4/22/96 4/23/96	
Remarks:	Internal Use Only Date Received	
Authorized Signature: Manhall	Date Mod sent to 1 Tracking #	TA provider 4.696
Printed Name, Title: John Marshall; Fla STW Coordin	nator	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Please return this form to: Glenda Stewart at DTI, 2361 Je Arlington, VA 22202	efferson Davis Hwy. Suite 50	
Learning Center		



Marian Banfield/NSTWO

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROPOSED BUDGET WORKSHEET

Labor Plan Staff Person	Approved RFI	: <u>Rate</u>	No. of Days		
Jim Johnson	x_425)_x	1	= 425	
	x	x		=	
	x	x	· 	=	
	x	x	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	=	
	x	x		=	
		ТО	TAL LABOR	=	
Estimated Travel Ex	penses associated	with this	work assisgnment	=	
Other Direct Costs as	ssociated with this	work ass	isgnment	=	
ΓΟΤΑL ESTIMATE	D COSTS FOR T	HIS WO	RK ASSIGNMENT	<u>640.00</u> 1065	,0

y:\C504\FORMS\BUDGET.SAM





FAX TRANSMITTAL

DATE: 3- 20- 96	NO. OF PAGES (including this one):
FAX DOCUMENT TO:	
ORGANIZATION: CORD	husor
FAX NUMBER:	2-4772
FAX DOCUMENT FROM:	
FLORIDA SCHOOL-TO-WORK CLEAR	INGHOUSE
SENDER: Hyo Lee SUBJECT: Conference COMMENTS: As per our	phone emversation,

Florida School-to-Work Clearinghouse • 251 Sliger Building • 2035 E. Dirac Dr. • Tallahassee, FL 32310 Phone: (904) 644-5549, (800) 428-1194 • Fax: (904) 644-8257 • E-mail: fl-stwcl@mailer.fsu.edu

Home page: http://www.fsu.edu/~flstwtp/fl-stwtp.html

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERI



Call for Presenters The Second Annual Florida School-to-Work Conference **Orange County Convention Center** Orlando, Florida April 21-23, 1996

This is your invitation to submit an application to present at the 1996 Florida School-to-Work (STW) Conference. Come and share your knowledge, skills, and abilitles; gain visibility among your colleagues; network; and enhance your own professional growth. This professional development conference is the premiere forum for all School-to-Work practitioners in Florida.

OUTCOMES

The teams of participants attending this conference will walk away with a shortrange plan that can be incorporated and implemented into their local areas immediately and a long-range vision for a strategic, quality STW system. These teams will become builders of the powerful STW vehicle that will propel us into the next century.

OBJECTIVES

- To provide each participant with quality, hands-on training for developing a comprehensive STW system for all youth :
- To engage employers, community partners, and other key beneficiaries of Florida workforce development as participants in the academic and career preparation of the youth of Florida

AUDIENCE

The target audience includes

- Regional STW leadership teams
- Local STW partnership teams
- **Employers**
- Teachers (K-Postsecondary)
- Counsclors
- Administrators:
- School boards
- Private industry councils
- Apprenticeship agencies
- Vocational rehabilitation agencies

- Jobs and benefits/ONE-STOP CENTERS
- Special population administrators
- Leadership from community-based organizations
- Trade associations
- Parent-teacher associations
- School improvement teams and school advisory councils.
- Workforce development boards

STRANDS

School-Based Learning

- Career programs of study
- Integrated curriculum.
- Elementary standards -
- Middle school standards
- High school standards
- Tech Prep
- Guidance and counseling

Work-Based Learning

- Work experience
- Structured job training
- Worksite mentoring
- Youth apprenticeship
- Pre-apprenticeship
- Apprenticeship
- Cooperative training
- Certificates of mastery

Connecting Activities

- Continuous quality improvement
- Quality in the classroom
- Team building/problem solving/ goal setting
- Training the trainers
- Strategie planning
- Evaluating STW programs
- Integrating school-based and workbased learning
- Promoting employer participation
- Integrating the classroom with on-thejob training
- Promoting employer participation
- Matching students with employers
- Job-site mentors
- Building STW bridges
- Networking employers, schools, and students

- Linking youth activities and business and industry
- Facilitating job placement
- Student organizations
- Labor market information
- Child labor laws

APPLICATION GUIDELINES

If you are interested in submitting a session idea for the conference, please complete the attached presenter application form and return by February 29, 1996,

John Marshall, Program Chair Florida School-to-Work Conference School-to-Work Joint Services 325 West Gaines Street, Room 754 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400

For more information, contact John Marshall at

Phone: (904) 488-7394 Fax: (904) 488-3192

E-mail: fl-stwel@mailer.fsu.edu

One presenter for each session will be given a complimentary registration. Additional co-presenters must register for all conference proceedings. Please note: You may be asked to repeat your presentation. Most sessions will be set up for 80 people.

SELECTION CRITERIA

All applications will be evaluated on

- Quality of proposed session
- Hands-on interactive format, activities for teams, sample materials, and participant handouts
- Relevance of topic
- Presenter experience



FLORIDA SCHOOL-TO-WORK CONFERENCE APRIL 21–23, 1996 • ORLANDO, FLORIDA

PRESENTER APPLICATION

Return to: John Marshall, Program Chair Florida School-to-Work Conference School-to-Work Joint Services 325 West Gaines Street, Room 754 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400

DIRECTIONS:

Complete this application and return by February 29, 1996. All individuals submitting proposals will be notified of the committee's decision by March 15, 1996.

Title S. Brill Bull Off.				
Business Address:				
	age to get the second of			
			Zip:	
City:		State:		
Davtime Telephone:		State:	Zip:	
Pax:		— Home Telephone:		
4		_ E-mail;	<u></u>	
ADDITIONAL PRESE	NTER:			
Name:	<u> </u>			
itle/Organization:				
				 -
DDITIONAL PRESE	NTER:			
lame: 🛌 🔠				
itle/Organization:				-
ITLE OF PRESENTA	TION: Write it as you would	l like for it to appear in the progre	am.	
ESCRIPTION OF PR				iples of team-
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum oup exercises, group discussion	one page) Describe hunds-on acti on:		iples of team-
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum oup exercises, group discussion	one page) Describe hunds-on acti on:	ivities for participants, exam	iples of team-
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum oup exercises, group discussion	one page) Describe hands-on acti on:	ivities for participants, exam	iples of team-
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum on percises, group discussion)	one page) Describe hands-on acti on.	ivities for participants, exam	iples of team-
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum o nup exercises, group discussio	one page) Describe hands-on acti on.	ivities for participants, exam	iples of team-
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum onp exercises, group discussion	one page) Describe hands-on acti on:	ivities for participants, exan	
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum onp exercises, group discussion	one page) Describe hands-on acti on:	ivities for participants, exam	
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum onp exercises, group discussion	one page) Describe hands-on acti on:	ivities for participants, exan	
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum onp exercises, group discussion	one page) Describe hands-on acti on:	ivities for participants, exan	
ESCRIPTION OF PR	ESENTATION: (maximum o	one page) Describe hands-on acti	ivities for participants, exam	
ESCRIPTION OF PRISED ACTIVITIES, SMIALL GRA	ESENTATION: (maximum on exercises, group discussion)	one page) Describe hands-on acti	ivities for participants, exam	
ESCRIPTION OF PRISED ACTIVITIES, SMALL grant properties of PRESENTATION OF PRESENTATION PRESENTA	ESENTATION: (maximum on exercises, group discussion)	one page) Describe hunds-on action. et up for 80 people. Please indica	ivities for participants, exam	



LEARNER OBJECTIVES: List 3-4 outcomes/benefits.	
RESENTERS CREDENTIALS:	
EVEL OF EXPERIENCE FOR PARTICIPANTS:	
All Beginner Experienced	
RESENTATION STRAND: Check all that apply. hool-Based Learning	
Curriculum skills and	Middle school standards
career programs of study	High school standards
Integrated curriculum Elementary standards	Tech Prep
ork-Based Learning Work experience	
Structured job training	Youth apprenticeship Pre-apprenticeship
Cooperative training Worksite mentoring	Apprenticeship
	Certificates of mustery
nnecting Activities	
Continuous Quality Improvement Job-site mentors	Matching students with employers
Quality in the classroom	Promoting employer participation Integrating the classroom with on-the-job training
Team building/problem solving/goal setting Training the trainers	Building STW hridges
Strategic planning	Networking employers, schools, and students Linking youth activities and business and industry
Evaluating STW programs	Facilitating job placement
Integrating school-based and work-based learning Promoting employer participation	Labor market information
Student organizations	Child labor laws
UIPMENT NEEDED:	
VCR/Monitor	Overhead projector
Easel	Screen
Easel pad Marking pens	High-intensity screen for LCD panel
	Other. Please specify:
out which are to make the AAP - The	
ou wish us to provide AV or other equipment, requests MUST will be responsible for bringing your own.	be received with this application by February 29, 1996. Otherwi
elections that procentage of the Florida CTM Co.	
iderstand that presenters at the Florida STW Conference are re	quired to pay for all travel and expenses.
nderstand that presenters at the Florida STW Conference are re	quired to pay for all travel and expenses.



School-to-Work Joint Services
Florida Department of Education/
and Employment Services
and Employment Services
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0400

Monpratit
Organization
Organization
US Postage
Paid
Tallahassee, FL
SEMIT NO. 55



FLORIDA SCHOOL-TO-WORK





Educational SurveyThe purpose of this survey was to get feedback on education and skills standards.



This questionnaire should be completed by the individual most familiar with the Hazardous-Material Management Technician Program at your campus.

		<u> </u>
	ent's Name:	
Title		
		·
City/State		
Telephon	e:	FAX:
		Internet Address:
Please ch	eck the answer that best d	lescribes your current program
V (1) (2) (2)	mark all that apply) Certificate Associate Degree	aterials Management degree or certificate do you offer? (Please specify)
can be inc	er both certificate and deg dependently evaluated.	gree programs, please duplicate this questionnaire so that responses
- 		•
2. V		
2. V		t of students in the HazMat certificate or degree program? Part Time



NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILCHTANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous-Materials Management Technology

Knowledge 1	rdge	e.	4	Mastery 5	1. Evaluate hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste sample data.
					Please mark all the following supporting information included in your curriculum to meet this job function
_	C1	۳.	4	5	
_	C 1	3	4	2	B Read and interpret blueprints, curves, graphs, maps, plans, and spreadsheets from plotted and tabulated data
_	C1	3	4	5	C Collect, tabulate, and assist in the evaluation of data, using appropriate techniques and technology such as:
					calculators, computers, databases, graphics, and spreadsheets
	C1	3	4	5	D Check laboratory and/or field sample analyses by comparing to regulatory limits
Knowledge	dge			Maslery	
_	7	٣.	4	5	2. Safely handle hazardous-materials and hazardous-wastes.
					Please mark all the following supporting information included in your curriculum to meet this job function
-	ŗ	۳,	-	v	A Use chemical reference materials to obtain information on proper chemical handling
	7 6) (1)	1 4	· •	B Recognize, apply, and respond appropriately to chemical-hazard information
	2	, m	4	· v	C Direct personnel in the proper handling and control of hazardous-materials and hazardous-wastes
	2	3	4	5	D Identify and implement safe ergonomic controls and procedures
_	2	3	4	5	E Demonstrate safe handling procedures for chemical containers such as:
					bulk containers, drums, portable and stationary tanks
	2	٣	4	2	F Identify and respond to emergencies, alarms, and abnormal situations in accordance
					with written procedures
	C1	٣	4	~	G Identify and implement safe chemical-handling procedures such as:
	2	3	4	2	Provide on-the-job training as required
Knowledge	dge			Mastery	
_	C1	3	4	5	3. Respond to hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste emergency situations in
					accordance with regulatory requirements.
					Please mark all the following supporting information included in your curriculum to meet this job function
_	2	3	4	5	A Perform as a team member on an emergency-response team
_	2	3	4	2	B Ensure that adequate spill-control equipment and supplies are available at all times
_	2	3	4	ς	C Develop and implement an emergency-response program
	C1 (m (4 ,	Ś	D Demonstrate competency and maintain certification in first aid and cardio-pulmonary resuscitation
 .	٦ ر	. ,	4 4	Λ ч	E Follow guidelines for controlling leaks from containers
-	4	n	1	n	F Consider environmental consequences of emergency situations and respond appropriately



NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous-Materials Management Technology

Knov	Knowledge 2		4 1 1144 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Mastery Mastery 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	. ५. मू	4. Ope	e operations. et this job function, and instrumentation, and manuals cordance with et this job function erties, such as: color, as: rocedure (TCLP)
	(1) (1) (1)	m m m	4 4 4	2 2 2		5 E Check for correct labels and Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) when shipment is required 5 F Label containers of repackaged materials with appropriate warnings and expiration information 5 G Direct personnel in the proper identification and labeling of hazardous-materials	is required information



30°S

(Y)

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous-Materials Management Technology.

						_	T-				_									
	6. Calibrate, operate, and maintain instrumentation. Please mark all the following supporting information included in your curriculum to meet this job function	A Operate, record, and evaluate meter- and gauge-reading trends and implement appropriate actions	B Pertorn routine maintenance of equipment and instrumentation C Oncrate gauges, meters, and monitoring and sampling instrumentation	D Calibrate and operate field and laboratory instrumentation such as: air-monitoring instrumentation, groundwater-monitoring instrumentation, soil-monitoring instrumentation,	solid-waste-monitoring instrumentation, and surface-water-monitoring instrumentation E Identify the need for and comply with factory calibration	F Describe the difference between fluid and factory calibration and demonstrate their appropriate use		7. Compile, record, and maintain required documents for hazardous-materials and	hazardous-waste management activities.	Please mark all the following supporting information included in your curriculum to meet this job function	A Compile and maintain a hazardous-materials inventory	B Compile and maintain documentation of hazardous-materials, such as:	chain of custody, equipment calibration and maintenance, exception reports, field notebooks, incident documentation, laboratory data, manifests, MSDS, purchase orders, shipping documents, and vendor invoices	C Compile and maintain records to prepare compliance reports	D Ensure current MSDSs are available in the workplace	E Operate and maintain abundable records systems in accordance with regulatory requirements F Conduct and maintain a hazardous-waste inventory	G Communicate with suppliers to obtain product identification and labeling	H Identify and maintain an inventory of empty and full containers	I Compile and maintain personal health and safety records	s nead and interpret brueprints, frow diagrams, and schematics
Mastery	v	ν : ι	ر بر	ς.	V	Ś	Mastery	5			5	2		S	5	s S	2	S	s s	ı
	4	₩.	द च	4	4	4		4			4	4		4	4	4 4	4	4	4 4	
-	~ .	۳. ۱		۳.	٤	3		3			3	3		3	m (~ ~	3	m :	~n ~n	
Knowledge	C1	C1 (בו כו	C1	C	(1	Knowledge	C1			7	7		C1	C1 (2 (1	C1	C1 ·	0 C1	
Know				<u>-</u>		_	Клож	_		_	_	_	· 	_			_	_		

ന ംപ ന

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous-Materials Management Technology

· Knowledge	edge			Mastery	ıry		
	Cı	m .	4		5 8.	lmp	lement procedures to comply with appropriate regulations.
-		•			<u>a</u> l	Please ma	tark all the following supporting information included in your curriculum to meet this job function
	C1	۳.	7		ĵ.	A	Read and apply regulatory standards to ensure compliance in operations
	СI	۳.	7		5	В	Obtain hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste permits and/or approvals
_	~1	~,	ঘ		5	ပ	Describe the regulatory process, from the introduction of a bill to the promulgation of a regulation
_	CI	ćΩ	4		5	Ω	Identify and describe the penalties for noncompliance
_	CI	٣.	4		5	Ш	Differentiate between federal, state, and local hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste regulations and
•	,	,	•		1		identify appropriate regulatory agencies
_	C1 -	~∩	4		Λ·	11.	Identify regulatory changes and the impact they have on an operation
_	C1	m ·	4		vo :	C	Comply with federal, state, and local hazardous-materials regulations
_	2	m	4	-	v ·	H	Conduct audits and inspections to ensure hazardous-waste management activities are in compliance with
							federal, state, and local regulations
_	CI	3	4	·	5	1	Follow written, company-standard operating procedures
_	7	3	4	- •		_	Comply with federal, state, and local health and safety regulations
_	C1	3	4	•	5	×	Identify sources of current or timely regulatory information
Knowledge	dge			Mastery	5		
	2	·	4		5 9 .	Impl	ement applicable safety regulations and procedures.
					P	Please ma	ark all the following supporting information included in your curriculum to meet this job function
_	CI	~	4		5	Y	Demonstrate safe health and work habits
_	C1	ς.	4	•	5	В	Read and implement other regulatory standards and guidance relative to worker safety
							and health such as:
							blood-borne pathogens, confined space, emergency egress, fire safety, hearing conservation, and lockout/tagout
_	CI	3	4	~ '	~	ပ	Identify and describe unsafe workplace and job conditions and implement corrective actions

C1 C7

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS Hazardous-Materials Management Technology

Knowledge	rdge .			Mastery			
	C1	₹.	77	5	10.	Selec	
<u>-</u>	C1	۳.	4	5	Plea	Please mar A	rk all the following supporting information included in your curriculum to meet this job function. Use and interpret chemical reference materials in the selection of appropriate personal protective equipment
_ - _	C1	۳:	4	5		Ø	(PPE) and respirators Communicate with suppliers and manufacturers to obtain personal protective and
	C1	ĸ,	4	S		ບ	respiratory equipment information Identify, describe, and use PPE appropriate to the work conditions
_	د	3	4	5		D	Identify and describe the elements of respiratory protection and PPE plans
_	2	3	4	S		ш	Identify, describe, and use respiratory protection appropriate to the work conditions
-	7 7	ب س	4 -	S I		ഥ	Identify and describe hazards associated with the use and limitations of PPE and respiratory protection
	7	ر ک	4	^		D	Maintain and inspect PPE and respiratory protection systems according to regulations
Knowledge	age S			Mastery			
	۲3	3	4	2	.	11. Collec	ct, prepare, document, and ship samples for analysis.
					Plea	Please mari	
	۲3	3	4	5		Y	Perform and document sampling for hazardous-waste characterization purposes
	7	3	4	2		В	Perform field tests according to instructions and procedures
<u></u>	5	3	4	S		ပ	Calibrate and operate, as required, field-test equipment such as:
							air-monitoring equipment, bailers, hand augers, organic-vapor analyzers, pumps, radioactivity measuring
	,	1		1		1	equipment, and split spoons
- -	7		4	2		a	In accordance with instructions and/or procedure, collect samples such as:
	7	Э	4	S		ш	an and soit, burk inaterials, groundwater, solid wastes, and surface water Identify and demonstrate an ability to adjust procedures announiately for notential sample interferences
_	2	3	4	5		ഥ	Decontaminate equipment in accordance with quality-control/quality-assurance procedures
	7	٣	4	S		Ŋ	Identify and describe the appropriate use, limitations, and applications of sampling equipment such as:
_	·	,	•	¥			colorimetric indicator, combustible-gas indicator, and organic-vapor analyzer
·	.1	n	4	n	i	Ξ	Perform personnel-exposure monitoring in accordance with appropriate standards such as:
	C1	m	4	v		-	noise monitoring, oxygen monitoring, radiation dosimetry, temperature extremes, and Threshold
	ı	ı	•			- 1	Prepare and ship samples to laboratory

(U)

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY SKILLS STANDARD ANALYSIS

Hazardous-Materials Management Technology

Knowledge 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	м мете мм м мм м мм мм м	A 444 4 44 4 444 4 444 4 444 4 444 4 444 4		12. Trai with with Please ma B B C D D Please ma B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	Ta
	м м	4 4	د د د	-	 Identify and describe hazards associated with abatement of materials such as: asbestos, fiberglass, lead, and others Identify and describe hazards associated with treatment, removal, and disposal systems and operations
· 64		4	5		

Hazardous Material Management Technology Skills Standard Project Evaluation from Industry and Business Representatives Questionnaire

1. Will these standards be incorporated into job descriptions for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians at your organization? If so please explain.

2. Will these standards be referred to or attached to the Hazardous Materials Manual at your organization? If so please explain.

3. Will these standards be used as a baseline skill requirement for entry-level personnel working as a Hazardous Materials Management Technician? If so please explain.

to the standard of the appropriate description of the appropriate of the second of the

n til krag tide til er a skrift til og ha kalasta er a skille se til filostikken i det til det kalasta for i k

4. Will these standards be used to evaluate existing personnel for performance related to Hazardous Materials Management? If so please explain.

POWER AND A REAL SERVICE OF SECTION OF A SECTION OF A SECTION OF

5. Will these standards be used in the development of company specific training programs? If so please explain.



Educational Survey Final Report



Hazardous Materials Management Technology Third-Party Evaluation Skill Standard Phase Two Final Report

Project Funded by a Grant From The United States Department of Education Grant No. V244B30010

Prepared By

Jean Drevdahl

October 1996



Overview

The development of an Occupational Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials

Management Technology (HMMT) was funded by a grant from the U.S. Department of

Education and managed by the Center for Occupational Research and Development

(CORD) in Waco, Texas. During the second phase of this grant, four goals were

identified. The first goal was to validate the skill standard by developing two surveys.

One survey targeted industries that employ HMMT's. The other survey focused on

community colleges with hazardous materials programs. The college survey was designed

to determine if the curriculum used in these programs covered the job functions listed in

the skill standard. The second project goal was to disseminate the skill standard to those

individuals who might find it useful, for example,

A third goal was to

evaluate the possibility of creating a certification program for students and an accrediting

process academic programs. The fourth goal was to evaluate the feasibility of creating

curriculum material to be used in the HMMT programs.

321



Report Summary

The development of an occupational skills standard for Hazardous Materials

Management Technology (HMMT) was funded by a grant from the U.S. Department of

Education and managed by the Center for Occupational Research and Development

(CORD) in Waco, Texas.

There were four major goals completed during phase two of this grant. The first goal was to validate the skill standard by developing two surveys: one for industry and one for educational institutions. The industry survey evaluated industries that employ HMMTs. In addition, a survey was created to determine if the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE) schools are teaching the job functions listed in the Skills Standard.

The second goal for this project was to disseminate the standard to those individuals who find it useful. This was done by providing a complimentary copy of the standard to PETE colleges when they receive the academic survey to complete. Then, the results from phase one and phase two were distributed at different professional conferences and PETE regional meetings. Industry was able to obtain a copy of the skill standard from CORD.

A third goal of phase two was to evaluate the possibility of creating a certification program for students who complete a degree or certificate in HMMT. The certification subcommittee, chaired by Rick Richardson of the National Environmental Training Association (NETA), looked into the possibility of accrediting individual HazMat programs at colleges and technical schools. The purpose of the accreditation program is



to recognize the educational providers as meeting standards and criteria established by a peer group.

A fourth objective was to look at the feasibility of creating curriculum material to be used in HMMT programs. Gayle Bowles-Haecker of CORD was working on developing real-world scenarios that could be used by the schools to bring in a realistic approach to the HMMT curriculum. The PETE organization is working with IN-TELE-COM on a project called "Preserving the Legacy" to develop community college-level textbooks and training materials for use in the environmental management area. Howard Guyer, an advisory committee member and a Western PETE member, chaired the development of the textbooks.

This report documents the progress of the goals originally identified for this grant.

The goals are listed in bold with supporting documentation following.

Goal 1: Validation of the Skills Standard by developing an industry-based and educational survey

To validate and refine the content of the HMMT standard, two surveys were developed and distributed; one survey was mailed to industry and one to educational institutions. The industry survey was developed by Robert Bear, P.E., Chair of the HMMT skill standard advisory committee. Bear used the 13 job functions listed in the standard and surveyed the industrial population who employed HMMTs to evaluate the importance and frequency of each job function outlined in the standard, according to the needs of HMMT technicians working in industry.



The industry survey was mailed out by NETA who sent out approximately 5,000 industrial surveys to environmental managers listed in a Litton database. Five hundred of these surveys went to members of the National Association of Environmental Professionals (NAEP). A total of 373 completed surveys were received by the April 30, 1995 deadline. Gayle Bowles-Haecker conducted the analysis on this data and subsequent conclusions were presented at the final meeting of this grant held in Orlando, Florida, in January 1996.

The academic survey was chaired by Jean Drevdahl. The main objective of the survey was to determine if schools with HMMT programs cover the 13 job functions as described in the skill standard as part of their curriculum. The survey, which listed job functions and supporting job tasks and knowledge areas, asked that respondents rate these items on a Likert scale with a one (1) indicating the student would have a basic knowledge of this task to a five (5) representing the student had mastered this particular skill when they completed their program. Two hundred-forty surveys were mailed to PETE schools that had any components of a HMMT program. Only fourteen schools responded.

Therefore, the focus was narrowed to the seventy-eight PETE schools with HMMT programs. Those surveys were mailed out the last week in August with a due date of September 30, 1995.

Each PETE school that did not return the survey was called to try to increase the rate of return. During the phone calls, it was determined if the school received the survey. If the person working with the program had not received one, a new one was mailed. Twelve of the schools contacted requested another copy of the survey and they were mailed out with a November 15, 1995 due date. Messages reminding the contact to



complete the survey were left on voice mail. If they needed another copy of the survey, they were asked to contact Jean Drevdahl. After calling the schools, Drevdahl provided data input and analysis, which took more time than identified in the proposed time line.

In the educational survey, the following hypotheses were developed and tested:

- Ho1: There is a common program title for the hazmat related programs at PETE colleges.
- Ho2: There is no significant difference in the student make up (full-time vs part-time) of the three types of educational programs: certificate and degree, degree only, and certificate only.
- Ho3: PETE schools teach all the job functions in the HMMT skill standard to at least an average level of understanding, based on receiving a rating of three on a one to five Likert scale.
- Ho4: There is no significant difference in the reported level of understanding for the graduates of each type of educational program: certificate and degree, degree only, and certificate only.

Analyzing the results from Hol indicated the most common certificate or degree title was related to environmental technology.

The results from Ho2 indicate there appears to be a difference in the student make up with respect to the number of full-time and part-time students in degree or certificate programs. In colleges that offered a degree program, it appears there are more full-time than part-time students enrolled in the program. Responses showed It appears a certificate program is geared toward those individuals who are not full-time students, but working to complete their certificate on a part-time basis.



Results of data from Ho3 indicate that all 13 job functions were taught above the hypothesized level of three on a one to five Likert scale. A level of three corresponded to the students having practical knowledge of all components of the skill standard.

Data analysis on Ho4 indicated that overall, there is no difference in the level of understanding that a graduate of a PETE hazmat program would have at the completion of his/her program regardless of the type of program he/she attended (certificate and degree, degree only, or certificate only). A Chi Square statistic was used to determine if the three groups had the same level of understanding. When the Chi Square indicated a difference in the programs, a Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA was calculated. Based on the Chi Square, job function five of the skill standard was rejected at an alpha level of .05. When the Kruskal-Wallis was run, it was concluded there were differences in the expected level of understanding upon graduation from the three programs with respect to this one job function. The difference in the programs occurred between the combined certificate and degree programs with the degree-only programs.

It appears that with the exception of job function five, there is no significant difference in the level of understanding about all job functions in the skill standard for any of the three types of educational programs at PETE schools. This would indicate that the core components of a hazmat program are covered in a certificate program. The additional courses are required for an associate degree appear to be unrelated to the competencies identified on the skill standard.

It appear the graduates of PETE hazardous materials programs are well prepared to accomplish the items identified in the skill standard.



Goal 2: Disseminate the draft standards to the educational community and to professionals in the field of Hazardous Materials Management

Jim Johnson and Robert Bear, P.E., wrote an article about the Skills Standard that appeared in the Journal of the National Environmental Health Association. This provided an excellent opportunity to distribute information about the new Skills Standard to individuals who are involved in the Hazardous Materials and Environmental professionals.

Three HMMT skill standards dissemination meetings were held at the following locations: Waco, Texas, October 3-4, 1994; Gainesville, Florida, April 27-28, 1995; and Washington, D.C., June 14, 1995. Advisory committee members were able to obtain a copy of a presentation developed by Gayle Bowles-Haecker that covered the progress of the HMMT skill standard grant to date and presented the results at seminars and conferences they were attending. This was a very effective way to increase participation of committee members, distribute information, and minimize financial impact on the grant. The location of the presentations given by the committee members included the following:



327

1995	Location	Organization
Jan 20-21	Raleigh, NC	SE PETE
Jan 26-27	Charleston, SC	EPA Seminars
Mar 3-4	Washington, DC	Critical Issues
Mar 20-21	Cedar Rapids, IA	NC PETE
Apr 3-5	Austin, TX	P2 Roundtable
May 4-5	Portland, OR	NW PETE
May 22-23	Berkley, WV	DoE/IUOE
Jun 19	Cedar Rapids, IA	Fellows Meeting
Jul 14	Orlando, FL	Southern Regional Education Board

To increase the distribution of the skill standard, 2007 documents were mailed to companies, departments of education, individuals, trade and professional organizations, publications, and two- and four-year colleges. The distribution was tracked to correlate with the PETE regions. The results showed Northwest PETE received 485 copies of the standard, North Central PETE received 282 copies, Northeast PETE received 325 copies, Western PETE received 59 copies, Central PETE received 377 copies, and Southeast PETE received 152 copies.

Thirty-eight percent of the total Skills Standards were mailed out to 2-year colleges, 26 percent went to companies, 13percent went to Departments of Education, 12 percent went to an undefined group, and 11 percent went to trade and professional organizations, four-year colleges, and individuals.

328



Goal 3: Determine requirements for certification of Hazardous Materials technicians necessary to be employable in their field

A task force was assembled with the goal of determining the requirements for individual certification and educational program accreditation. Rich Richardson of National Environmental Training Association (NETA); Reggie Moore of the National Environmental Health Association (NEHA); Susan Drew Thomas of the National Association of Environmental Professionals (NEPA); and Doug Feil of Kirkwood Community College representing PETE, established a plan and time line to complete this goal.

The certification group met on July 28, 1995 in Reno, Nevada, to develop criteria for individual certification of HMMTs and accreditation of academic training programs. The group defined certification as the recognition of demonstrated competency of an HMM technician. Accreditation was defined as the recognition of a program that meets standards and criteria established by a peer group. Additionally, some of the key elements of a successful certification program as defined by this group included:

- 1. Customer buy-in (employers, trades, and professional organizations),
- 2. Certification must be voluntary,
- 3. Certification will include an ongoing evaluation and assessment of the program elements,
- 4. Certification program will be validated by peer review,
- 5. Certification must be composed of a written and practical component, and
- Programs must be exportable and duplicated with appropriate modifications, for use elsewhere.



At this meeting a tentative model was discussed for the certification and accreditation programs.

Goal 4: Develop a curriculum framework and guide that integrates and includes the standards as well as necessary academics for materials in the sciences supporting Hazardous Materials Management Programs

Jim Johnson and Gayle Bowles-Haecker, CORD; Steve Fenton, Scott Community College; and Doug Feil of Kirkwood Community College worked to develop definitions, competencies, and curriculum guidelines for the HMMT skill standard. During the July 29, 1995 meeting the outcomes were reviewed. They included clarifying the level of competency expected of the HMMT upon completing a training program. The second outcome was to develop a potential career path beginning in middle school and continuing through high school and junior college. The third outcome was to define a training curriculum for individuals who are unemployed by using the Tech Prep Bridge program available at many community colleges. The final outcome was to develop an understanding of the connection between compliance-based training and the standard. Bowles-Haecker worked with this group to ensure the outcomes were completed by the January 1996 meeting.

Conclusions

The industrial survey and academic surveys were completed and results were tabulated. The certification and accreditation components are not complete. They were not ready final recommendation at the January 1996 meeting. During phase two,



scenarios were developed. Some curriculum materials to be used in HMMT programs have been developed, as well.



Questionnaire (12/95)

This questionnaire was completed by calling business/industry representatives who received a complimentary copy of the skills standard document. The outcomes provided the advisory committee members with information about the credentials for which potential employees were looking.



Hazardous Material Management Technology Skills Standard Project Evaluation from Industry and Business Representatives Questionnaire

1.	Will these standards be incorporated into job descriptions for Hazardou
	Materials Management Technicians at your organization? If so please
	explain.

2. Will these standards be referred to or attached to the Hazardous Materials Manual at your organization? If so please explain.

3. Will these standards be used as a baseline skill requirement for entry-level personnel working as a Hazardous Materials Management Technician? If so please explain.

4. Will these standards be used to evaluate existing personnel for performance related to Hazardous Materials Management? If so please explain.

To survey the place of the factors of the community of th

5. Will these standards be used in the development of company specific training programs? If so please explain.



6.	curric to the	knew that these standards were incorporated into an Associate Degree ulum at a local community or technical college, would you give priority graduates applying for Hazardous Materials Management icians?
7.	verify	type of evidence (credential) would you consider most important to that a potential employee possessed the skills described in the ard? Please explain your response.
	ū	Certificate of Competency (verifying that a person possessed the skills) given by a testing agency
	0	Associate Degree in Hazardous Materials Management from <u>any</u> community college
	0	Associate Degree in Hazardous Materials Management from a community college that has been accredited by a peer review process.
	a	Resume only
-		Certification (given by a professional organization with participation voluntary)
.]	• •	State License
th M m	is ques aterial uch tin	nd the Department of Education appreciates your time spent completing tionnaire. To account for the donation of time spent on the Hazardous Management Technology Skills Standard Project, please tell us how ne you needed to complete this questionnaire. ###################################
		But the selection of the company of
D	ate:	
Si	gnature	e:
C	ompan	y:



A summary of questionnaires sent to HMMT SS Interest Group-Companie

Hazardous Material Management Technology Skills Standard Project Evaluation from Industry and Business Representatives Questionnaire

13 responses from industry members.

1. Will these standards be incorporated into job descriptions for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians at your organization? If so please explain.

10 indicated yes. Most indicated that the skills standard or elements of it would be incorporated into the development of new job descriptions. A couple responses indicated a lack of an "official HMMT position", within their company.

2. Will these standards be referred to or attached to the Hazardous Materials Manual at your organization? If so please explain.

5 indicated qualified yes to this question. Some indicated the lack of a "manual", but most indicated the Standard would be implemented through job descriptions or as a reference document for human resources.

3. Will these standards be used as a baseline skill requirement for entry-level personnel working as a Hazardous Materials Management Technician? If so please explain.

Most indicated yes, as a baseline for new hires or entry level employees. A couple indicated using the Standard to shape retraining programs for employees.

4. Will these standards be used to evaluate existing personnel for performance related to Hazardous Materials Management? If so please explain.

A few indicated a definite yes to this question. Several indicated that it would be used to evaluate training needs or programs. Some indicated that it would take some time before this type of evaluation could be implemented.

5. Will these standards be used in the development of company specific training programs? If so please explain.

Except for one respondent, all said that the standard, or parts of it, is being used to guide training and employee development programs. The individual that answered "no", indicated that regulations drive training program requirements.



A summary of questionnaires sent to HMMT SS Interest Group-Companies

6. If you knew that these standards were incorporated into an Associate Degree curriculum at a local community or technical college, would you give priority to the graduates applying for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians?

All respondents indicated that this would provide an applicant a definite advantage over others.

7.	verify	type of evidence (credential) would y that a potential employee possessed ard? Please explain your response.	ou consider most important to the skills described in the
	<u> </u>	Certificate of Competency (verifying skills) given by a testing agency	that a person possessed the 7 responses
		Associate Degree in Hazardous Mate community college	erials Management from <u>any</u> 0 responses
	a	Associate Degree in Hazardous Mate community college that has been accommunity	erials Management from a credited by a peer review process 9 responses
	a	Resume only	0 responses
	O	Certification (given by a professiona voluntary)	l organization with participation 5 responses
	0	State License	2 response

Several respondents checked more than one of these, as well as "qualified" their answers. Some of the comments include:

Certification or graduation do not guarantee competence.

Credential required would depend on the responsibility level of the job involved.

Certificate of Competency is the quickest way to verify skills. Personnel desires education+experience+certification.

Acceptable evidence of skill level/qualification would be contingent upon are understanding of the credentialling process and requirements.

A state license is very necessary. We are being fought by civil engineers and the preconceived thought about dollars. You have to have a license to cut hair, but you can handle hazardous materials without one.

It might be better to have a broader scope such as environmental technology.



Level of Profession Chart (1/96)

This chart was created and reviewed at the last advisory committee meeting. The purpose of the meeting was to have committee members discuss how to better define the knowledge needed to perform at the master, associate, or compliance level.



Page 1 of 25

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Evaluate hazardous materials and hazardous waste sample data.				
Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulas and reference materials	Perform calculations using formulas and reference materials from memory.	Determine appropriate formula and reference materials and perform calculations using this information	Perform calculations using a given formula and reference materials	Not covered
Read and interpret blueprints, charts, curves, graphs, maps, plans, and spreadsheets from plotted and tabulated data	Interpret blueprints, charts, etc.	Read and gather data from blueprints, charts, etc.	Read and gather data from blueprints, charts, etc.	Not covered
Collect, tabulate, and assist in the evaluation of data, using appropriate techniques and technology such as: calculators, computers, databases, graphics, spreadsheets	Evaluate data, using appropriate techniques and technology	Tabulate and assist in the evaluation of data, using appropriate techniques	Collect data, using appropriate techniques and technology	Not covered
Check laboratory and/or field sample analyses by comparing to regulatory limits	Make decisions based on lab and/or analyses as compared to regulatory limits	Compare lab and/or analyses to regulatory limits.	Compare lab and/or analyses to regulatory limits.	Identify reference material that consists regulatory limits.

(C)

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Safely handle hazardous materials and hazardous wastes.				
Use chemical reference materials to obtain information on proper chemical handling	Define proper chemical handling procedures, based on knowledge of reference materials	Use chemical reference materials to obtain information on proper chemical handling	Identify reference materials containing chemical handling information	Identify reference materials containing chemical handling information
Recognize, apply, and respond appropriately to chemical-hazard information	Apply chemical hazard information to situations, solutions, and actions.	Identify and respond appropriately to chemical-hazard information	Identify and respond, according to instructions, to chemical hazard information	Identify chemical- hazard information
Direct personnel in the proper handling and control of hazardous materials and hazardous wastes	Direct personnel in the proper handling and control of hazardous materials and wastes	Serve as a contact person for information regarding proper handling and control of h.m. and h.w.	Possess information regarding proper handling and control of h.m. and h.w.	Identify proper handling and control of h.m. and h.w.
Identify and implement safe ergonomic controls and procedures	Implement procedures for safe ergonomic controls and practices	Identify and use safe ergonomic controls and procedures	Identify and use safe ergonomic controls and procedures	Not covered



Page 3 of 25

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Demonstrate safe handling procedures for chemical containers such as: bulk containers, drums, portable and stationary tanks	Demonstrate safe handling procedures for chemical	Demonstrate safe handling procedures for chemical	Demonstrate safe handling procedures for chemical	<i>Identify</i> safe handling procedures
Identify and respond to emergencies, alarms, and abnormal situations in accordance with written procedures	Assist in the development of written procedures for emergencies, alarms, and abnormal situations	Identify and respond to emergencies, alarms, and abnormal situations, according to written procedures	Identify and respond to emergencies, alarms, and abnormal situations, according to written procedures	Identify common procedures for emergencies, alarms, and abnormal situations
Identify and implement safe chemical-handling procedures such as: bonding, fire control, grounding, storage, vapor control, ventilation	Perform safe chemical-handling procedures	Perform safe chemical-handling procedures	Identify safe chemical-handling procedures	Identify safe chemical-handling procedures
Provide on-the-job training as required	Provide on-the-job training as required	Assist in the development of training programs to meet regulatory and company needs	Identify training requirements set forth by regulations.	Identify training requirements set forth by regulations.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	Profession	Compliance
Respond to hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste emergency situations in accordance with regulatory requirements.				
Perform as a team member on an emergency- response team	Develop and direct emergency response team.*	Perform as a team member on an emergency-response team, knowing	Perform as a team member on an emergency-response team, knowing	Identify the members of an emergency response team and their respective
	*requires appropriate regulatory training	compliance information	compliance information	qualifications and accountability as dictated by regulations
Ensure that adequate spill-control equipment and supplies are available at all times	Maintain adequate spill-control equipment and supplies on site.	Maintain adequate spill-control equipment and supplies on site.	Inventory spill-control equipment and supplies on site and advise appropriate personnel	Identify appropriate spill control equipment and supplies
Develop and implement an emergency-response program	Implement an emergency-response program	Develop an emergency response program	Identify the components of an emergency response program	Identify the components of an emergency response plan according to regulations

Page 5 of 25

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Master Associate		Compliance
Demonstrate competency and maintain certification in first aid and C.P.R.	Maintain certification in first aid and C.P.R.	Maintain certification in first aid and C.P.R.	Maintain certification in first aid and C.P.R.	CPR and First Aid courses
Follow guidelines for controlling leaks from containers	Inspect and exercise preventive maintenance of containers.	Control leaks from containers appropriately	Control leaks from containers according to instructions and guidelines	Identify guidelines for controlling leaks from containers.
Consider environmental consequences of emergency situations and respond approriately	Understand environmental consequences of emergency situations and respond appropriately	Consider possiable environmental consequences of emergency situations and respond appropriately	Identify environmental consequences of various emergency situations.	Identify appropriate responses to emergency situations based on potential environmental consequences.

Page 6 of 25

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Operate equipment related to hazardous materials and hazardous-waste operations.				
Identify and describe the safe and proper use of equipment such as: drum crushers, hand tools, heavy equipment, monitoring and sampling equipment and instrumentation, motorized lifting devices, power tools, pumps, valves, and meters	Implement procedures for safe and proper use of equipment	Identify, describe, and perform safe and proper use of equipment	Identify and describe the safe and proper use of equipment	Not covered
Identify, describe, and use appropriate equipment-decontamination procedures	Perform and direct appropriate equipment-decontamination procedures	Identify, describe and use appropriate equipment-decontamination procedures	Identify and describe appropriate equipment-decontamination procedures	Identify requirements for decontaminating equipment
Identify, describe, and use appropriate operations and maintenance procedures, plans, and manuals	Develop and implement appropriate operations and maintainance	Identify, describe, and use appropriate operations and maintenance	Identify and describe appropriate operations and maintenance	Not covered
Identify, describe, and use appropriate health and safety equipment such as: communication. systems, eyewashes and safety showers, fire extinguishers, vehicles, and equipment, first aid.	Direct personnel in the use of appropriate health and safety	Identify, describe, and use appropriate health and safety equipment	Identify, describe, and use appropriate health and safety equipment	Identify health and safety equipment such as

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS		Level of Profession	rofession	
MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Associate		Compliance
Identify and label hazardous materials and hazardous waste in accordance with regulatory requirements.				
Identify, characterize, and label hazardous materials by chemical and physical properties, such as: color corrosivity, density, flammability, reactivity, specific gravity, toxicity, viscosity	Identify, characterize, and label h.m. by chemical, and	Identify, characterize, and label h.m. by chemical, and	Identify, characterize, and label h.m. by chemical, and	Not covered
Identify and characterize hazardous wastes according to regulatory standards such as: acute toxicity, corrosivity, ignitability, reactivity, and toxic characteristic leachate procedure (TCLP)	Identify and characterize h.w. according to regulatory standards	Identify and characterize h.w. according to regulatory standards	Identify and characterize h.w. according to regulatory standards	Identify the regulatory standards for acute toxicity, corrosivity, ignitability
Provide proper labeling for hazardous wastes	Provide proper labeling for h.w.	Provide proper labeling for h.w.	Provide proper labeling for h.w.	Provide proper labeling for h.w.
Use chemical reference materials to obtain identification and labeling information	Use chemical reference materials to obtain	Use chemical reference materials to obtain	Use chemical reference materials to obtain	Identify chemical reference material for h.m. information.
Check for correct labels and Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) when shipment is received	Check for correct labels and MSDS upon receipt of materials and take action as necessary.	Check for correct labels and MSDS upon receipt of materials.	Check for correct labels and MSDS upon receipt of materials.	Identify shipping document requirements, including MSDS.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY		Level of P	Level of Profession	
Skills Standard	Master	Associate		Compliance
Label containers of repackaged materials with appropriate warnings and expiration information	Label containers of repackaged materials with appropriate	Label containers of repackaged materials with appropriate	Label containers of repackaged materials with appropriate	Identify labeling requirements of repackaged materials.
Direct personnel in the proper identification and labeling of hazardous materials	Direct personnel in the proper id and labeling of h.m.	Serve as a contact person for proper id and labeling of h.m.	Possess information for proper id and label of h.m.	Identify requirements for proper id and label
Calibrate, operate, and maintain instrumentation.				
Operate, record, and evaluate meter- and gaugereading trends and implement appropriate actions	Operate, record, and evaluate meter- and gauge-reading trends and implement appropriate actions	Operate, record, and evaluate meter- and gauge-reading trends	Operate and record meter- and gauge- reading trends.	Not covered
Perform routine maintenance of equipment and instrumentation	Perform routine maintenance of equipment and instrumentation	Perform routine maintenance of equipment and instrumentation	Perform routine maintenance of equipment and instrumentation	Not covered



HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard		Level of Profession	rofession	
Operate gauges, meters, and monitoring and sampling instrumentation	Operate gauges, meters, and monitoring and sampling instrumentation	Operate gauges, meters, and monitoring and sampling instrumentation	Operate gauges, meters, and monitoring and sampling instrumentation	Not covered
Calibrate and operate field and laboratory instrumentation such as: air-monitoring instrumentation, groundwater-monitoring instrumentation, soil-monitoring instrumention, surface-water-monitoring instrumentation	Calibrate and operate field and laboratory instrumentation	Operate field and laboratory instrumentation and calibrate according to written instructions	Operate field and laboratory instrumentation	Not covered
Identify the need for and comply with factory calibration	Identify the need for and take appropriate action to comply with factory calibration	Identify the need for instrument calibration and take action according to written instructions	Identify the need for instrument calibration and take action according to written instructions	Not covered
Describe the difference between fluid and factory calibration and demonstrate their appropratie use	Determine and use appropriate fluid and factory calibration techniques depending on situation.	Describe the difference between fluid and factory calibration and demonstrate appropriate use for varying situations.	Describe the difference between fluid and factory calibration and their respective uses in varying situations.	Not covered

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Compile, record, and maintain required documents for hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste management activities.	Compile and maintain a h.m. inventory, with knowledge of compliance requirements.	Compile and maintain a h.m. inventory, with knowledge of compliance requirements.	Compile and maintain a h.m. inventory, with knowledge of compliance requirements.	Identify elements in a h.m. inventory and compliance requirements.
Compile and maintain a hazardous-materials inventory	Compile and maintain a h.m. inventory	Compile and maintain a h.m. inventory	Compile and maintain a h.m. inventory	Identify the requirements for maintaining a h.m. inventory
Compile and maintain documentation of hazardous materials, such as: chain of custody, equipment calibration and maintenance, exception reports, field notebooks, incident documentation, laboratory data, manifests, MSDS, purchase orders, shipping documents, and vendor invoices	Compile and maintain documentation of hazardous materials, such as: chain of custody,	Maintain documentation of hazardous materials, such as: chain of custody,	Maintain documentation of hazardous materials, such as: chain of custody,	Identify the requirements for documentation of h.m., such as: chain of custody,
Compile and maintain records to prepare compliance reports	Prepare compliance reports	Compile and maintain records to prepare compliance reports	Compile and maintain records to prepare compliance reports	Identify the records needed to prepare compliance reports.

Page 11 of 25

available in the Busure current MSDS are available in the workplace in t	Associate Follow requirements		
the MSDS are available in the workplace in the workplace J-keeping Develop, operate and maintain auditable record-keeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements. Conduct and maintain a h.w. inventory product Communicate with	Follow requirements		Compliance
1-keeping Develop, operate and maintain auditable record-keeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements. Conduct and maintain a h.w. inventory product Communicate with	for MSDS availability in the workplace.	Follow requirements for MSDS availability in the workplace.	Identify the requirements for MSDS in workplace
oduct	Operate and maintain auditable record-keeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements.	Operate and maintain auditable record-keeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements.	Identify the components of a record-keeping systems, according to regulatory requirements.
_	Conduct and maintain a h.w. inventory	Conduct and maintain a h.w. inventory	Identify elements of a h.w. inventory
identification and labeling product id and labeling	Communicate with suppliers to obtain product id and labeling	Communicate with suppliers to obtain product id and labeling	Not covered
Identify and maintain an inventory of empty maintain an and full containers inventory of empty and full containers	Identify and maintain an inventory of empty and full containers	Identify and maintain an inventory of empty and full containers	Identify requirements of container inventories.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Compile and maintain personal health and safety records	Develop health/safety record system, with knowledge of regulatory requirements	Compile and maintain personal health and safety records, with knowledge of regulatory requirements	Maintain personal health and safety records, with knowledge of regulatory requirements	Identify requirements for health/safety records.
Read and interpret blueprints, flow diagrams, and schematics	Read and <i>interpret</i> blueprints, flow diagrams, and schematics.	Read, collect data and assist in evaluation of blueprints, flow diagrams, and schematics.	Read and collect data from blueprints, flow diagrams, and schematics.	Not covered
Implement procedures to comply with appropriate regulations.				
Read and apply regulatory standards to ensure compliance in operations	Read and apply regulatory standards to ensure compliance in operations	Read and apply regulatory standards to ensure compliance in operations	Read and apply regulatory standards to ensure compliance in operations	Identify regulatory requirements for operations.
Obtain hazardous-materials and hazardous- waste permits and/or approvals	Obtain h.m. and h.w. permits and/or approvals	Complete appropriate forms for h.m. and h.w. permits.	Complete appropriate forms for h.m. and h.w. permits.	Identify appropriate forms needed to meet compliance requirements.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Describe the regulatory process, from the introduction of a bill to the promulgation of a regulation	Understand the regulatory process.	Describe the regulatory process and understand when a regulation effects the current method of operation.	Understand the difference between introduction of a bill and promulgation of a regulation.	Identify the results of promulgated regulations.
Identify and describe the penalties for noncompliance	Identify and describe penalties for noncompliance and make recommendations to meet compliance requirements	Identify and describe the penalties for noncompliance	Identify and describe the penalties for noncompliance	Identify and describe the penalties for noncompliance
Differentiate between federal, state, and local hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste regulations and identify appropriate regulatory agencies	Differentiate between federal, state, and local h.m. and h.w. regulations	Differentiate between federal, state, and local h.m. and h.w. regulations	Differentiate between federal, state, and local h.m. and h.w. regulations	Differentiate between federal, state, and local h.m. and h.w. regulations
Identify regulatory changes and the impact they have on an operation	Understand regulatory changes and the impact on operation; make recommendations for changes to comply.	Identify regulatory changes and potential impact on operations.	Identify regulatory changes and possible impacts on operations.	Identify reference material containing regulatory change notices.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Comply with federal, state, and local hazardous- materials regulations	Comply with federal, state, and local h.m. regulations	Comply with federal, state, and local h.m. regulations	Comply with federal, state, and local h.m. regulations	Identify federal, state, and local h.m. regulations.
Conduct audits and inspections to ensure hazardous-waste management activities are in compliance with federal, state, and local regulations	Conduct audits and inspections and take action to ensure h.w. management activities are in compliance with federal, state, and local regulations	Conduct audits and inspections to identify activities in noncompliance with federal, state, and local regulations	Conduct audits and inspections to identify activities in noncompliance with federal, state, and local regulations	Identify federal, state, and local regulations for h.w. management activities
Follow written, company-standard operating procedures	Develop, make recommendations for, and follow company standard operating procedures.	Follow written, company-standard operating procedures	Follow written, company-standard operating procedures	Not covered
Comply with federal, state, and local health and safety regulations	Comply with federal, state, and local health/safety regulations and take appropriate action for noncompliance.	Describe and comply with federal, state, and local health/safety regulations	Describe federal, state, and local health/safety regulations.	Identify federal, state, and local health/safety regulations.







HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of F Associate	Level of Profession	Compliance
Identify sources of current or timely regulatory information	Identify and read sources of current or timely regulatory information	Identify and read sources of current or timely regulatory information	Identify and read sources of current or timely regulatory information	Identify sources of current or timely regulatory information
Implement applicable safety regulations and procedures.				
Demonstrate safe health and work habits	Demonstrate and direct personnel in safe health and work habits.	Demonstrate safe health and work habits	Demonstrate safe health and work habits	Not covered
Read and implement other regulatory standards and guidance relative to worker safety and health such as: blood-borne pathogens, confined space, emergency egress, fire safety, hearing conservation, and lockout/tagout	Read and implement other regulatory standards and guidance relative to worker safety	Read and implement other regulatory standards and guidance relative to worker safety	Describe other regulatory standards and guidance relative to worker safety	Identify sources of regulatory standards and guidance relative to worker safety
Identify and describe unsafe workplace and job conditions and implement corrective actions	Identify and correct unsafe workplace and job conditions.	Identify, describe unsafe workplace conditions and make recommendations for corrective actions.	Identify and describe unsafe workplace and job conditions.	Not covered

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Select and use appropriate personal protective equipment and respiratory protection.	·			
Use and interpret chemical reference materials in the selection of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) and respirators	Select and use PPE and respirators according to situation and chemical information	Use chemical reference materials to select appropriate PPE and respirators according to situation.	Use chemical reference materials in selecting appropriate PPE and respirators.	Identify PPE and respirators and respective situations for appropriate use.
Communicate with suppliers and manufacturers to obtain personal protective and respiratory equipment information	Communicate with suppliers and manufacturers to obtain PPE	Communicate with suppliers and manufacturers to obtain PPE	Communicate with suppliers and manufacturers to obtain PPE	Identify suppliers and manufacturers as resources to PPE information.
Identify, describe, and use PPE appropriate to the work conditions	Identify, describe, and use PPE appropriate to the work conditions	Identify, describe, and use PPE appropriate to the work conditions	Identify, describe, and use PPE appropriate to the work conditions	Identify and describe PPE and appropriate use according to work conditions
Identify and describe the elements of respiratory protection and PPE plans	Identify, describe and <i>develop</i> PPE and respiratory plans.	Identify and describe the elements of respiratory protection and PPE plans	Identify and describe the elements of respiratory protection and PPE plans	Identify and describe the elements of respiratory protection and PPE plans
		40 to 100		

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

363





HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Identify, describe, and use respiratory protection appropriate to the work conditions	Identify, describe, and use respiratory protection appropriate to the work conditions	Identify, describe, and use respiratory protection appropriate to the work conditions	Identify, describe, and use respiratory protection appropriate to the work conditions	Identify, describe, and use respiratory protection appropriate to the work conditions
Identify and describe hazards associated with the use and limitations of PPE and respiratory protection	Identify and describe hazards associated with the use and limitations of PPE and respiratory protection; adjust procedures and direct personnel accordingly.	Identify and describe hazards associated with the use and limitations of PPE and respiratory protection	Identify and describe hazards associated with the use and limitations of PPE and respiratory protection	Identify and describe hazards associated with the use and limitations of PPE and respiratory protection
Maintain and inspect PPE and respiratory protection systems according to regulations	Maintain and inspect PPE and respiratory protection systems according to regulations	Maintain and inspect PPE and respiratory protection systems according to regulations	Describe the procedures involved in maintaining and inspecting PPE and respiratory protection systems according to regulations.	Identify the regulatory requirements involving maintaining and inspecting PPE and respiratory protection systems.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of P	Level of Profession ciate	Compliance
Collect, prepare, document, and ship samples for analysis.				
Perform and document sampling for hazardous- waste characterization purposes	Perform and document sampling for hazardous-waste characterization purposes	Perform and document sampling for hazardous-waste characterization purposes	Perform and document sampling for hazardous-waste characterization purposes	Not covered
Perform field tests according to instructions and procedures	Perform field tests according to instructions and procedures	Perform field tests according to instructions and procedures	Perform field tests according to instructions and procedures	Identify regulations regarding procedures and documentation of field tests
Calibrate and operate, as required, field-test equipment such as: air-monitoring equipment, bailers, hand augers, organic-vapor analyzers, pumps, radioactivity measuring equipment, and split spoons	Calibrate and operate, as required, field-test equipment such as:	Operate and check calibration of field-test equipment such as:	Operate and check calibration of field-test equipment such as:	Identify regulations regarding the documentation of calibration of field test equipment
In accordance with instructions and/or procedure, collect samples such as: air and soil, bulk materials, groundwater, solid wastes, and surface water	In accordance with instructions and/or procedure, collect samples such as:	In accordance with instructions and/or procedure, collect samples such as:	In accordance with instructions and/or procedure, collect samples such as:	Not covered

ERIC Fruil Tox t Provided by ERIC

377

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY		Level of Profession	rofession	
Skills Standard	Master	Associate		Compliance
Prepare and ship samples to laboratory	Prepare and ship samples to laboratory	Prepare and ship samples to laboratory	Prepare and ship samples to laboratory	Not covered
Transport and store hazardous-materials and hazardous waste in accordance with applicable regulations.				
Monitor documentation related to the shipment of hazardous-materials and hazardous-wastes	Complete documentation related to the shipment of h.m. and h.w.	Complete documentation related to the shipment of h.m. and h.w.	Complete documentation related to the shipment of h.m. and h.w. according to instructions.	Identify forms and procedures for documenting shipment of h.m. and h.w., according to regulations.
Identify incompatible combinations of chemicals that could result in dangerous situations	Identify incompatible combinations of chemicals that could result in dangerous situations and take appropriate actions.	Identify incompatible combinations of chemicals that could result in dangerous situations and make action	Identify incompatible combinations of chemicals that could result in dangerous situations and make action recommendations	Identify regulations concerning handling of incompatible waste.
Label containers with appropriate identification and expiration information	Label containers with appropriate identification and expiration information	Label containers with appropriate identification and expiration information	Label containers with appropriate identification and expiration information	Identify elements of proper labeling of containers.

Page 21 of 25

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Safely package, load, document, and ship hazardous-materials and hazardous-wastes in compliance with appropriate regulations	Safely package, load, document, and ship h.m. and h.w. in compliance with appropriate regulations	Safely package, load, document, and ship h.m. and h.w. in compliance with appropriate regulations	Safely package, load, document, and ship h.m. and h.w. in compliance with appropriate regulations	Identify regulations concerning packaging, loading, documentation, and shipping of h.w. and h.m.
Inspect hazardous-waste storage areas for compliance with appropriate rules and regulations	Inspect h.w. storage areas for compliance with appropriate rules and regulations and take corrective actions	Inspect hazardous- waste storage areas for compliance with appropriate rules and regulations and make recommendations for actions	Inspect hazardouswaste storage areas for compliance with appropriate rules and regulations and make recommendations for actions	Identify appropriate rules and regulations for hazardous waste storage areas.
Properly segregate and store incompatible hazardous-materials and hazardous-wastes	Properly segregate and store incompatible h.m. and take action to minimize mixing of wastes	Properly segregate and store incompatible hazardous-materials and hazardous-wastes	Properly segregate and store incompatible hazardous-materials and hazardous-wastes	Identify regulations concerning mixed wastes.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Operate hazardous-materials and hazardous-waste treatment and disposal systems.				
Record and maintain documentation of operations activities	Record and maintain documentation of operations activities	Record and maintain documentation of operations activities	Record and maintain documentation of operations activities	Identify requirements for records and documentation of operation activities.
Follow appropriate plans such as: assessment plan, health and safety plan, initial sampling plan, remediation plan, risk-assessment plan, site-closure plan, standard operating procedures, waste-minimization plan	Follow appropriate plans such as: assessment plan, health and safety plan, etc.	Follow appropriate plans such as: assessment plan, health and safety plan, plan, etc.	Follow appropriate plans such as: assessment plan, health and safety plan, initial sampling plan, etc.	Identify elements of appropriate plans such as: assessment plan, health/safety plan, initial sampling plan, etc.
Assist and contribute to the development and revision of plans and reports such as: assessment plan, health and safety plan, initial sampling plan, remediation plan, riskassessment plan, site-closure plan, standard operating procedures, waste-minimization plan	Development and revise plans and reports such as: assessment plan, health/safety plan, initial sampling plan, etc.	Assist and contribute to the development and revision of plans and reports such as: assessment plan, health and safety plan, etc.	Assist and contribute to the development and revision of plans and reports such as: assessment plan, health and safety plan, etc.	Identify requirements for revisions and updates of appropriate plans such as: assessment plan, etc.

Page 23 of 25

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Prepare and maintain hazardous-waste manifests and associated documents for inspection	Prepare and maintain hazardous- waste manifests and associated documents for inspection	Prepare and maintain hazardouswaste manifests and associated documents for inspection	Prepare and maintain hazardous-waste manifests and associated documents for inspection	Prepare and maintain hazardouswaste manifests and associated documents for inspection
Select appropriate drums and containers	Select appropriate drums and containers for storage and disposal of h.w. and h.m.	Select appropriate drums and containers for storage and disposal of h.w. and h.m.	Select appropriate drums and containers for storage and disposal of h. w. and h.m.	Identify regulations for drums and containers and the storage of h.w. and h.m.
Implement good housekeeping practices in the workplace	Implement good housekeeping practices in the workplace	Implement good housekeeping practices in the workplace	Implement good housekeeping practices in the workplace	Not covered
Check and document activities of hazardous- waste treatment and disposal contractors	Check and document activities of h.w. treatment and disposal contractors	Check and document activities f h.w. treatment and disposal contractors	Document activities of h.w. treatment and disposal contractors	Identify requirements for documentation concerning h.w. treatment and disposal contractors.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Working individually or with others, develop improvements in the reduction, reuse, recycling, or disposal of waste streams	Working individually or with others, develop improvements in the reduction, reuse, recycling, or disposal of waste streams	Working individually or with others, develop improvements in the reduction, reuse, recycling, or disposal of waste streams	Working individually or with others, develop improvements in the reduction, reuse, recycling, or disposal of waste streams	Not covered
Coordinate collection and disposal of empty containers	Coordinate collection and disposal of empty containers	Coordinate collection and disposal of empty containers	Coordinate collection and disposal of empty containers	Identify regulations concerning disposal of empty containers
Prepare accumulated hazardous waste for proper disposal	Prepare accumulated hazardous waste for proper disposal	Prepare accumulated hazardous waste for proper disposal	Prepare accumulated hazardous waste for proper disposal	Identify regulations for accumulated hazardous waste disposal
Identify and describe treatment, removal, and disposal systems such as:bio-remediation, chemical and physical, deep-well injection, incineration, vitrification, volatile organic compounds	Identify and describe treatment, removal, and disposal systems such as:bio-remediation, etc.	Identify and describe treatment, removal, and disposal systems such as:bio-remediation, etc.	Identify and describe treatment, removal, and disposal systems such as:bio-remediation, etc.	Identify treatment, removal, and disposal systems such as: bioremediation, etc.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY Skills Standard	Master	Level of Profession Associate	rofession	Compliance
Identify and describe hazards associated with abatement of materials such as: asbestos, fiberglass, lead, and others	Identify and describe hazards associated with abatement of materials such as: asbestos, fiberglass, lead, and others	Identify and describe hazards associated with abatement of materials such as: asbestos, fiberglass, lead, and others	Identify and describe hazards associated with abatement of materials such as: asbestos, fiberglass, lead, and others	Identify regulations concerning abatement of materials such as: asbestos, etc.
Identify and describe hazards associated with treatment, removal, and disposal systems and operations	Identify and describe hazards associated with treatment, removal, and disposal systems and operations	Identify and describe hazards associated with treatment, removal, and disposal systems and operations	Identify and describe hazards associated with treatment, removal, and disposal systems and operations	Identify regulations associated with treatment, removal, and disposal systems and operations
Provide on-the-job training as required	Provide on-the-job training as required	Serve as contact person for information concerning h.m. and h.w. operations	Serve as contact person for information concerning h.m. and h.w. operations	Identify job training requirements for different employees as designated by RCRA and OSHA

. ್ರ ್ನ

Meeting Notebook (1 example)
Contents were used at a dissemination workshop.



Table of the senis

Overview of the Skills Standard Project

The Industrial Vision

Hazardous Materials Management Technician Skills Standard Industrial Site Visits Skills Standard—The School-to **Work Connection Developing Educational** 6 **Goals from Standards Postsecondary Resources** Certification Miscellaneous 10

339

ERIC*

Maurice W. Roney Teaching Center, Waco, Texas

October 3-4, 1994 Workshop Agenda

Monday, October 3

7:30 a.m. CORD shuttle van from Hilton to Roney Teaching Center

8:00 a.m. Registration and continental breakfast

8:15 a.m. Introduction

Welcome

Overview of the Skills Standard Project

Introduction of Participants

Mr. Jim Johnson, Skills Standard Project Director, CORD

Introduction to CORD

Dr. Walt Edling, Vice President for Service Programs, CORD

9:30 a.m. Break

10:00 a.m. The industrial vision

Moderator: Mr. Robert Bear, P.E., Facilities and Environmental Consultants, Inc.

Remediation

Ms. Lois George, LaMoreaux Associates

Laboratory

Mr. Roger Wise, City of Tampa

Compliance

Mr. Steve Wiederwax, American Marazzi Tile, Inc.

Ms. Kristi LaRue, Texas National Resource Conservation Commission

Transportation

Mr. Bruce Rodgers, Electric Fuels Corporation

Noon Lunch

1:00 p.m. Panel discussion

Industrial representatives, Moderator: Mr. Robert Bear

2:30 p.m. Hazardous Materials Management Technicians Skills Standard

Mr. Jim Johnson and Mr. Robert Bear

3:00 p.m. Break

3:30 p.m. Industrial site visits (small groups)

Allergan, Marathon Power Technologies, Plantation Foods

5:00 p.m. Return to Hilton

6:30 p.m. Banquet

Guest Speaker: Tom Smith, Union Pacific Railroad



Maurice W. Roney Teaching Center, Waco, Texas

October 3-4, 1994 Workshop Agenda

Tuesday, October 4

7:30 a.m. CORD shuttle van from Hilton to Roney Teaching Center

8:00 a.m. Continental breakfast, Roney Teaching Center

8:15 a.m. Report on site visits

Participants

8:45 a.m. Skills Standard—the school-to-work connection

Dr. Walt Edling

9:45 a.m. Break

10:00 a.m. Developing educational goals from standards

 Occupational Skills • General/Academic Skills

• Assessment of Skills

Noon Lunch

1:00 p.m. Postsecondary resources

Mr. Doug Feil, Hazardous Materials Training and Research Institute

Mr. Chuck Ernst, NCATC/NIST Liaison

2:00 p.m. Certification

Mr. Bob Quier, National Environmental Training Association

3:00 p.m. Closing

Mr. Jim Johnson



Maurice W. Roney Teaching Center, Waco, Texas October 3-4, 1994

Final Participants List

Elaine Ashby Hagerstown Junior College 11400 Robinwood Drive Hagerstown, MD 21742 301/750-2800x268 or 368 FAX 301/739-0737

Tom Bates
Director
Oklahoma Environmental
Training Center
Rose State College
6420 S.E. 15th
Midwest City, OK 73110
405/733-7364 FAX 405/736-0372

Bob Bear
Facilities and Environmental
Consultants, Inc.
205 Cambridge Drive
Longwood, FL 32779-5709
407/682-4462 407/682-6238
FAX 407/682-7256

Charles Clapperton
Environmental Restoration Management
Technology Program Coordinator
Columbia Basin College
2600 North 20th Avenue
Pasco, WA 99301
509/547-0511x388 FAX 509/546-0401

Chad Cliburn Curriculum Speialist Richland College 12800 Abrans Road Dallas, TX 75243 214/238-6905 FAX 214/238-6967

Jean Drevdahl 17524 N.W. Bernard Place Beaverton, OR 97006-4194 503/629-0573 (h) 503/244-6111x5628 Jerry Eades
Program Director
Kentucky Tech
2019 Capital Plaza Tower
500 Mero Street
Frankfort, KY 40601
502/564-8324 FAX 502/564-4800

Rick Ellermann
Instructor of Hazmat Technology
Lamar University-Port Authur
P.O. Box 310
Port Authur, TX 77641
409/727-0886x324 FAX 409/985-4578

Doug Feil
Associate Director
Hazardous Materials Training and
Research Institute
Kirkwood Community College
6301 Kirkwood Boulevard SW
Cedar Rapids, IA 52404
319/398-5677 FAX 319/398-1250

Harvey Franklin
Chair, Technical and Industrial
Technologies Division
Lewis-Clark State College
500 Eighth Avenue
Lewiston, ID 83501
208//799-2220 FAX 208/799-2816

Lois George LaMoreaux Associates 2612 University Boulevard Tuscaloosa, AL 35403 205/752-5543 205/752-4043

Claudia Glass
Director
Environmental Science and Math
Rogers State
Will Rogers and College Hill
Claremore, OK 74017
918/341-7510 FAX 918/342-3811



Maurice W. Roney Teaching Center, Waco, Texas October 3-4, 1994

Final Participants List

Gary Goodwin
Dean of Continuing Education
Tarrent County Junior CollegeNorthwest
4801 Marine Creek Way
Ft. Worth, TX 76719
817/232-7199 FAX 817/232-7680

Ronald Hancock
Instructor/Director Industrial Trades
Tyler Junior College
Box 9020
Tyler, TX 75711
903/510-2209 FAX 903/510-2330

Anne T. Harri Assistant Professor North Dakota State College of Science 203 Haverty Hall Wahpeton, ND 58076 701/671-2272 FAX 701/671-2145

Brenda Harrison
Director
Science and Math
Rogers State University
Will Rogers and College Hill
Claremore, OK 74017
918/341-7510x277 FAX 918/342-3811

King C. Hoermann Texas State Technical College 307 North Breckenridge Avenue Breckenridge, TX 76424 817/559-6559 FAX 817/559-8022

R.M. (Dickie) Jones Education Supervisor Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 409/266-3280 FAX 409/265-2944

Darrell Knowles Texas State Technical College 3801 Campus Drive Waco, TX 76705 817/867-4843 FAX 817/867-3380 Kristi LaRue
Texas National Resource Conservation
Commission
12118 North IH 35
Park 35 Circle
Building D, Room 207
Austin, TX 78753

Charles McKinny
Instructor
Del Mar College
101 Baldwin
Corpus Christi, TX 78404
512/886-1701 FAX 512/886-1825

Arthur Morales Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800; MS-1351 Albuquerque, NM 87185-2340 505/889-2340 FAX 505/889-2323

Chidi Onyenekwu Assistant Professor and Director Safety Program Delgado COmmunity College 615 Park Avenue New Orleans, LA 70114 504/483-4450

Ed Price Texas State Technical College 3801 Campus Drive Waco, TX 76705 817/867-3438 800/792-8784 602/956-6099

Bruce A. Rodgers Electric Fuels Corporation One Progress Plaza BT 11A St. Petersburg, FL 33701 813/824-6653

Hugh Rogers Texas State Technical College 3801 Campus Drive Waco, TX 76705



Hazardous Materials Management Technicians Skills Standard Dissemination Workshop for Educators Maurice W. Roney Teaching Center, Waco, Texas October 3-4, 1994

Final Participants List

Ed Simonson Instructor/Professor New Hampshire Technical College North Campus-NHTC Rightway Pass Laconia, NH 03246 603/524-3920 FAX same

Thomas H. Smith
Senior Special Agent
Hazardous Material Response
Union Pacific Railroad Company
State Railroad Police
1711 Quintana Road
San Antonio, TX 78211
210/921-4095

Roger Wise Tampa Department of Sanitary Sewers 2700 Maritime Boulevard Tampa, FL 33605 813/247-3451

Center for Occupational Research and Development Staff

Walt Edling, Vice President for Service Programs

Jim Johnson, Senior Research Associate Jim Wright, Research Associate Chuck Ernst, NCATC/NIST Liaison Carol Allen, Secretary



Maurice W. Roney Teaching Center, Waco, Texas October 3-4, 1994

Participants List

Tom Bates
Director
Oklahoma Environmental
Training Center
Rose State College
6420 S.E. 15th
Midwest City, OK 73110
405/733-7364 FAX 405/736-0372

Bob Bear
Facilities and Environmental
Consultants, Inc.
205 Cambridge Drive
Longwood, FL 32779-5709
407/682-4462 407/682-6238
FAX 407/682-7256

Charles Blaback Instructor Kilgore College 1100 Broadway Kilgore, TX 73662 903/753-9577 FAX 903/983-8600

Charles Clapperton
Environmental Restoration Management
Technology Program Coordinator
Columbia Basin College
2600 North 20th Avenue
Pasco, WA 99301
509/547-0511x388 FAX 509/546-0401

Jim Clements
Amarillo Community College
P.O. Box 447
Amarillo, TX 79718
806/354-6405 FAX 806/354-6096

Chad Cliburn Curriculum Speialist Richland College 12800 Abrans Road Dallas; TX 75243 214/238-6905 FAX 214/238-6967 Jean Drevdahl 17524 N.W. Bernard Place Beaverton, OR 97006-4194 503/629-0573 (h) 503/244-6111x5628

Jerry Eades
Program Director
Kentucky Tech
2019 Capital Plaza Tower
500 Mero Street
Frankfort, KY 40601
502/564-8324 FAX 502/564-4800

Rick Ellermann
Instructor of Hazmat Technology
Lamar University-Port Authur
P.O. Box 310
Port Authur, TX 77641
409/727-0886x324 FAX 409/985-4578

Doug Feil
Associate Director
Hazardous Materials Training and
Research Institute
Kirkwood Community College
6301 Kirkwood Boulevard SW
Cedar Rapids, IA 52404
319/398-5677 FAX 319/398-1250

Harvey Franklin
Chair, Technical and Industrial
Technologies Division
Lewis-Clark State College
500 Eighth Avenue
Lewiston, ID 83501
208//799-2220 FAX 208/799-2816

Lois George LaMoreaux Associates 2612 University Boulevard Tuscaloosa, AL 35403 205/752-5543 205/752-4043



Maurice W. Roney Teaching Center, Waco, Texas October 3-4, 1994

Participants List

Claudia Glass
Director
Environmental Science and Math
Rogers State
Will Rogers and College Hill
Claremore, OK 74017
918/341-7510 FAX 918/342-3811

Gary Goodwin
Dean of Continuing Education
Tarrent County Junior CollegeNorthwest
4801 Marine Creek Way
Ft. Worth, TX 76719
817/232-7199 FAX 817/232-7680

Ronald Hancock Instructor/Director Industrial Trades Tyler Junior College Box 9020 Tyler, TX 75711 903/510-2209 FAX 903/510-2330

Anne T. Harri Assistant Professor North Dakota State College of Science 203 Haverty Hall Wahpeton, ND 58076 701/671-2272 FAX 701/671-2145

Brenda Harrison
Director
Science and Math
Rogers State University
Will Rogers and College Hill
Claremore, OK 74017
918/341-7510x277 FAX 918/342-3811

King C. Hoermann Texas State Technical College 307 North Breckenridge Avenue Breckenridge, TX 76424 817/559-6559 FAX 817/559-8022 Eva Bonilla-Jackson
Chief Executive Officer
Occupational Safety and Training
Institute
9000 W. Bellfort, Suite 570
Houston, TX 77031
713/270-6882 FAX 713/270-8735

R.M. (Dickie) Jones Education Supervisor Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 409/266-3280 FAX 409/265-2944

Darrell Knowles
Texas State Technical College
3801 Campus Drive
Waco, TX 76705
817/867-4843 FAX 817/867-3380

Kristi LaRue
Texas National Resource Conservation
Commission
12118 North IH 35
Park 35 Circle
Building D, Room 207
Austin, TX 78753

Charles McKinny
Instructor
Del Mar College
101 Baldwin
Corpus Christi, TX 78404
512/886-1701 FAX 512/886-1825

Richard J. Montgomery Chair Physical Life Science Division Hagerstown Junior College 11400 Robinwood Drive Hagerstown, MD 21742 301/750-2800x268 or 368 FAX 301/739-0737



Maurice W. Roney Teaching Center, Waco, Texas October 3-4, 1994

Participants List

Arthur Morales Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800; MS-1351 Albuquerque, NM 87185-2340 505/889-2340 FAX 505/889-2323

Ed Price Texas State Technical College 3801 Campus Drive Waco, TX 76705 817/867-3438 800/792-8784 602/956-6099

Robert Quier
National Environmental Training
Association
2930 East Camelback Road, Suite 185
Phoenix, AZ 85016

Bruce A. Rodgers Electric Fuels Corporation One Progress Plaza BT 11A St. Petersburg, FL 33701 813/824-6653

Ed Simonson Instructor/Professor New Hampshire Technical College North Campus-NHTC Rightway Pass Laconia, NH 03246 603/524-3920 FAX same

Thomas H. Smith
Senior Special Agent
Hazardous Material Response
Union Pacific Railroad Company
State Railroad Police
1711 Quintana Road
San Antonio, TX 78211
210/921-4095

Bill Stanley
Instructor
Biology
North Central Texas College
1525 West California
Gainesville, TX 76240
817/668-7751x350 FAX 817/668-6049

Bob Stenger Professor of Chemistry Hagerstown Junior College 11400 Robinwood Drive Hagerstown, MD 21742 301/740-2800x268 or 368 FAX 301/739-0737

Ken Sweeney Director Research, Planning and Development Alvin Community College 3110 Mustang Road Alvin, TX 77511 713/388-4856 FAX 713/388-4895

Steven Wiederwax
Safety and Environmental Administrator
American Marazzi Tile
359 Clay Road
Sunnyvale, TX 75182-9710
214/226-0110x222 FAX 214/226-2263

Roger Wise Tampa Department of Sanitary Sewers 2700 Maritime Boulevard Tampa, FL 33605 813/247-3451

Center for Occupational Research and Development Staff

Walt Edling, Vice President for Service Programs

Jim Johnson, Senior Research Associate Jim Wright, Research Associate Chuck Ernst, NCATC/NIST Liaison Carol Allen, Secretary



Hazardous Materials Management Technicians Skills Standard Project

Fact Sheet



HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIAN SKILL STANDARDS PROJECT

FACTS ABOUT SKILL STANDARDS PROJECTS:

- Skill standards identify the skills, attitudes, knowledge and level of ability an individual needs to perform successfully in the workplace.
- They will be a common, standardized system for classifying and describing the skills needed for a particular occupation.
- They form a cornerstone of the Clinton Administration's workforce development system.
- There are twenty-two (22) projects currently in process.
- Projects are a joint effort of the Department of Labor and the Department of Education.
- CORD is assigned two Skill Standards Development Projects (the other being Photonics).
- ALL SKILL STANDARDS ARE TO BE VOLUNTARY AND INDUSTRY BASED.

ADVANTAGES OF SKILL STANDARDS

- Make the US more competitive in a global market. We are the only industrialized nation without skill standards.
- Businesses will have better information to hire highly skilled workers.
- Students entering the labor force will have more complete information about job skills.
- Training providers will become more accountable since training will have a measurable standard for
- Assist educators develop effective and efficient training programs and curriculum materials.
- Will have impact on the Dictionary of Occupational Titles.

THE CENTER FOR OCCUPATIONAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (CORD)

- Non-profit, public service organization
- Approximately 100 employees
- Nearly two decades of service to education
- International in scope
- Dedicated to emerging technologies
- Prime-mover in the national TECH PREP initiatives
- Develops curriculum materials based on CONTEXTUAL LEARNING concepts

HAZMAT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS ARE EXPECTED TO

- Provide guidance to the project.
- Provide technical expertise relative to skills needed for successful employment.
- Identify specialities within Hazardous Materials Management Technology based on occupational needs.
- Promote the skill standards development work within their organization and profession.
- Read and give feed-back on correspondence.
- Attend Advisory Committee meetings.
- Attend regional focus group meetings when held in the area.

PROJECT PLANS AND ACTIVITIES

- Develop a questionnaire to gather data from potential employers of Hazardous Materials Management Technicians regarding job functions
- Use the comments on the questionnaire to generate a tentative list of skills.
- Disseminate the tentative skill list to obtain information about the priority of each skill.
- Determine if regional variations to the skill list change the priority in different geographical areas.
- Assemble data and produce a skill list to be validated by the advisory committee and others.
- Disseminate the final skill list to schools and interested employers.
- Assemble a committee to evaluate the certification of HAZMAT technicians
- Prepare a final report on the project and deliver the validated skill list to the Department of Education prior to November 1, 1994

Center for Occupational Research and Development 601 Lake Air Drive, Phone 817/772-8756 Waco, TX 76710 Fax 817/772-8972



Hazardous Materials Management Technicians Skills Standard Project

General Information



GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT DEVELOPMENT OF SKILL STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY

OVERALL PROJECT GOAL

To develop business and education skill standards for Hazardous Materials Management Technologies.

DEFINITION

Skill Standards identify the knowledge, skill, level of ability and attitudes needed to satisfactory perform a given job. Standards may be specific to a given occupation, may cross occupational lines, or may apply to groups of occupations."

BACKGROUND

A national system of voluntary skill standards is being developed through partnerships and balanced participation of business, industry, labor, educators and other key groups. This project is one of a series of skill standards development projects that has been funded by the US Department of Education. Similar skill standards for other technologies are being developed under grants from the Department of Labor.

The skills, adaptability, creativity and knowledge of American workers must be the foundation for our continued competitiveness in a global economy. However, problems exist in the lack of connection between the skills needed in the workplace and the skills imparted through education and training. Limitations also exist today due to the lack of nationally recognized credentials for specific occupations.

Skill standards projects, such as this, are intended to fill this void and make a strong connection between employment needs of business and industry and the educational institutions that prepare people for employment.

HOW WILL THESE SKILL STANDARDS BE USED?

The results of this project will give guidance, from a national perspective, to schools that offer or intend to offer training for entry level employment of Hazardous Materials Management Technicians. These skill standards will also help guide curriculum development projects in the future.



401

Hazardous Materials Management Technicians Skills Standard Project

What Are Skill Standards?





What are Skill Standards?






SKILL STANDARDS

- Key component of Goals 2000: Educate America
- Funding authorized by the Carl Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Act
- Joint effort of the Departments of Education and Labor
- Voluntary and Industrial Based






DEFINITION

- Skill Standards identify the knowledge, skills, attitudes and level of ability an individual needs to perform successfully in the workplace.
- They have no uniform nor required format
- They form the cornerstone of this Administration's workforce development system.






ADVANTAGES

- Students entering the labor force will have better information
- Businesses will have better information to hire highly skilled workers
- Accountability among training providers because of a measurable standard for evaluation
- Assist educators develop effective and efficient training programs
- Impact on the Dictionary of Occupational Titles





NATIONAL SKILL STANDARDS PROJECTS

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Health Science and Technology

Electronics

Computer-aided Drafting

Air conditioning, Refrigeration and Power

Biotechnical Sciences

Printing

Automotive, Auto Body and Truck Technicians

Human Service Occupations

Heavy Highway/Utility Construction and Environmental Remediation and Demolition

Chemical Process Industries

Hazardous Materials Management Technician

Photonics Technician

Argiscience/Biotechnology

Welding Occupations

Food Marketing Industry

Forest/Wood Product Production and Manufacturing

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Industrial Launderers

Tourism, Travel, & Hospitality


Metal Working

Electronics


Electronics Construction


Retail Trade

















CURRICULUM CONCEPT





ORD Research and Development

TASK / SKILL LIST

(CATAGORY) A. Safety

TASK) 1. Use a fire extinguisher

properly (SKILLS)
a. identify the type of fire
b. select the proper fire extinguisher
c. hold and direct the fire extinguisher d. verify that the fire has been extinguished



Hazardous Materials Management Technicians Skills Standard Project

Project Report by Jim Johnson



Hazardous Materials Management Technology

Skills Standard Project Report

August 18, 1994

Project Status

The stated goal of the project, as given in the original proposal document, is to "organize and manage a coalition of organizations related to the hazardous materials industry which will identify the skills necessary and the training required for hazardous materials management technicians (HMMT)."

According to the proposal, the goal would be accomplished through the following activities:

- 1. research businesses and industries involved in hazardous materials management
- 2. form a coalition of participants in the project
- 3. select and empower a technical committee including business, education, and labor leaders
- 4. design, through a participative, iterative process, a model for skill standards in the industry
- 5. devise a method for assessing and evaluating the model
- 6. promote a process for maintaining and updating skill standards
- 7. secure an independent evaluator to conduct a summative evaluation of the project

To accomplish item #1 (above), CORD staff did the following:

- interviewed over 150 technicians, employers, consultants or educators who are actively involved with Hazardous Materials Management Technology. Approximately 3/4 of these interviews were made by phone. The remainder were conducted in tace-to-face meetings.
- conducted site visits at the locations listed in the table below. The one on October 25, 1993 at
 Sherwin-Williams Co, was during and immediately following a fire at a paint store while HMMT
 personnel were directing fire fighting activities. A different team of HMMT technicians were on site
 after the fire to direct clean-up activities.

Dates	Organization	Location	Team Member
October 25, 1993	Sherwin-Williams Co.	Waco, TX	Jim Wright
September 29, 1993	Glace & Radcliffe and Associates	Maitland, FL	Robert Bear
November 8, 1993	PDG, Environmental, Inc	Titusville, FL	Robert Bear
November 12, 1993	Radian Corp	Austin, TX	Jim Wright



November 12, 1993	3M Center	Austin, TX	Jim Wright
August 19, 1994	EG&G/Kennedy Space Center	Cape Canaveral, FL	Robert Bear
September 9, 1994	Kelly Air Force Base	San Antonio, TX	Jim Johnson
September 9, 1994	Wright-Patterson Air Force Base	Dayton, OH	Jim Wright
September 14, 1994	Tupperware Home Parties, Inc	Orlando, FL	Robert Bear
September 21, 1994	Marathon Power Systems	Waco, TX	Jim Wright

To accomplish item #2 (above), the following activities were conducted

- A team was assembled that consisted to two consultants and selected CORD staff. The third-party evaluator, Dr. Jerry Riehl, was part of that team. Dr. Riehl has spent his career as a technical expert in subjects related to chemical and nuclear hazards. Prior to retirement he was the Dean of Technology at South Seattle Community College. His experience, knowledge and personal contacts helped establish the projects direction. Bob Bear, a Professional Engineer and environmental consultant, was retained as part of the project's team. He has served as the chairman of the industrial advisory committee and given the team guidance from the perspective of the employer of HMMT technicians. This team defined the categories of business and industries that should be solicited as members of our advisory committee.
- Telephone and personal interviews discovered a group of interested and knowledgeable individuals
 who were willing to dedicate time and expenses to the project. This included a commitment to
 attend two advisory committee meetings and to respond to requests for information throughout the
 course of the project Attempts were made to keep the committee membership balanced.
 Approximately 40 individuals originally agreed to serve on the committee. This number has
 increased to approximately 50 during the project.
- The involvement of professional societies was solicited. Approximately 90 societies were identified
 whose primary purpose relates to the control of hazardous materials or environmental issues. During
 the course of the project, six professional societies have responded with active participation. These
 include the following:
 - National Environmental Health Association (NEHA) consists of a national membership. Public
 health officials, educators, corporate health and safety personnel and independent consultants
 constitute the bulk of their membership. They are subcontracted on the project to coordinate
 other societies and conduct a survey to validate occupational task and skills required for HMMT
 technicians. NEHA offers certification programs for professionals and technicians working the
 environmental occupations.
 - National Environmental Training Association (NETA) provides a certification program for individuals who conduct training programs in environmentally related disciplines. To become a Certified Environmental Trainer (CET) an individual must be certified in a technical area related to environmental science prior to attempting certification as a trainer. This organization is closely aligned to community colleges offering training programs.
 - The National Association of Environmental Professionals (NAEP) is a membership organization that serves a wide variety of professionals in environmental fields. A large number of these members are employers of HMMT. The organization offers a certification program for professionals titled Certified Environmental Professional (CEP). They are also actively pursuing a technician certification program.



- The Hazardous Materials Control Resources Institute (HMCRI) is a membership organization that conducts two major conferences each year and several other training programs. The principle conference, called Superfund, attracts contractors and government agencies that are involved with the clean-up of some of this country's most contaminated sites.
- The Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE) is a coalition of community colleges with programs related to environmental science. Many of them have HMMT programs. This is a national organization which is divided into six geographical regions. Three of the regional organizations have agreed to have students collect survey data from employers in their region. Several of the members of PETE's Board of Directors are members of our advisory committee.
- The Institute for Hazardous Materials Managers (IHMM) and the Academy of Hazardous Materials
 Managers (AHMM) provide one of the best known certification programs in this technology. It is
 the Certified Hazardous Materials Manager (CHHM). This group of agencies has provided
 information and access to the mailing list of certified individuals.

To accomplish items #3 and #4 (above) the technical advisory committee met in Washington, D.C. on December 3, 1993. A list of possible job titles was presented along with a "straw man" list of tasks for the committee's consideration. A summary of the committees discussion and recommendations was as follows:

- The committee expressed a concern that job titles alone would not be useful at this time. Instead, they categorized HMMT into four occupational groups according to the environment in which the worker is employed. This four categories are as follows:
 - Remediation--this group relates to individuals who are assigned to clean-up contaminated outdoor sites. Superfund sites is a typical example. Other examples include the clean-up of leaky, underground petroleum tanks at gas stations and our nations nuclear facilities.
 - Transportation, treatment, storage and disposal (TTSD)--This category includes the work done
 within most major companies, oil refineries, chemical process industries, municipal waste
 treatment facilities and disposal locations such as incinerators.
 - Regulations--since this technology is heavily regulated by government agencies such as EPA,
 OSHA, and state regulatory groups, technicians who specialize in applying these regulations to the companies application are needed.
 - Laboratory/Analytical--this group includes individuals who work in laboratory facilities. Their
 principle job tasks relate to collecting, testing and analyzing contaminated soil, air, and liquid
 samples. This may include workers at municipal waste treatment plants that have to collect and
 analyze samples on a continual basis. This category may overlap with chemical laboratory
 technicians.
- Even though the committee identified the four different work groups defined above, they estimated that 80% 90% of the tasks, skills and knowledge would be identical in all four groups.
- Additionally, the committees directions included the following:
 - the skill standards for HMMT must include all the topics identified by OSHA training requirements. (i.e., a person employed as a HMMT technician must be certifiable according to the OSHA requirements)
 - the project must investigate how regional variations effect the skills expected of a HMMT technician. (i.e., a HMMT technician employed at Hanford, Washington, near the nuclear clean-up site will need skills related to nuclear materials while a HMMT technician employed by



- a petroleum company near Houston, Texas or New Orleans, Louisiana, may need other skills-perhaps related to shipping hazardous materials on ocean going vessels.)
- Regional focus group meetings were suggested as a means to investigate this variation. These
 meetings were held according to the following schedule:

Location	Date	Attendance	Assisting Organization
Seattle, WA	February 23, 1994	33	South Seattle Community College
Miami, FL	March 8, 1994	12	Local Chapter of NAEP
Albuquerque, NM	March 11, 1994	14	American Society of Safety Engineers
New Orleans, LA	April 30, 1994	6	HMCRI
Hagerstown, MD	June, 6, 1994	12	Hagerstown Junior College
New Orleans, LA	June 12, 1994	8	NAEP
Nashua, NH (near Boston, MA)	August 25, 1994	~30	New Hampshire Community College

- To expand the "straw-man" task list, the committee suggested a survey of practitioners. In response, several advisory committee members (and other interested individuals) provided job descriptions for employment categories grouped under the broad title, HMMT. An Activity Journal (Appendix D) was distributed to over 100 employed technicians. The Journal asked them to record the job functions they are responsible for during a typical day. It also asked them to identify tasks they perform weekly, monthly and annually. Approximately 50 responses were returned. The activities listed on the Journals along with task statements from job descriptions were used to convert the "straw-man" task list into a lengthy outline. The task statements in the outline were grouped and a critical verb was associated with each statement. A copy of this outline is included as Appendix E. This outline became the main discussion topic at three of the regional focus group meetings where participants were asked to verify or change the verb given in each statement and to rate each statement according to three levels of priority (1 = high, 2 = medium, and 3 = low).
- In addition to the Advisory Committee meeting held in Washington, DC on December 3, 1994, a second committee meeting was held in Fort Worth, Texas on June 17, 1994. This meeting was arranged by the National Environmental Health Association (NEHA) and coordinated with their annual convention. The advisory committee studied modified versions of the Task/Activity Outline. Committee members were grouped for discussion according to the four work groups identified above (remediation, TTSD, Regulations, laboratory) Modifications were made to the outline statements. The recommendations resulting from the Fort Worth Advisory Committee meeting included the following:
 - Convert the Task/Activity Outline into a survey to be distributed to large numbers of employers for validation. NEHA subcontracted to design, distribute, collect and accumulate date from this survey. (expected to be complete by September 15, 1994)
 - A subcommittee of the HMMT advisory committee should be established to work directly on issues related to job titles. Rick Collins accepted the chairmanship of this committee.



- Another subcommittee should be formed to consider the issue of certification and assessment of individuals against the standard.
- Due to health related problems, Dr. Jerry Riehl has been unable to continue as an active member of the Project Team. He is, however, available for consultation and will assist with evaluation of the project. Jean Drevdahl was appointed to assume the principle duties of the third-party evaluator and project team member. She began her work by attending the June 17, 1994 advisory committee meeting.
- The Certification and Assessment committee was formed and held the first meeting on July 14-15, 1994 in Waco, Texas. Members of the subcommittee and their affiliation are as follows:

Affiliation
Texas State Technical College
Facilities and Environmental Consultants
Third-party Evaluator
Occupational Safety Training Institute
HMCRI
NEHA
Texas State Technical College
NETA
Assessment Specialist
Occupational Safety Training Institute
NAEP

 A Project Team meeting is planned for September 23 - 24, 1994 at the offices of NEHA in Denver, Colorado. The purpose of this meeting is to evaluate the data returned from the survey and to condense the information into one of the suggested formates provided by the Department of Education.

To accomplish item #5 (above) the following actions have been implemented:

- Third-party evaluators have been part of the planning process from the initiation of the project. The project director is in continual communication with both evaluators.
- Three evaluation reports will be produced by the evaluators. Two formative reports have been generated. A summative report will be produced to evaluate the completed project after October 31, 1994. The two formative reports have been used as mid-course adjustments to assure that the project remains on target.
- Two issues of a newsletter called SKILL STANDARD REPORT has been produced and mailed to nearly 1000 interested individuals from industry and education. This newsletter not only gives information about the skill standards by seeks feedback from readers.
- A Skill Standard Workshop is planned to be held in Waco on October 3 4, 1994. The purpose of the workshop will be to help educators design strategies to implement the Standard in their



education process. Industrial experts, who have been involved with the design of the Standard, will make presentations at the workshop and work with the educators in small groups to formulate methods of implementing the Standard in existing HMMT programs or evaluate the need and structure of new HMMT programs.

To accomplish item #6 (above) the following actions have been taken:

- The certification subcommittee, at its meeting in Waco on July 14-15, gave several recommendations that will help to maintain and update the standards over time. Their recommendations included the following:
 - Training programs should be accredited by an organization consisting of educational providers.
 These may include public schools, private schools and consulting agencies. PETE was
 mentioned several times as such an organization. The intention would be to develop accrediting
 requirements for Hazardous Materials Management Technology Programs that would be
 consistent with the National Skill Standard. The requirements would include items like teacher
 qualifications, facilities, lab-to-lecture ratio, hours of instruction, etc.
 - A certification program for individuals graduating from accredited programs should also be implemented. Certification programs may be operated by different agencies but professional societies would be a typical choice. A comprehensive certification for a "general" HMMT should be maintained by a technician orientated organization. (Since that meeting, the Federation of Environmental Technicians (FET) has been identified as an organization that may fulfill this activity).
 - Specialty certifications should also be made available for sub-sets of the Hazardous Materials Management industry. For instance, a specialization certification could be available in Nuclear Technology after an individual has completed the comprehensive certification.
 - The Skill Standard must be the basis for any certification program. Assessment of individual's
 skills can be accomplished by a comprehensive test but must also contain some performance
 based items. The assessment of performance-based skills can be accomplished while a student is
 enrolled in an accredited program.
 - Certification should be directed toward "job entry" skills. A degree should not be a requirement for certification.
 - Periodic re-certification and assessment should be built into the program.
 - A continuing education requirement should be incorporated in the re-certification process.
- A database has been constructed in which data from schools with Hazardous Materials Management Programs can be entered. Four general topics are included in the database which are as follows:
 - School information including contact name, address, phone, etc.
 - Program information including type, length, degree or certificate
 - Course information including course tale, length, etc.
 - Textbook information for each course in the program.
- The data from approximately 20 schools have been entered into the database at this time. These schools have volunteered the information or provided only a school catalog. A great deal of addition effort is needed to get a teasonably complete set of data.
- Presentations about the Skill Standard Project been make at various community college meetings.
 These include two PETE meetings, two semi-annual meetings of the National Coalition of Advanced



Technology Centers (NCATC) and at the National Tech Prep Network (NTPN) meeting. A total of approximately 200 individuals have attended these presentations.

An article on the project has been submitted to a publisher. It is expected to be published in the
Journal of Occupational Safety and Health in the near future.

To accomplish item #7 above CORD has assembled a team of evaluators. Dr. Jerry Riehl has been involved with the project since its beginning. Jean Drevdahl was added to the evaluation team when Dr. Riehl's health prevented him from traveling. Dr. Riehl constructed the first formative report and Ms. Drevdahl gave the second formative evaluation. Ms. Drevdahl will prepare the summative report with consultation from Dr. Riehl.



Hazardous Materials Management Technicians Skills Standard Project

Advisory Committee Members



				10000	1001100	1,00,004	71717	6/12/04	6/17/94	7/14-15/94
LIPA VIA	Identifier or SIC	12/3/93 Washington	2/3/94 Seattle,	3/8/94 Miami,	3/11/94 Albuquerque,	New Orleans,	Hagerstown,	New Orleans,	Fort Worth,	Waco,
- AMAX	Code	D.C. Advisory	WA Regional	FI. Regional	NM Regional	LA Regional	MD Regional	I.A Regional	TX Advisory	1X Subcommittee
Bruce Albright* Manager, Training Administrative Services	DOE	No								
WINCO										
MS-1215										
Idaho Falls, ID 83415-1215 208/526-3564	· 									
Jerry Atlas*								· 		-\ -\ -\
Texas State Technical College			_	_				_		
3801 Campus Drive										
Waco, TX 76705										
817/867-3438 800/792-8784 FAX 817/799-4407										
Mara Austin*		No		Yes				Yes		
Metro Dade Dept. of Solid Waste										
8675 NW 53rd Street, Suite 201										
Miami, FL 33166		_			-					
305/594-1635									Yes	
Thomas J. Bartel*				_				_	; -	
Product Environmental Affairs Manager										
Unisys Corporation			_							
2525 East Camelback Road, Suite 1000										
Phoenix, AZ 85016					_					
002/224-4221 FAA 002/224-4263	8711	Yes		Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes
Bob Bear*	11/0	<u>.</u>		3		_				
Facilities & Elivirolillicinal Collourains, inc.					_					•
1 203 Californige Direct	_					_	_		_	
407/682-6238 FAX 407/682-7256			-							
William Bergfield*		Yes								
Coordinator, Environmental Programs	_									
Laborers-AGC										
Education and Training Fund					_				_	
37 Deerfield Road, P.O. Box 37							_			
Pomfret Center, CT 06259										
203/974-0800 FAX 203/974-1459	_									
		N VIOLENIA NICE	S CKII I G	TANDAD	n A DVISOR	V COMMIT		9/30/94 + In	dicates current c	* Indicates current committee members
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MAINAGEMENT TECHNICIANS SMELLS STANDARD TO COLOR OF THE COLOR O	MENT IE		COLLING) }				
) T									الم الم الم	



NAME	Identifier or SIC Code	12/3/93 Washington D.C. Advisory	2/3/94 Seattle, WA Regional	3/8/94 Miami, FL.	3/11/94 Albuquerque, NM Regional	4/30/94 New Orleans, LA Regional	6/6/94 Hagerstown, MD Regional	6/12/94 New Cricans, LA Regional	6/17/94 Fort Worth, TX Advisory	7/14-15/94 Waco, TX Subcommittee
Eva Bonilla* CEO, Occupational Safety McBon Environmental and Construction, Inc. 9000 West Bellfort, Suite 570		N _O						_	Yes	-
Houston, TX 77031 713/270-6882 FAX 713/270-8735										
David Boon* Professor, Hazardous Materials Management		Ž O			Yes					
Front Kange Community College 3645 West 112th Avenuc Westuninister, CO 80030 303/466-8811x259 FAX 303/466-1623	, 									
Kenneth Chapman* Special Assistant, Education Division American Chemical Society 1155 Sixteenth Street NW Washington, D.C. 20036 202/872-4388 FAX 202/872-9734		Yes								
John Clevenger, Ph.D. * Director, Office of Technical Workforce Development University & Community College System of Nevada 2601 Enterprise Road Reno, NV 89512 702/784-4138 FAX 702/784-1127		Yes								
Richard Collins* Public Health Services 1600 Clifton Road, E56 Atlanta, GA 30333	_		· ,		·		: 		Yes	
Charley Cook* Training Manager Em Tech 303 Arthur Street Ft. Worth, TX 76107		Yes								
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIAN 424	MENT TE	CHNICIANS	SKILLS	STANDAR	S SKILLS STANDARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE	Y COMMIT		9/30/94 + In	ndicates current o	* Indicates current committee members

	Landiding	12/3/03	7/3/94	3/8/94	3/11/94	4/30/94	6/6/94	6/12/94	6/17/94	7/14-15/94
NAME	or SIC	Washington	Seattle,	Miami,	Albuquerque,	New Orleans, LA	Hagerstown, MD	New Orleans, LA	Fort Worth, TX	Waco,
	Code	D.C. Advisory	w.A. Regional	Regional	Regional	Regional	Regional	Regional	Advisory	Subcommittee
Joe Douglass*		Yes				_				
Director of Regulatory Affairs										
23-47 Ames Boulevard										
Hamburg, NJ 07419										
210/827-9101 FAX 201/827-8893										
Allen Dressler	_	°Z								
Environmental Engineering and Pollution										
Control										
3M Center, Building 2-2E-09							_			
P.O. Box 33331										
5133										
612/778-4220 FAX 612/778-7959									Vec	Yes
Jean Drevdahl*				_					<u> </u>	
17524 N.W. Bernard Place									=	
Beaverton, OR 97006-4194	_					_				
503/244-6111x5628										Yes
Llewellyn Fambles*		_								3
OSTI										
8415 West Bellfort, #300						_	_	_		
Houston, TX 77031							_			
800/270-6882								302		
Doug Feil*	ည	Yes		_				<u>s</u>		
Associate Director										
Hazardous Materials Training/Research	_									
C/O Kirkwood Boulevard SW		_	_							
Cedar Rapids, IA 52404										
319/398-5677 FAX 319/298-1250									کولا	
Garland Gobble*		Yes		_					3	
NEHA Region 8										
2520 South 5th Street						_				
Arlington, VA 22204										
703/358-4985 FAX /03/358-5253								1.4 70/02/0	Jim to mirror	1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIANS SKILLS STANDARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE	MENT TE	CHNICIAN	SKILLS	STANDAR	DADVISOR	Y COMMIT			o licarios carrono	
									F C 103	

	Identifier or	12/3/93	2/3/94	3/8/94	3/11/94	4/30/94	6/6/94	6/12/94	6/11/94	7/14-15/94
NAME	SIC Code	Washington D.C.	Seattle, WA	Miami, FL	Albuquerque, NM	New Orleans, LA	Hagerstown, MD	New Orleans, LA Regional	Fort Worth, TX Advisory	waco, TX Subcommittee
		Advisory	Regional	Regional	Kegional	Keglonal	Kegimiai	Negonia.		
Barry Granoff*	DOE	N _o								
Department Manager Environmentally Conscious Manufacturing										
Education										
Sandia National Laboratories										
Dept. 6608										
Albuquerque, NM 87185	_									
505/845-95//		Ž								
Kevin Grant		2								
Federation of Environmental Professionals										
P.O. Box 26324										
Jacksonville, FL 32226					•					
Louise Greene*	ည	Yes		_						
Dean Administrative Services				-		_	_			
Catawba Valley Community College							_			
Rt. 3 Box 283						-	_			
Hickory, NC 28602										
Darrell Haggett		2								
Manager, Waste Management Programs							_			
3M Company			_			_				,
P.O. Box 33331										
Building 21-2W-05					_					
St. Paul, MN 55133			_							
612/778-6386										
Mike Hamid*		ž		<u> </u>						
Director of Career Development										_ -
CH2M HILL	_						_			
P.O. Box 22508								<u></u>	_	
Denver, CO 80222-0508										
303/771-0952 x 2549										
David Johnson*	Army	Yes								
HC 65						_				
Box 6110										
Florien, LA 71429										
318/531-2815 FAX 318/531-2604								l		
HAZARDOUS MAÆERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIANS SKILLS STANDARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE	MENT TEC	HNICIANS	SKILLS	STANDAR	D ADVISOR	Y COMMIT		9/30/94 * In	idicates current c	* Indicates current committee members
O 3 学									(1	



_		
	G	
CI	DΪ	
Full Tex	tt Provides	by ERIC

NAMIE	Identifier or SIC Code	12/3/93 Washington D.C.	2/3/94 Seattle, WA Regional	3/8/94 Miami, FL Regional	3/11/94 Albuquerque, NM Regional	4/30/94 New Orleans, LA Regional	6/6/94 Hagerstown, MD Regional	6/12/94 New Orleans, I.A Regional	6/17/94 Fort Worth, TX Advisory	7/14-15/94 Waco, TX Subcommittee
Jack B. Jones* P.O. Box 640121 Kenner, LA 70064-0121 504/361-2699 Office, 504/469-2097 Home 504/521-5051 Digital Beeper	Navy	ON						Yes		
Bill Kahler* Manager of Transportation, Safety & Regulations Union Carbide 39 Old Ridgebury Road (E-1) Danbury, CT 06817-0001 203/794-7121 FAX 203/794-6921		ON.								
Bill Lindburg* Lakeshore Technical College HAZMAT Department 1290 North Avenue Cleveland, WI 53015 414/458-4183 x 652			·							
Jon Lovegreen, CEO* Applied Geosciences, Inc. 29B Technology Drive, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92718 714/453-8545 x 212	8711	No								·
Edward Martin* Executive Director Hazardous Materials Control Research Institute One Church Street, Suite 200 Rockville, MD 20850-4129 301/251-1900 FAX 301/738-2330		ON.					Yes			
Ian Moar, Executive Director Coalition for Responsible Hazardous Waste Incineration 1133 Connecticut Avenuc NW Washington, D.C. 20036 202/775-9839 FAX 202/833-8491		Yes			·					

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIANS SKILLS STANDARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE

9/30/94 * Indicates current committee members

اد				·			
7/14-15/94 Waco, TX Subcommittee		Yes	Yes				mmittee memb
6/17/94 Fort Worth, TX Advisory			Yes				* Indicates current committee members
6/12/94 New Orleans, 1.A Regional						·	9/30/94 * Indi
6/6/94 Hagerstown, MD Regional		Yes					
4/30/94 New. Orleans, LA Regional							COMMIT
3/11/94 Albuquerque, NM Regional					•		ADVISOR,
3/8/94 Miami, FT. Regional							TA A CO A P
2/3/94 Seattle, WA Regional						Yes	351 133
12/3/93 Washington D.C.					Yes		Yes
Identifier or SIC Code							Sat than
NAME	John McDonagh, Director * Massachusetts Vocational Curriculum Resource Center 758 Marrett Road	Daniel McGrew* HMCRI One Church Street #200 Rockville, MD 20850	Reggie Moore* National Environmental Health Association 720 South Colorado Boulevard, Suite 970 Denver, CO 80222 303/756-9090 FAX 303/691-9490	Eugene Moss* NIOSH MS R-13 4676 Columbia Parkway Cincinnati, OH 45226 513/841-4543 FAX 513/841-4488	David Nay* 8714 Kenilworth Drive Springfield, VA 22153 703/405-9858 (703/569-8800 Home)	Joe Nichols* Principal Engineer Regulatory Analysis Westinghouse Hanford Company P.O. Box 1970 MISN H6-22 Richland, WA 99352	James Ozzello* Director of Safety, 1.B.E.W. 1125 Fifteenth Street NW, Suite 110 Washington, D.C. 20005 202/728-6137 COMMITTEE



NAME	Identifier or SIC Code	12/3/93 Washington D.C.	2/3/94 Seattle, WA Regional	3/8/94 Miami, Fl.	3/11/94 Albuquerque, NM Regional	4/30/94 New Orleans, I.A Regional	6/6/94 Hagerstown, MD Regional	6/12/94 New Orleans, 1.A Regional	6/17/94 Fort Worth, TX Advisory	7/14-15/94 Waco, TX Subcommittee
Douglas Pickle* Professor and Division Chair, Industrial Technology Amarillo College P.O. Box 447 Amarillo, TX 79178-0001	3 3	°Z								
806/3/1-5000 FAX 806/354-6090 Ed Price* Texas State Technical College 3801 College Drive Waco, TX 76705 800/792-8784 FAX 817/799-4407										Yes
Jerry Riehl* BGC, Inc. 9315 Fauntleroy Way Street Seattle, WA 98136	ည	Yes	Yes						Yes	
Charles L. Richardson* Executive Director National Environmental Training Association 2930 East Camelback Road, Suite 185 Phoenix, AZ 85016 602/956-6099 FAX 602/956-6399		o _N								Yes
David C. Riddle* Manager, Training and Total Quality Operations Training Westinghouse Hanford Company P.O. Box 1970 T4-19 Richland, WA 99352							·			
Frank Robertshaw, Program Manager * Management Institute for Environment and Business 1220 16th Street NW Washington, D.C. 20036 202/833-6556		Yes								
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIANS SKILLS STANDARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE	AENT TEC	HNICIANS	SKILLS S	TANDARI	ADVISOR	Y COMMIT		9/30/94 * Inc	licates current co	* Indicates current committee members

母 で d

NAME	Identifier or SIC Code	12/3/93 Washington D.C.	2/3/94 Seattle, WA	3/8/94 Miami, FL	3/11/94 Albuquerque, NM	4/30/94 New Orleans, LA	6/6/94 Hagerstown, MD	6/12/94 New Orleans, LA	6/17/94 Fort Worth, TX	7/14-15/ 94 Waco, TX
4		Advisory	Kegional	Kegional	Kegional	Kegionai	Keglona	Regional	A IOSIADA	Sall Marine
Rochelle Koutman*		0								
Lockhead Areon Systems Company										
80 500m C000 Dilve, Dept. 49-50			_							
Mariella, GA 30003-0432 404/494-2374 FAX 404/494-1744										
Com D Cohorol*										
Bosing Compression CHEA										· -
DOCING COLPOIAGON, STILES										
548 South 300th Federal Way, WA 08003										
Defer Coult	ည	N _O								
Dean Science and Industry	<u> </u>									
Linn-Benton Community College										
6500 SE Pacific Boulevard								_	_	-
Albany, OR 97321-3774										
503/928-2361 FAX 503/967-6550										
Valorie Sherwood										Yes
James Martin and Company										
1300 Fox Hollow										_
Denton, TX 76205			_			•				
817/383-9481										
Elizabeth Singleton*	ည	N _o								
Department Head										
College Without Walls		,								
Houston Community College	•									
P.O. Box 7849 MC-1740							-			
Houston, TX 77270-7849			•	_	-					
713/868-0758									Vec	VPS
Sharon Speer*									<u>.</u>	
O.S. 1.1. 9000 West Bellfort							_			
Suite 570		_		_				_		
Houston, TX 77031										
Howard Spencer*		N _o								
302 Cinnabar Lane										
Yardley, PA 19067										
009/931-3201, 21:3/453-0501					a Contract of			7 * 10/02/0	100 mm	* 1-dimension members
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNICIAN	AENT TEC	HNICIANS	SKILLS	IANDAKI	IS SKILLS STANDARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE	COMMI			סוכשובי כחודבווו ככ	

9/30/94 * Indicates current committee members

NAME	Identifier or SIC Code	12/3/93 Washington D.C.	2/3/94 Seattle, WA	3/8/94 Miami, FL	3/11/94 Albuquerque, NM	4/30/94 New Orleans, I.A	6/6/94 Hagerstown, MD	6/12/94 New Orleans,	6/17/94 Fort Worth,	7/14-15/94
Billy Stallings* Instructor		No	Kegronal	Regional	Regional	Regional	Regional	Regional	1X Advisory	TX Subcommittee
Rose State College Oklahoma Environmental Training Center 6420 Southeast 15th										
Midwest City, OK 73110 405/733-7364										
Jim Talley* 5402 West 6th Street										
Stillwater, OK 74075										Yes
405/624-0018			_							
Jackic Ward*		No.								
Entergy Service Corporation				<u> </u>	-	Yes				
Beaumont, TX 77704					-					
409/838-6631										
Michael Waxman, Assistant Professor*	Iniv	200	1					_		
University of Wisconsin			-				-		Ves	
Engineering Profession Development										
Madison, WI 53706					_					
608/262-2101 FAX 608/263-3160									_	
LuAnn White*	Univ	SZ	-							
Tulane University		<u></u> -						\ <u>\</u>	Yes	
School of Public Health and Medicine 1430 Tulane Ave							·			_
New Orleans, LA 70112				_						
204/284-2/66 Steven Windomou*	•									
Safety and Environmental Administrator		Yes					-			
American Marazzi Tile, Inc.										
539 Clay Koad Sunnyvale: TX 75182-0710										
214/226-0110 x 222 FAX 214/226-2263								 ,		

	Identifier or	12/3/93	2/3/94	3/8/94	3/11/94	4/30/94	6/6/94	6/12/94	6/17/94	7/14-15/94
NAME	SIC Code	Washington	Seattle,	Miami,	Albuquerque,	New Orleans 1 A	Hagerstown,	New Orleans,	Fort Worth, TX	Waco,
		D.C. Advisory	wA Regional	Regional	Regional	Regional	Regional	Regional	Advisory	Subcommittee
Roger Wise*		No							Yes	
Tampa Department of Sanitary Sewers										
2700 Maritime Boulevard										
Tampa, FL 33605			_							
813/247-3451										
Carl V. Wyatt*	Army					Yes				
Futures Education and Training Analyst				-						
Office of Deputy Chief of Staff for Training										
U.S. Army	_									_
HQ TRADOC		_								
ATTN: ATTG-CF										
Ft. Monroc, VA 23651-5000				P						
804/728-5528 FAX 804/728-5544										
Michael E. Zientek*			Yes							
Coc-Truman Technologies, Inc.										
669 Woodland Sq. Loop SE,										
Suite C										
Lacey, WA 98503										
206/438-0115										

Hazardous Materials Management Technicians Skills Standard Project

Formative Summary Report 2 to The U.S. Department of Education by Jean Drevdahl



Business and Education Standards for Hazardous Materials Management Technicians

Funded by the U.S. Department of Education Grant No. V244B30010

Formative Summary Report 2

by

Jean Drevdahl, Third-Party Evaluator

September 14, 1994



Hazardous Materials Management Technology Formative Summary #2

Overview

The development of Occupational Skill Standards for Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT) is a process that is being funded by a grant from the Department of Education in conjunction with the Center for Occupational Research and Development, CORD, located in Waco, Texas. The process is well underway and will soon produce a survey that will be sent to a representative sample of individuals in the Hazardous Materials field. The results will then be analyzed and the information distributed to those who would like to receive a copy. This has been made possible through the dedicated work of individuals from labor, industry, government, and education. These groups have cohesively worked to derive the skill standards a HazMat technician needs when they complete a training program and enter the workforce.

The primary outcomes of this project were identified as follows:

- 1. Select an advisory group
- Organize and conduct regional focus groups
- 3. Develop a survey instrument to prioritize tasks required by a HMMT based on the information obtained from the advisory group and focal groups
- 4. Validate the tasks/skills list through the advisory committee



- Develop a means to distribute the survey to hazardous materials experts (labor, industry, government, education) for their input and validation
- 6. Disseminate the information to interested industries, schools, and training providers.

The activities are enumerated only for ease of description. The activities and certification discussion are of equal importance to the success of the process and the desired outcome.



Activity 1: Selection Of The Advisory Committee

A general advisory committee has been formed and is composed of a diverse group of qualified individuals representing industry, government, professional organizations, labor, large and small businesses, and educational institutions.

The purpose of this committee is twofold;

- Provide advice and guidance for the project;
- 2. Aid in the development of job level descriptions for the skills and behaviors needed by employees who handle and manage hazardous materials in industry.

Although the selection of members is very diverse, representation from small business has been very limited. Jim has worked very diligently to encourage their participation in all phases of this project.



Activity 2: Organize and conduct regional focus groups

Focus groups were conducted around the nation to assist in the identification of regional variations in HMMT requirements. Five focus group meetings were held in conjunction with HazMat related organizational meetings and held at the following locations:

Seattle, Washington: February 22, 1994

Miami, Florida: March 8, 1994

Albuquerque, New Mexico: March 11, 1994

New Orleans, Louisiana: April 6, 1994

Hagerstown, Maryland: June 6, 1994

New Orleans, Louisiana: June 12, 1994

The purpose of this process was to get representation from all of geographical regions of the country. A meeting was not held in the middle of the country, but participants from this area were able to attend other meetings.



Activity 3: Develop a survey instrument

A draft of a survey instrument was developed and pilot tested at the April 6, 1994 focus meeting in New Orleans. This was extremely helpful because a vast amount of information was obtained and the survey was modified to incorporate the suggested changes.

On June 6, 1994, in Hagerstown, MD, a second draft of the survey was used at the regional focus group meeting to ensure the skills of the HMMT were accurate.

Then, the survey was used at a meeting in Fort Worth, Texas on June 17, 1994. This meeting was jointly sponsored by CORD and the National Environmental Health Association, NEHA. This date was scheduled because it was the day prior to the beginning of NEHA's national conference which would increase the attendance and participation of individuals at this meeting.

At the meeting there were 20 individuals from industry, government, professional organizations, and educational facilities. The primary emphasis of this meeting was to review the draft survey which identified the key skills a HMMT would need to be able to perform. These skills were identified by 11 areas with specific tasks listed in each of the areas. The 11



areas are as follows: regulations, recordkeeping, identification and labeling, emergency response, transportation and storage, treatment and disposal, training, sampling and analysis, safety, equipment, chemical and physical hazards.

A process similar to a Delphi was use for this exercise. The participants were divided into groups which corresponded to the focus areas of a HMMT: compliance, remediation, laboratory/analysis, and treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD). The participants selected the group they felt they were "expert" in and represented this during the day. Each group reviewed the 15 page survey and identified several items. First, was the described activity actually performed by a HMMT in that specialty area. If the task was not performed, it was deleted from the list. Second, was the underlined verb the correct one to describe the task. If the verb was inappropriate, then another verb was selected which proved to be more representative of the expertise needed by the HazMat technician.

After the activities were verified for accuracy and description, they were given a value of one, two, or three; one representing the most important activity.

At the end of the day, all of the information was given to Reggie Moore of NEHA who took the information and formulated it into a questionnaire that will be distributed in August. A draft of



this was available for the July 14, 1994 meeting in Waco, Texas.



Activity 4: Validate the tasks/skills list

In Waco, a draft of the survey was reviewed by Jim Johnson, Project Director. Jim made several suggestions and Reggie incorporated the changes into the finalized survey. Then Reggie sent a draft of the survey to all of the individuals who were in attendance at the July meeting. These attendees then sent a copy of the draft to a representative group of individuals in their geographical area to review, comment, and made suggestions to ensure completeness of the survey prior to general dissemination. The information obtained from the draft was returned to Reggie by August 1, 1994. Reggie incorporated these changes into the final survey which will be mailed out the middle of August.

Although this type of process takes a considerable amount of time and effort, it provided an excellent format to ensure completeness of the questionnaire.

Activity 5: Develop a means to distribute the survey

Several methods will be used to obtain validation of the skills

standards. All skills standards advisory committee members and

members of the following professional/educational organizations

will receive a copy of the survey to complete. The organizations

include:

- Partnership for Environmental Technology Education,
 PETE; all of the participating schools,
- National Environmental Health Association, NEHA; those individuals who are part of the HazMat specialization area,
- National Association of Environmental Professionals NAEP,
- 4. Hazardous Materials Control Resources Institute, HMCRI; those individuals who are part of the HazMat specialization area,
- 5. National Environmental Training Association, NETA; those individuals in the HazMat specialization area.

NEHA is responsible for mailing the survey to the HazMat members of NEHA, PETE, NAEP, HMCRI, and NETA. In Waco, it was determined that PETE, HMCRI, and NEPA will provide mailing labels and a cover letter to be included with surveys that are mailed to their respective HazMat members. Those individuals who are members of the Hazardous Materials section of NEHA will obtain a copy of the survey.



The goal is to receive a minimum of 200 completed surveys from the different organizations. This goal is reasonable and will provide a good basis from which the specific standards can be obtained.

Activity 6: Disseminate the information

The Skills Standards will be disseminated at a seminar on October 3-4, at the Roney Teaching Center in Waco, Texas.



Certification

An issue that has been discussed as a companion to the skills standards is the concept of certification for HMMT. During the meeting in Waco, the need and benefit of a certification process was discussed. Several organizations were present to discuss how their certification process works. The organizations that presented included:

NETA:

Rick Richardson

NEHA:

Reggie Moore

NAEP:

Jim Talley

HMCRI:

Dan McGrew

BCSP, ABIH, CHMM: Jean Drevdahl

The afternoon focused on understanding different examples of licensing and certification in other related organizations. Alan Sosbe of CORD discussed the certification process for the Automotive Service Excellence. Jean presented information on the licensing process for registered nurses and certification for occupational health nurses.

Following these presentations Valerie Sherwood discussed topics to be aware of when you are evaluating occupational skills and certification processes from her experience in England. Based on the information that the group received during the day they felt it was very important to have a certification process to certify individuals who graduate from a HazMat program and one to certify



the educational institutions.

Friday focused on developing a certification process. The group divided into two teams and each team came up with their idea of how a certification process should be organized. Out of the groups came a suggested certification process for individual HMMT and educational facilities.



Project Manager's Meeting

On July 21 and 22, Jim Johnson HMMT Project Director and Jean Drevdahl attended the Director's meeting in Washington, D.C. The meeting commenced with a presentation by Dr. Augusta Kappner. After her remarks, there was a presentation from Dr. Brian Shea from the Training Technology Resource Center, TTRC, This session demonstrated the computer search abilities that TTRC can perform. This also showed how we can access the information that is online.

In the afternoon, the group broke into four work sessions. Each session reported on how their project was going as a means of identifying the similarities and differences. It was very interesting to see that most of the projects had more similar experiences than differences. This was a very powerful session since it gave us time to look at our project and integrate some of the strengths from the other groups into the HazMat arena.



Summary

The Hazardous Materials Management Technician, HMMT, project is progressing very well. A modified Delphi technique was used to identify the key competencies for a HMMT. The benefit of this type of study is that it allows the integration and validation of the skills by numerous individuals and organizations prior to sending out the final survey. This process will help to ensure that the identified skill standards represent what a HMMT does after graduating from a HazMat program.

The next challenge is to finalize the survey into a format that is easy to understand and complete. Jim Johnson made a tactical decision to involve National Environmental Health Association, NEHA in the process of formatting, distributing, and analyzing the survey. This was a very wise decision since NEHA is very involved in this field and has the expertise within their organization to format the questionnaire and tabulate the results in a rapid manner.

Distribution of the survey is key to ensure that those who receive it will be representative of the workers in the field. At the Waco meeting in July, the distribution list was expanded to include several organizations who are involved in the HazMat area. Although this step may take more coordination by NEHA with the other organizations, the final product will be well worth the effort because the distribution will be more broad based and not



limited to those individuals who are members on only one organization which could bias the results.

As with any survey, the greatest challenge still remains; ensuring completed surveys are returned by the designated deadline. The goal is to receive at least 200 completed surveys. This number would provide the responses from which the standards will be based on. This could require additional follow up since the survey will be distributed during August which is typically a vacation month. I would recommend that some process be developed to follow up on surveys that are not returned. This follow up could include post-cards, phone calls, or reminder letters.

After the results have been tabulated, the information needs to be distributed to those individuals, organizations, and institutions that participated in the process or are interested. A meeting is scheduled in October to review the results of this project. In addition, I would recommend that the results be published in the HazMat journals.

A topic for further investigation would be to determine how many of the institutions that have HazMat programs teach all of the tasks that are identified in the skill standards. If several of the tasks are not routinely taught, developing some means of assistance for these schools would help to ensure that the skill standards are being integrated into the HMMT programs. This could include developing curriculum, reference materials, or even



table top exercises.

Another topic that will be evaluated in the future is the process of certification. Jim is progressing very well on this area since he conducted one meeting to look at the potential format to follow. This is excellent since it is optimistic to expect it to be completed in three years, and Jim is well on the way after only 18 months.

The HazMat field is very dynamic, therefore the skill standards will need to be evaluated in the next five to ten years to see if what is developed in 1994 is representative of what the technician is doing in 1999 or 2004.

It has been a pleasure working on this project with Jim Johnson.

Jim brings an enormous amount of enthusiasm, expertise, and

project management skills to this area which are reflected in the

results that are being produced by this project.



Remediation—Lois George





REMEDIATION

Lois D. George P.E. LaMoreaux & Associates, Inc.

REMEDIATION/OVERVIEW

Definition


Objective

Media

Groundwater Surface water

Air Soil

Potential Pathways and Receptors for Contaminant Migration



Methods

Source Control
Groundwater Control

S:\LDG\CORD

Page 1

9/27/94

P.E.LaMoreaux & Associates





REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGIES

PURPOSE TECHNIQUE

Ground-Water Control Technologies

Capping

(soil, synthetic)

Reduce infiltration and thereby minimize leachate generation

Ground-water pumping and treatment (air stripping, activated granular

charcoal, UV/ozonation)

Collect and control leachate for treatment in on-site or off-site

system

Impermeable barrier

(survey wall, grout curtain, sheet piling, French drain)

In downgradient position - limit contaminant migration; In upgradient position - divert ground water away

Subsurface collection drain Intercept and transport contaminants

Surface-water diversion and collection

(dikes, berms, ditches, and

benches)

Intercept and divert runon and thereby reduce potential for

infiltration and leachate generation

Permeable treatment bed Adsorb, neutralize, or precipitate contaminants

Promote surface runoff, reduce infiltration and thereby reduce Gradina

leachate generation

Indirectly reduce leachate generation by drying surface layers Revegetation

through root uptake

Bioreclamation Degrade/remove organic compounds

Soil and Sediment Control Technologies

Isolate waste materials, control off-site transport of con-Capping

taminated sediment on soils, supplement vegetation, prevent

leachate seeps

Grading and revegetation Control erosion

Surface-water diversion and collection

(dikes, berms, ditches, trenches,

and benches)

Intercept and divert runon, slow runoff, control erosion, trap

and collect sediments

Collect and treat leachate Leachate control

Excavation and removal Remove source of contamination

Page 2 S:\LDG\CORD

P.E.LaMoreaux & Associates





Surface-Water Control Technologies

Capping

Isolate waste materials, control off-site transportation of

contamination, support vegetation, prevent leachate seeps

Grading and revegetation

Provide non-erosive runoff by shaping topography, support

vegetation, control off-site transport of contamination

Surface-water diversion and collection

(dikes, berms, ditches, trenches,

and benches)

Intercept and divert runon, erosion control, channel

contaminated runoff

Seepage basin

Collect runoff and provide recharge

Sediment basin

(check dams, basins, ponds)

Collect contaminated sediment/soil

Leachate control

Collect and treat leachate

Surface-water treatment

(air sampling, activated granular

charcoal, UV/ozonation)

Treat at on-site or off-site facility

Air/Soil Pore Space Control Technologies

Capping

Provide impermeable barrier for upward migration/surface

escape of decomposition gases and volatiles

Gas ventilation

(pipe or trench vents)

Prevent lateral migration of gases, vent to atmosphere or to

treatment or collection system

Gas collection and treatment

Remove, destruct, or collect air pollutants

Gas barriers

Prevent lateral subsurface migration of gases

Source Control Technologies

Mechanical excavation

Remove waste from the site for treatment or secured disposal

Land disposal

Dispose waste material in an approved and secured landfill

Incineration

Thermally oxidize waste material in controlled on-site or off-

site environment

Solidification

Incorporate waste material with impermeable substance

In-situ solidification

Inject solidification agents directly into waste site

S:\LDG\CORD

Page 3







In-situ neutralization/detoxification

Neutralize or immobilize waste by application of neutralization

agent such as lime to the waste material

Microbial seeding

Biodegrade organic waste

Gas injection/gas extraction

Control and remove gas in soil/waste

Soil flushing

Remove contaminants from soil

Institutional Technologies

Alternate water supply

Prevent public exposure

Environmental monitoring

Continued monitoring to track remediation processes

Access restrictions

Prevent public exposure

INVESTIGATION

Tasks

Topographic mapping
Geophysical surveys
Soil/water/air sampling
Well Installation
Laboratory Analysis
Data management/interpretation/presentation

Skills

Surveying
Operating equipment/maintenance and repair
Sample collection and documentation
Drilling
Laboratory testing/field testing
Computer





Tools/Equipment

Field Equipment

Altimeter Audio-visual camera Bailers (various materials and sizes) Biological sampling equipment Brunton compass Data logger Field chemistry kit Generator Hand auger/power auger Kemmerer sampler Laptop computer Microbarograph Microscope Rain gage Submersible and jet pumps Water filtration unit Water-level recorder/ water-level indicator

Conductivity meter
Current meter
Dissolved oxygen meter
Fluorometer
Geiger counter
pH meter
Soil pH meter
Turbidimeter

Geophysical Equipment

Seismograph
EM conductivity
Ground penetrating radar
Metal detector
Borehole logger

Analytical Laboratory Instruments

Hazardous Materials and Safety Equipment

Air purifying respirator
Coliwasa sampler
Combustible gas/oxygen meter
Decontamination equipment
Disposable eye wash
Disposable gloves
Draeger air monitors
Eye wash/body drench
First-aid kit
HnU meter, photoionization detector
Material safety data sheets
Metal detector

Organic vapor analyzer, flame ionization detector Oxygen supply Poly-coated Tyvek covers SCBA (self-contained breathing apparatus) Soil sampler, auger Stainless steel and Teflon bailers
Stainless steel and Teflon soil and sludge samplers



S:\LDG\CORD



REMEDIATION

Tasks

Soils removal
Installation of wells and pumping system
Trenching/utility installation
Trenching and install rock
Trenching and install grout
Site safety
Installation of monitoring equipment
Installation of computer system
Soil/water/air sampling
Laboratory Analysis
Data management/interpretation/presentation

Skills

Map and plans reading
Operating equipment/maintenance and repair
Sample collection and documentation
Drilling
Laboratory testing/field testing
Computer
Operating machinery
General carpentry
Wiring

Tools/Equipment

Air purifying respirator
Coliwasa sampler
Combustible gas/oxygen meter
Decontamination equipment
Disposable eye wash
Disposable gloves
Draeger air monitors
Eye wash/body drench
First-aid kit
HnU meter, photoionization detector
Material safety data sheets

Metal detector
Organic vapor analyzer, flame
ionization detector
Oxygen supply
Poly-coated Tyvek covers
SCBA (self-contained breathing
apparatus)
Soil sampler, auger
Stainless steel and Teflon bailers
Stainless steel and Teflon soil
and sludge samplers

Analytical Laboratory Equipment





Trencher

Bobcat

Backhoe

Excavator

Grader

Dozer

Vacuum truck

Generator

Pumps

Compressors

Spill prevention/control tools and

supplies

STANDARDS

EPA

The last major environmental statute passed is the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 1976, and amended in 1984. The law is divided into eight subsections. The three subsections of primary importance include provisions to regulate solid waste (Subtitle D), hazardous waste (Subtitle C) and underground storage tanks (Subtitle I). The law was originally drafted as a solid waste recycling and disposal law to eliminate open dumps; however, implementation was focused on regulating hazardous wastes.

The <u>Comprehensive Environmental Response</u>, <u>Compensation and Liability (Superfund) Act</u> (CERCLA) passed in 1980 provides the federal program for cleanup of abandoned waste disposal sites and ground-water contamination.

The <u>Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act</u> (SARA) of 1986 establishes "right-to-know" on releases to environment, requires states to establish emergency response districts with comprehensive emergency response plans.

OSHA

The <u>Occupational and Safety and Health Act</u> (OSHA), enacted in 1970, is the primary Federal law regulating toxic substances to protect workers in the work place. The law was passed as the result of increased public concern about work place hazards and the effects of exposure to hazardous chemicals. Before passage of the law, worker safety was the responsibility of State agencies and labor groups. OSHA has promulgated the regulations to cover the training and working conditions requirements of SARA.

DOT

S:\LDG\CORD

Hazardous Materials and Transportation Act, 1975, gives the DOT authority to regulate the shipment of substances that may pose a threat to health, safety, property, or the environment when transported by air, water, rail, or highway.

468



Page 7



SELECTED REFERENCES

- Arbuckle, J.G., Bryson, N.S., Case, D.R., Cherney, C.T., Hall, R.M., Jr., Martin, J.C., Miller, J.G., Miller, M.L., Pedersen, W.F., Jr., Randle, R.V., Stoll, R.G., Sullivan, T.F.P., Vanderver, T.A., Jr., 1987, Environmental Law Handbook (9th ed.): Rockville, MD, Government Institutes, Inc.
- Andrews, L.P., ed., Worker Protection During Hazardous Waste Remediation: New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Bowman, V.A., Professional Environmental Management & Auditing: Cahners Publishing Company.
- Carson, H.T., and Cox, D.B., Handbook on Hazardous Materials Management: Institute of Hazardous Materials Management.
- Chemical Waste Management, Inc., 1989, Solid and Hazardous Waste, A Basic Study Guide.
- Clarkson, Scott C. and LaMoreaux, James W. (editors), 1987, SARA The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986: The Legislative History, Volume II: The Institute of Law and Public Health Protection, Arlington, Virginia, and Environmental Institute for Waste Management Studies, Tuscaloosa, Alabama.
- Clean Air Working Group, 1988, Clean Air Working Group Policy Manual.
- Clean Air Working Group, The Clean Air Act, A Primer & Glossary.
- Clean Sites, Inc., 1989, Making Superfund Work, Recommendations to Improve Program Implementation.
- Clean Sites, Inc., 1990, Improving Remedy Section: An Explicit and Interactive Process for the Superfund Program.
- Congressional Research Service, 1984, Natural Resources, Policy Division, Summaries of Federal Environmental Laws Administered by the Environmental Protection Agency.
- Fiedler, Linda, 1990, Application of Innovative Treatment at NPL Sites: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response Presentation.
- Hazardous Materials Publishing Co., 1993, Hazardous Materials, Substances & Wastes Compliance Guide: Kutztown, PA, Hazardous Materials Publishing Co.

469Page 8





- Mercer, James W., Skipp, David C., and Griffin, Daniel, 1990, Basics of Pump-and-Treat Ground-Water Remediation Technology: Robert S. Kerry Environmental Research Laboratory, EPA/600/8-90/003.
- National Environmental Technology Applications Corporation (NETAC), Environmental Technology and Product Profiles.
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1989, Environmental Guidance Program Reference Book Hazardous Materials Transportation Act.
- Plautz, D.A., How to Comply with the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986: A Complete Understanding of Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (3d ed.): Environmental Resource Center.
- Sims, T.L., Sims, R.C., and Mathews, J.E., 1989, Bioremediation of Contaminated Surface Soils: Robert S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory, EPA/600/9-89/073.
- Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc., 1990, OSHA Concentration Limits for Gases and Vapors.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1985, Environmental Regulations.
- U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency, 1990, Installation Restoration Program (IRP): U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Circular 50-6-1.
- U.S. Department of Labor, 1989, Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response; Final Rule: U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 29 CFR Part 1910, Part III.
- U.S. Department of Transportation, Hazardous Materials Marking, Labeling & Placarding Guide: U.S. Department of Transportation, Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT Chart 9.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1987, A Compendium of Technologies Used in the Treatment of Hazardous Wastes: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, EPA/625/8-87/014.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1987, The Layman's Guide to the Toxic Substances Control Act: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Toxic Substances, EPA 560/1-87-011.

S:\LDG\CORD



- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1988, Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA (Interim Final): U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, EPA 540/G-89-004, OSWER Directive 9355.3-01.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1988, Guidance on Remedial Actions for Contaminated Ground Water at Superfund Sites: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/540/G-88/003.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1988, Technology Screening Guide for Treatment of Soils and Sludges: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/540/2-88/004.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989, Evaluation of Groundwater Extraction Remedies: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, EPA/540/2-89/054.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989, Ground Water Research Technical Assistance Directory: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/600/9-89/048.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989, Guide for Conducting Treatability Studies under CERCLA, Interim Final: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/540/2-89/058.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989, Guide to Treatment Technologies for Hazardous Wastes at Superfund Sites: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/540/2-89/052.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989, State of Technology Review, Soil Vapor Extraction Systems: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/600/2-89/024.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989, The Superfund Innovative Technology Program, Technology Profiles: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/540/5-89/013.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, Basics of Pump-and-Treat Ground Water Remediation Technology: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/600/8-90/003.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, Physical/Chemical Treatment of Hazardous Wastes: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, CERI-90-16.



S:\LDG\CORD



- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, Progress in Ground-Water Protection and Restoration: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Ground-Water Protection.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, Scoper's Notes, An RI/FS Costing Guide: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/540/G-90/002.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, Selected Data on Innovative Treatment Technologies: for Superfund Source Control and Ground-water Remediation: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Technology Innovation Office.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1990, Streamlining the RI/FS for CERCLA Municipal Landfill Sites: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Quick Reference Fact Sheet No. 9355.3-11FS.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, The Feasibility Study Development and Screening of Remedial Action Alternatives: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OSWER Directive 9355.3-01FS3.
- Wagner, T.P. (ed.), The Complete Guide to the Hazardous Waste Regulations: A Comprehensive, Step-by-Step Guide to the Regulation of Hazardous Wastes Under RCRA, TSCA, HMTA, OSHA, and Superfund (2d ed.): New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Wagner, Travis P., 1991, The Complete Guide to the Hazardous Waste Regulations, Second Edition; New York, NY, Van Nostrand Reinhold.



Laboratory—Roger Wise



Compliance—Steve Wiederwax



Compliance—Krastie LaRue




Transportation—Bruce Rodgers



Educational Considerations for the Focus: Energy-Transportation Environmental Technician Industries

Bruce A. Rodgers, P.G. Electric Fuels Corporation St. Petersburg, Florida





What Makes an Outstanding Field Technician

Applied Natural Science Background

Field Methods Training

Details Training

Logistics Juggling

Field Technician Attributes Natural Science Background; Applied: Hydrogeology Meteorology · Chemistry

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

403

ometer 486

Field Technician Attributes

Field Methods Training

· Map and Aerial Photograph Utilization

Background Data Instrumentation

Wind/Temperature/Humidity

Barometer/Altimeter...Compass/Clinometer

Field Technician Attributes

Field Methods Training

Sampling Equipment for Various Media

Soils/Rocks...Air

Surface Water

Ground Water

Wipe Samples

Industry Smart

OC (C)





Field Technician Attributes

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

- Details Training
- · Contamination Concerns
- · Personal/Samples/Site
- Documentation Concerns
- · Legal Credibility
- Professional/Personal Credibility



Field Technician Attributes

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Logistics Juggling

· Appointments & Scheduling

Permit Sampling

Submission Deadlines

What Makes an Outstanding Administrative Technician

- Regulatory Agency Savvy
- Information Control
- Logistics Juggling

Qualities of an Administrative Technician

Regulatory Agency Savvy

· How Regulations are Structured

· Federal/State/Local

· Freedom of Information

Getting into Agency Files & Records

Getting Information Without Disclosing Too Much



Qualities of an Administrative Technician

Information Control

Computer Literate

· Word Processing/Spreadsheet

CD Rom/Public Access

Document/Data Retention

· Future/Historical Implications

Legal Implications

Qualities of an Administrative Technician

Logistics Juggling

Permit Deadlines

Time Sensitive Reporting

· Permit Renewal Lead Times

Rapport with the Agency Representative



Common Needs

Honest

Dependable and Safe

Representative of the Corporation's Image



Specific Training Certificates

OSHA 40 Hour Hazardous Waste Site or HAZWOPPER Training

Hazardous Materials Handler Training

Opacity Certificate

503

NO 4



Where is the Energy-Transportation Fit?

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC

Property & Facility Acquisitions and Sales

Historical Research and Data Collection

· Natural Science

Field Methods

Details

· Regulatory Savvy

Information Control

Property Maintenance and Facility Operations

Short-Term Response

Certificate Training

Information Control

Details Training

Logistics Juggling





Property Maintenance and Facility Operations

Long-Term Monitoring

Field Methods

Details Training

· Information Control

503

K



Facility Compliance

Permit Performance

· Logistics Juggling

Field Methods

Regulatory Performance

· Details Training

Applied Natural Sciences

Information Control

07 |cmi |CJ

> TO demail demail



THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SKILLS STANDARD

FOR

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY TECHNICIANS

Copyright © 1994 All rights reserved.

James Johnson
Center for Occupational Research and Development
Waco, Texas

Robert L. Bear, P.E.
Facilities and Environmental Consultants, Inc.
Longwood, Florida

September 20, 1994



The Development of a Skills Standard For Hazardous Materials Management Technology Technicians

During the past decade we have witnessed a phenomenal growth in the concern for the environment. This growth, as a result of ever-increasing federal, state, and local regulations and restrictions, has led to the development of numerous employment opportunities. For the most part, the employment opportunities have been filled by professionals who have—for lack of a better term—grown up with the industry. However in recent years, as the regulations and procedures have become more standardized and routine (and concern for the minimization of costs in a competitive market place have increased), many of the responsibilities undertaken by professionals have been transferred to technician-level personnel. This transition from professional to technician-level personnel has developed an opportunity for the education and training of individuals with specific skills and caused the federal government to recognize the need for the development of a measurable Skills Standard for these individuals.

The United States remains the only major industrialized nation that is without standards to define the skills required for industrial occupations. With few exceptions, our schools have been preparing people for vocations with only vague job descriptions to guide them. Schools can only guess at the demands of a particular occupation as there are presently, in most cases, no nationally-based norm, called a SKILLS STANDARD. For the most part, schools have made this guess with the help of a small number of localized industrial representatives. This has limited the effectiveness of schools in developing programs that meet the needs of industry beyond a specific, locally recognized need. It is little wonder that schools receive criticism for producing students who cannot function in an entry-level position without needing long periods of on-the-job training before they become productive employees. Additionally, as the needs of industry for qualified, knowledgeable technicians has increased during the past decade, the opportunities for schools to develop such programs has increased.

The current administration's educational initiative is designed to combat this dilemma and other educational problems. A multifaceted program referred to as "Goals 2000: Educate America" has as one of its top priorities the development of SKILLS STANDARDS for certain key occupations. A skills standard is a definition of the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and level of ability that are necessary to successfully function in the occupation. At this time, twenty-two different occupational Skills Standardsdevelopment projects are in process. In addition, other projects are underway that will eventually identify and standardize foundational skills needed for all occupations. These skills are identified in the Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills report relating to technical and interpersonal skills. Since occupational Skills Standard are a new concept in this country, there is no uniform or developmental process for them.



Guidelines for the development of Skills Standards are generally accepted as follows:

- 1. Skills Standards must be voluntary,
- 2. They must be industry-based,
- 3. The occupation must be explored and defined in detail,
- 4. A coalition consisting of representatives from industry, business, and education must lead the development and validate the final result,
- 5. A list of tasks and associated skills must be disseminated, discussed, debated and modified by experts in the field until a consensus is reached and the list is recognized as a "standard."

The advantages of having Skills Standards include:

- 1. Employees will have a clear picture of what they have to be able to do in order to be successful in the occupation.
- 2. Training providers can be held more accountable since a clear set of performance expectations will be outlined.
- Skills Standard will make United States businesses more competitive in the global marketplace, since workers will have an understanding and level of ability that will equip them to perform tasks successfully.
- 4. Educational institutions and curriculum developers will have a clearly defined target that industry has provided.
- 5. Less emphasis will be placed on a degree and more emphasis placed on job-related skills.

In recognition of the need for qualified entry-level personnel in this area, "Goals 2000: Educate America" includes the development of a Skills Standard for Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT) technicians. In the development of this standard a national advisory committee has been assembled to guide the project. The committee, consisting of 49 representatives (including representatives of various regulatory agencies), provides several different viewpoints. The composition of the advisory committee is shown in Table 1.

Hazardous Materials Management Technology Skills Standard Project

National Advisory Committee Membership 13 Industry 7 Consultant/Remediation 2 Municipal -3 Unions 6 Societies 2 Government -3 Military Colleges (Two- and Four-Year) 13

Table 1



This advisory committee has been commissioned by the federal government with the task of answering fundamental questions, such as:

Is an HMMT technician the person who responds to an emergency like a chemical spill or a fire? Or is that person a "firefighter" with some special skills?


Does an HMMT technician work with short-term emergency response, the clean-up or remediation of contaminated sites, or work on long-term pollution-prevention projects?

Does an HMMT technician need to possess knowledge of chemical hazards only, or is a knowledge of nuclear and/or biological hazards also expected?

How completely does an HMMT technician need to know the federal, state, and local environmental laws?

Does this person interpret regulations and apply them to a specific situation, or does the HMMT technician fill out and submit reports to various agencies?

Within the field of HMMT are different occupations which require different skills related to hazardous materials management. In some environmental occupations, a HMMT technician needs only a limited set of hazardous materials management skills while in other occupations a much more rigorous set of skills is required. Indeed, some occupations may even require that an HMMT technician obtain specialized skills in related occupational areas such as safety and health, management, regulations, laboratory operations, remediation, etc. This concept is illustrated in Figure 1 which begins by showing that all technicians need foundational skills related to communications, mathematics, science, logical reasoning and interpersonal relations. As occupational skills are acquired, a person may be employable in some hazardous materials management occupations even though that person does not have all the skills required to become a HMMT technician.



Environmental Science Compared to Hazardous Materials Management Technology



Copyright © 1994 All Rights Reserved

The definitions of HMMT vary depending on the needs of the prospective employer. Since it is a subset of the more general category of Environmental Science, it is instructive to explore some of the history of the development of this field.

Although employment in the environmental industry has begun to flatten out recently¹, in our opinion, the outlook still remains strong for opportunities in the field of Environmental Science. Environmental Engineering curricula at major colleges and universities across the United States used to be primarily a subsection of the Civil Engineering departments, providing a specialty in water-waste treatment and design (WWT/D). However, in the past several years, institutions of higher learning are beginning to place the Environmental Engineering curricula on its own as standalone departments of Environmental Engineering. The need for environmental technicians has paralleled the need for environmental engineers.

Qualified HMMT technicians are still receiving their training on the job. According to one study, on the whole "technicians (which, although not identified as such, includes HMMT technicians) get more education and training in preparation for their jobs and upgrading once they are on the job than any other occupational group ..."²

The authors of this paper have hired and trained four, non-professional HMMT technicians in the last four years due to the expansion of the industry. In each case, the resumes obtained as a result of our advertising for these positions were divided between those who were over-qualified with baccalaureate and masters degrees in engineering and geology and those who had no previous experience or education that would have qualified them prior for employment. The over-qualified people were not considered, which meant that it, therefore, became necessary to immediately send the individuals eventually hired to various seminars and training courses and to spend a great deal of time with them in the field in order to provide them with the necessary skills to perform their work.



¹ Camardo, Susan, "Carving Your Niche in the Environmental Industry, <u>Peterson's Job Opps '94.</u>

<u>The Environment.</u> Peterson's Guides, Princeton, N.J: 1993.

² Carnavale, Anthony P., et al, <u>Training the Technical Work Force</u>. Jossey-Bass, Inc., Publishers, San Francisco, CA: 1990, pg. 3.

HMMT Technician Defined

So, what is an HMMT technician and how does this individual fit into the field of Environmental Science? In differentiating technicians from technical professionals (that is to say, doctors, engineers and scientists), Anthony Carnavale, et al, states:

Technicians include employees whose primary expertise lies in a particular technical specialty area. While technicians have a considerable depth of knowledge and highly developed skills in their areas of expertise, they generally lack the breadth of knowledge in the theoretical aspects of their specialties that is required of technical professionals. Although many technicians are graduates of four year colleges, many have developed their skills and knowledge through technical or vocational schools, community colleges, or on-the-job training. After technical and non-technical professionals, technicians are the most highly educated and well-trained employees in the American workforce.

Technicians usually receive training that applies directly to their jobs. This training has its basis in theory but is focused more directly on the application of theory to the job than is training for technical professionals.³

According to the <u>Dictionary of Occupational Titles</u> (Chronicle Guidance Publications, 1985, pg. 4.), HMMT technicians are individuals who:

... provide information and advice on ways to collect, transport, handle, store, and dispose of toxic wastes. They help monitor and direct the cleanup of land, water, and air. These technicians survey industries to learn what disposal methods they use. They look at hazardous waste treatment disposal from the standpoint of both effectiveness and cost. From their findings, they make recommendations for ways to collect, move, store, treat, and dispose of wastes. They offer advice and technical aid to members of industry and government.

To help protect people and the environment, HMMT technicians, especially those who work for the state or federal government, draft rules and regulations for handling hazardous waste. They also help develop programs to prevent spills of hazardous waste. They review company or agency plans for spill prevention, and they suggest changes in those plans. They help develop regulations for the reporting of spills and for measuring environmental damage caused by those spills.⁴

We submit that HMMT technicians are highly skilled and knowledgeable individuals who are trained to use technical applications of theory to specific tasks. As such, they may work with professionals who are trained in the understanding of theory to develop and implement tasks that relate to the field of Environmental Science. This is not to say that HMMT technicians do not have

⁴ Hudis, Paula M., et al, "Educational Needs and Employment Trends of Environmental Hazardous Materials Technicians and Related Workers," National Center for Research in Vocational Education (NCRVE) University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA: December 1992, pg. 71.



Copyright © 1994 All Rights Reserved

³ ibid., pg. 11.

an understanding of the theoretical concepts, only to imply that their experience and training is directed more toward the implementation of the theory than the actual concepts behind the practice itself. As such, HMMT technicians fall well within the field of Environmental Science as a major, necessary, and highly employable subset.

Tasks Performed by HMMT Technicians

This project defines areas of specialization for HMMT technicians. The tasks performed can span a range of activities. Because of this multiplicity of tasks, in order to provide some parameters, the Advisory committee has *arbitrarily*, based on our experience, grouped the activities of an HMMT technician into the following four subsections:

- Laboratory/Analytical Technicians (LAT): The primary area of specialty and
 focus for this individual is the analysis and testing of chemical compounds in a
 laboratory setting. The tasks this person may be required to undertake may
 range from the initial preparation of samples for analytical testing to the
 operation of complex and highly sensitive instrumentation.
- 2. Compliance/Regulations Technicians (CRT): This individual's primary area of specialty and focus is in the interpretation and implementation of regulations to ensure compliance of the same in industry. The tasks this person may be asked to undertake may range from inspection to enforcement, and to the writing of new regulations as needs arise and situations change.
- 3. Field Operations/Remediation Technicians (FORT): The FORT's primary area of specialty and focus is in the practical aspects of working with chemical, biological and nuclear hazards and materials in the field. The tasks this person may be asked to undertake may range from the collection of samples, data and information to the design and implementation of remedial and corrective actions.
- 4. Transportation/Storage/Disposal Technicians (TSDT): This individual's primary area of specialty and focus is in the methods and techniques for safe, effective and efficient treatment, storage and disposal of chemical, biological and nuclear materials and wastes. The tasks this person may be asked to undertake may range from the handling of hazardous materials and wastes to the design and implementation of effective treatment and disposal methods.



A Typical Day in the Life of a HMMT Technician

As previously stated, the tasks performed by an HMMT technician can span a wide range of activities. The above groupings have been arbitrarily arrived at in an effort to provide some parameters for this assessment. Based on our experience in working in the field, we recognize that to attempt to finely divide the tasks performed into one category or another is impractical. These individuals are called upon by their employers to be multifaceted, and there is no such thing as a typical day in the life of any of the above-stated groupings of individuals.

However, borrowing from the approach described by Paula M. Hudis, et al,⁶ there do appear to be some broad ranges of activities under which the activities of our groupings for HMMT technicians may fall. In that light, we offer the following matrix as an understanding of the tasks they may be called upon to undertake in a typical day.

	HMMT TECHNICIAN			
ACTIVITY	LAT	CRT	FORT	TSDT
Remediation	*	*	**	**
Corrective Activities	*	**	*	*
Waste Treatment and Management	*	*	*	**
Source Minimization and Recycling	*	*		**
Disposal Activities	*	*	*	**
Monitoring Activities	*	*	** (*
Transportation Activities	*	*	*	**
Emergency Response Activities	*	*	**	*

^{*} Area of Specialization

Figure 2

HMMT Specialties and Where Employment is Anticipated The Environmental Industry

While the rate of employment in the environmental industry is flattening, in our opinion the outlook still remains strong for employment opportunities in the field of Environmental Science. Susan Camardo, writing in <u>Peterson's Job Opps '94.</u>⁷ states that the environmental industry "had been riding high in the mid to late 1980s, with growth estimated at anywhere from 16 to 30 percent per year. But about two years ago, the growth rate slowed dramatically, to 2.1 percent in 1991 and 3.9 percent in 1992. Grant Ferrier, editor of <u>The Environmental Business Journal</u>, predicts that the industry will remain flat throughout 1993, with an upturn starting in 1994. He doesn't see the environmental industry returning to its former double-digit growth levels but projects that growth over the next five years will average 5 to 7 percent." Ms. Camardo offers several reasons for the slowdown of the environmental industry. These include: 1) the maturation of the industry, 2) the increase in competition, 3) a shakeout and consolidation of companies within the industry, and 4)

⁷ Camardo, pp. 6-7.



^{**} Primary Area of Specialization

⁶ ibid., pp. 71-74.

the postponement of environmental spending by industry due to prevailing economic forces. However, she notes that a steady increase in the number of jobs in the environmental industry over the past several years has been charted by others. In 1988, there were 793,159 jobs. This number has changed to 1,073,397 as of 1992. The expectations are that the employment figure will rise further to 1,327,150 jobs in 1997.

Ms. Camardo indicates that the "industry segments that look particularly strong in the near term are:

- Environmental energy sources (solar, wind, geothermal, and other forms of alternative energy)
- Air pollution control (air quality management, equipment manufacturing)
- Resource recovery (post-consumer and post-industrial recyclers and scrap dealers, waste-to-energy plants)
- Waste management
- Environmental testing and analysis
- Environmental consulting

The most sluggish segment is expected to be asbestos abatement, due to continuing softness in commercial real estate sales, which historically account for a third of this segment's market."

In discussing the "Industry of Tomorrow," Ms. Camardo states:

Perhaps the most important trend experts see developing is a shift in the forces driving the environmental business. Up to now, growth has been fueled by corporate America's needs to comply with extensive and often complex environmental regulations ... As a result, firms specializing in environmental cleanup, especially those in the waste management area, have made up the largest and strongest part of the industry. And the price tag for this cleanup has been tremendous.

But now, more and more attention is being focused on the other side of the environmental equation—prevention. Not only is this a necessary step to take in the preservation of the planet, but it also makes good business sense. Pollution prevention relies on using more efficient processes to reduce wastes while producing more product per unit of raw material

Jeffery Leonard, president of the Global Environment Fund, L.P., which focuses on investments that promote environmental improvement, estimates that more than half of United States environmental spending by the year 2000 will spring from non-regulatory factors rather than anti pollution laws. According to Michael Silverstein of Environmental Economics, "The real action is going to be in avoiding environmental expenditures rather than making them."

... One thing is certain—whatever form they take, environmental jobs are here to stay. (emphasis ours) ...



Potential Environmental Employers⁸

Based on our observations and the sources we consulted for this paper, potential environmental employers appear to fall into two broad categories: Those who provide environmental services and those who generate hazardous wastes and materials.

Environmental service "includes companies that provide private firms and government entities with environmental waste management, hazardous waste removal, and environmental management services as well as related laboratory and environmental equipment services. These firms reportedly represent a \$132 billion business in the United States, employing about 814,000 workers."

Those who generate hazardous wastes and materials include businesses and industries that "are rarely classified as environmental entities. Instead, they may include manufacturers, agricultural processors, mining operations, public utilities, and national energy laboratories. These hazardous waste generating organizations employ about as many individuals in environmental jobs as do environmental services firms. In combination, these two sectors of the environmental industry include nearly two million American workers, about 1.5 percent of the employed civilian workforce."

Related to Our Subgroups

If, as previously reported, the trend in the next several years will be away from remedial activities and toward prevention, it would seem obvious that all the subgroups we have identified would benefit.

It may be less obvious that the FORT subgroup will find the possibilities of employment increasing at the same pace as the other three subgroups. However, we contend that this group will see an increase in employment, as well. Few of the Superfund sites in the United States have been remediated since Superfund's inception in 1986. In the state of Florida alone some have estimated that over 10,000 underground storage tanks exist. Of these, it has also been widely estimated that approximately one-quarter are leaking their contents into the surrounding environment. At an industry-wide accepted standard of \$150,000 to \$250,000 to effectively remediate a site involving underground storage tank discharges, a total of between \$375 million and \$625 million could be required to clean up the contamination present from these sites alone. Presently, the state of Florida has been setting aside approximately \$19 million each year for the clean up of sites contaminated by leaking underground storage tanks. Even doubling or tripling the annual amount of dollars available from the state of Florida would not begin to significantly decrease the number of years it would take to remediate these sites.

Based on our experience, we have learned that each situation, while different, is not necessarily unique. Therefore, while underground storage tank cleanup may not be the major concern in another part of the United States, we assume that other situations and conditions exist elsewhere that will be of vital concern on a per capita basis to that area. Therefore, we assume that FORT opportunities will continue to exist in the foreseeable future.

⁸ Hudis, Paula M., et al, pp. 67-68.



Copyright © 1994 All Rights Reserved


In regard to the two major potential employers identified earlier, we expect that the services of all four subgroups will be required by both segments. This expectation is based on the activities that we have defined for these subgroups and the inter-related needs of the two segments of potential environmental employers.

Principle Skills Required for Each Subgroup

The principle skills required for technicians in each subgroup as we have defined them are not to be confused with those skills which we consider to be basic skills such as written and verbal communication skills, teamwork and physical ability. The principle skills consist of those skills which are necessary on a higher level so that the HMMT technician can effectively perform the tasks required.

As seems obvious from Figure 2, the tasks each subgroup HMMT technician may be required to undertake in a typical day overlap the tasks of the other subgroups. That is to say, none of the subgroups appears to be able to claim sole ownership of the activities offered. In our estimation, this means that the principle skills must be shared across the subgroup boundaries. It does seem obvious that the LAT should possess a well-developed principle skill level in laboratory testing techniques. However, it is also apparent that the FORT should possess an understanding of and appreciation for laboratory practices in order to provide the LAT with a sample for analysis or to develop a sampling program.

In short, what we are saying is that, while each subgroup may find it necessary to develop a keen understanding or skill level in a particular area, it is also necessary for the subgroups to share common abilities and understandings.



Identify and Categorize

The goal of the current project is to identify and categorize job requirements. It is not to produce a training curriculum. In addition, this project does not attempt to associate the skills with any particular type of school or degree. We do expect that the required skills can be grouped into specific categories as shown in Figure 3. Even though a specific certification or degree does not guarantee the acquisition of these skills, we do expect that a minimum of an Associate of Science or an Associate of Applied Science degree may be necessary.

CURRICULUM CONCEPT




Figure 3

Bio-Chemical Core Skills are required by many technologies grounded in Biology or Chemistry. It is, however, necessary to analyze these very carefully because the same topic may need to be understood at different levels. For example, a chemical laboratory technician may need to understand that pH is a logarithmic expression that defines the hydrogen ion concentration. This technician may also need to understand and manipulate the relationship pH = -log H+. An HMMT technician may need to understand that pH is a measure of acidity or alkalinity with a value of 7 being neutral. However, an HMMT technician may not need to know how to manipulate the formula, only how to take soil or water samples, measure their pH with a given meter, and verify that the value is within acceptable limits.



Copyright © 1994 All Rights Reserved

 \circ

CONCLUSIONS

The HMMT study identifies basic skills that technicians must possess to be effective as entry-level personnel in the environmental field. These skills have been identified by a national advisory committee through a grant from the federal government, Departments of Education and Labor. The identification of these basic Skills Standard was achieved through a cooperative effort of people involved in the many facets of the environmental industry, government, and education.

Additional specialty skills may be developed or required because of an individual's interests, onthe-job training, or the unique requirements of the employer. These additional skills would represent advanced skill levels acquired after employment.

Further assessment and identification by a HMMT National Advisory Committee whose mission would be to certify that individuals have achieved the skills needed to meet minimum industry-based skill requirements may be desirable. The task of standardizing the Skills Standard by developing a conscientious and investigative certification processes to verify that they have been acquired represents additional work beyond the original scope of the project.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Carnavale, Anthony P., Leila J. Gainer and Eric R. Schulz, *Training the Technical Work Force*, Jossey-Bass, Inc., Publishers, San Francisco, CA: 1990.

Hudis, Paula M., Scott L. Garland, Jason R. Matlof and Kathleen L. Vork, "Educational Needs and Employment Trends of Environmental Hazardous Materials Technicians and Related Workers," National Center for Research in Vocational Education, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA: December 1992.

"Needs Analysis and Curriculum Guide for Hazardous Materials Management Technician Training," the Texas State Technical Institute and the Center for Occupational Research and Development, Waco, TX: October 1990.

Peterson's Job Opps '94, The Environment, Peterson's Guides, Princeton, NJ: 1993.



Hazardous Materials Management Technicians

Skills Standards

Workshop for Educators

SITE VISITS

Participants will be able to choose one of the following sites for a short 1 1/2 hour visit on Monday afternoon. The visit will include a short plant tour with a plant manager who has responsibility in the environmental area and a question and answer session. Please be ready to put on appropriate safety attire furnished for the tour and to walk around on the production floor.

Allergan, Inc.

8301 Mars Dr.

Waco, TX

Irving H. Rade

Environmental Health & Safety

Administrator

Allergan is an international producer of eye care products. Major plant production is lens care solutions. The plant still has a line of contact lenses, but most of their production has been sold to another company. The plant is the manufacturer and distribution center for all Allergan products in the United States. The plant population is 310 full time employees and an average of 200 temporary employees.

Marathon Power Technologies 8301 Imperial Drive

Waco, TX

Gilbert H. Vanderwerken

Manager,

Environmental/Safety & Health

Marathon manufactures nickel cadmium batters. Major product line is industrial batteries for airplanes and very large machinery. The plant has about 300 employees.

Plantation Foods 2510 E. Lake Shore Dr.

Waco, Texas

Dr. Jim Cooper, DVM


Manager, Technical Administration

Keith White, PhD

Corporate Ergonomist

Plantation Foods produce turkey meat products in the processed food market. The plant processes approximately 30,000 turkeys a day which go into a large number of product lines. Plantations Foods also owns farms or contracts to produce all of their birds. The plant has about 1,400 employees.







SKILLS STANDARD— THE-SCHOOL-TO-WORK CONNECTION

A Presentation to

The Hazardous Materials Management Technicians
Skills Standard Dissemination
Workshop for Educators

Roney Teaching Center Waco, Texas

October 4, 1994

Dr. Walter Edling, Vice President for Service Programs Center for Occupational Research and Development P.O. Box 21689, Waco, Texas 76702-1689 817/772-8756 800/972-2766



Evolution of the Teaching/Learning Process

- I. The Changing Mission of Education
 - A. Transmission of culture-Historical
 - B. Preparation of citizenship-Literacy (1900)
 - C. Preparation for continuing education (1945)
 - D. Education for work-education for everyone (1990)
- II. Implications for the Educational Process
 - A. Challenges to and assumptions about teaching/learning
 - B. What we are discovering about teaching/learning
 - 1. Contextual learning
 - 2. Forms of intelligence
 - 3. Learning styles
 - 4. Motivation
- III. Implications for Curriculum
 - A. Contextual or applied materials
 - B. Integrated curricula
 - C. Work-based learning
 - D. Worksite learning
 - E. Educational standards
 - 1. Academic standards
 - 2. Skill standards
 - 3. SCANS skills



* The Narrowing Pyramid

For every 100 students in grade 5:

- 图图图图图图图图图图图图 99 will enter grade 9
 - 圆圆圆圆圆圆圆圆圆圆圆
- (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (5) (4) Ill graduate 圆圆圆圆圆 47 will enter college
- (2) (2) only 24 will earn bachelor's degree

National Center for Education Statistics, Oct 1991



い こ ご

... American schooling sequesters students from the real world,

- breaks knowledge down artificially into theoretical disciplines,
- breaks disciplines down into component pieces,
- and demands that students commit fragments of knowledge to memory.
- Applications are reserved for pen-andpaper exercises at the back of the chapter.
- Interdisciplinary applications are rare, and applications in the context of working groups are even more rare.

America and the New Economy
Anthony Patrick Carnevale
ASTD/USDOL, pg. 14, 1991



F133

ORD

FIVE ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT LEARNING—ALL WRONG

- 1. THAT PEOPLE PREDICTABLY TRANSFER LEARNING FROM ONE SITUATION TO ANOTHER.
- 2. THAT LEARNERS ARE PASSIVE RECEIVERS OF WISDOM—VESSELS INTO WHICH KNOWLEDGE IS POURED.
- 3. THAT LEARNING IS THE STRENGTHENING OF BONDS BETWEEN STIMULI AND CORRECT RESPONSES.
- 4. THAT LEARNERS ARE BLANK SLATES ON WHICH KNOWLEDGE IS INSCRIBED.
- 5. THAT SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE, TO BE TRANSFERABLE TO NEW SITUATIONS, SHOULD BE ACQUIRED INDEPENDENT OF THEIR CONTEXTS OF USES.

REF: Sue E. Berryman, Director, Institute on Education and the Economy, Columbia University.



Independent of Context





ORD

The Nature of Intelligence

Howard Gardner, Professor of Education Harvard University

Seven Forms of Intelligence

- Linguistic
- Logical/Mathematical
- Musical
- Spatial
- Kinesthetic
- Interpersonal
- Intrapersonal





Learning Styles

D.A. Kolb MIT School of Management

Experiential Learners

FEELING

Accommodator

Learns best by

experiencing and doing

Diverger

Learns best by watching

and experiencing

DOING

WATCHING

Converger
Learns best by
thinking and doing

Assimilator
Learns best by
watching and thinking

THINKING

Analytical Learners






Literacy and Intrinsic Motivation

Dr. Mihaly Csikszentmihalya Chairman, Behavioral Science University of Chicago

- The chief impediments to literacy are not that students <u>cannot</u> learn; it is that they do not <u>want</u> to. If educators tried to stimulate the students' enjoyment of learning, we could achieve much better results.
- Computers follow logical steps as long as they are plugged in; people think logically only when they feel like it.
- Literacy is the ability to code and decode information preserved in memory systems outside the brain. Illiterates are not necessarily less smart; rather, they are excluded from access to information contained in a particular set of symbols.
- What people enjoy the most in their lives is almost never something passive, like watching television or being entertained.
- Four ways to destroy spontaneous interest
 - Impose rules, procedures, time constraints
 - 2. Emphasize evaluation
 - 3. Emphasize competition
 - 4. Make the individual self-conscious

(Schools follow this prescription closely)





What Do We Know About the Learning Process?

- Most people learn best in an experiential manner involving personal participation, physical or handson activities and opportunities for personal discovery.
- Learning is greatly enhanced when concepts are presented in a context involving relationships that are familiar to the student.
- Most people relate better to concrete, tangible examples and experiences as opposed to abstract, conceptual models.
- Most people are extroverted learners and learn best through interpersonal communication, group learning, sharing, mutual support, team processes and positive reinforcement.
- Rote memorization is an inefficient and ineffective learning strategy.
- Transfer of learning from one situation to another is not consistently predictable and the ability to do so is a skill in itself to be learned.



Characteristics of Good Educational Practice

- 1. High Expectations
- 2. Coherence in Learning
- 3. Synthesizing Experiences
- 4. Integration of Education and Experience
- 5. Active Learning
- 6. Ongoing Practice of Learned Skills
- 7. Assessment of Prompt Feedback
- 8. Collaborative Learning
- 9. Considerable Time on Task
- 10. Respect for Diverse Talents and Ways of Knowing
- 11. Frequent Student—Faculty Contact
- 12. Emphasis on the Early Years of Study

Source: O'Banion, Terry et al. Teaching & Learning in the Community College. Washington D.C. American Association of Community Colleges, 1994.






Tech Prep A Broad Definition

Tech Prep is a set of principles that guide a process of curriculum reform leading to desired improvements in the educational system.






Integration in Tech Prep Curricula



ERIC Full fext Provided by ERIC

CURRICULUM DESIGN PROCESS



SCAN Skills

Five Competencies

Resources: Identifies, organizes, plans, and allocates resources

- A. *Time*—Selects goal-relevant activities, ranks them, allocates time, and prepares and follows schedules
- B. Money—Uses or prepares budgets, makes forecasts, keeps records, and makes adjustments to meet objectives
- C. Material and Facilities—Acquires, stores, allocates, and uses materials or space efficiently
- D. Human Resources—Assesses skills and distributes work accordingly, evaluates performance and provides feedback

Interpersonal: Works with others

- A. Participates as Member of a Team-contributes to group effort
- B. Teaches Others New Skills
- C. Serves Clients/Customers—works to satisfy customers' expectations
- D. Exercises Leadership—communicates ideas to justify position, persuades and convinces others, responsibly challenges existing procedures and policies
- E. Negotiates—works toward agreements involving exchange of resources, resolves divergent interests
- F. Works with Diversity—works well with men and women from diverse backgrounds

Information: Acquires and uses information

- A. Acquires and Evaluates Information
- B. Organizes and Maintains Information
- C. Interprets and Communicates Information
- D. Uses Computers to Process Information.

Systems: Understands complex inter-relationships

- A. Understands Systems—knows how social, organizational, and technological systems work and operates effectively with them
- B. Monitors and Corrects Performance—distinguishes trends, predicts impacts on system operations, diagnoses deviations in systems' performance and corrects malfunctions
- C. Improves or Designs Systems—suggests modifications to existing systems and develops new or alternative systems to improve performance



Technology: Works with a variety of technologies

- A. Selects Technology—chooses procedures, tools or equipment including computers and related technologies
- B. Applies Technology to Task—Understands overall intent and proper procedures for setup and operation of equipment
- C. Maintains and Troubleshoots Equipment—Prevents, identifies, or solves problems with equipment, including computers and other technologies

What Work Requires of Schools. A SCANS Report for America 2000. A Letter to Parents, Employers and Educators. The Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills, U.S. Department of Labor

SCAN Skills

A Three-Part Foundation

Basic Skills: Reads, writes, performs arithmetic and mathematical operations, listens and speaks

- A. Reading—locates, understands, and interprets written information in prose and in documents such as manuals, graphs, and schedules
- B. Writing—communicates thoughts, ideas, information, and messages in writing; and creates documents such as letters, directions, manuals, reports, graphs, and flow charts
- C. Arithmetic/Mathematics—performs basic computations and approaches practical problems by choosing appropriately from a variety of mathematical techniques
- D. Listening—receives, attends to, interprets, and responds to verbal messages and other cues
- E. Speaking—organizes ideas and communicates orally

Thinking Skills: Thinks creatively, makes decisions, solves problems, visualizes, knows how to learn, and reasons

- A. Creative Thinking—generates new ideas
- B. Decision Making—specifies goals and constraints, generates alternatives, considers risks, and evaluates and chooses best alternative
- C. Problem Solving—recognizes problems and devises and implements plan of action
- D. Seeing Things in the Minds' Eye—organizes, and processes symbols, pictures, graphs, objects, and other information
- E. Knowing How to Learn—uses efficient learning techniques to acquire and apply new knowledge and skills
- F. Reasoning—discovers a rule or principle underlying the relationship between two or more objects and applies it when solving a problem



Personal Qualities: Displays responsibility, self-esteem, sociability, self-management, and integrity and honesty

- A. Responsibility—exerts a high level of effort and perseveres towards goal attainment
- B. Self-Esteem—believes in own self-worth and maintains a positive view of self
- C. Sociability—demonstrates understanding, friendliness, adaptability, empathy, and politeness in group settings
- D. Self-Management—assesses self accurately, sets personal goals, monitors progress, and exhibits self-control
- E. Integrity/Honesty—chooses ethical courses of action

What Work Requires of Schools. A SCANS Report for America 2000. A Letter to Parents, Employers and Educators. The Secretary's Commission on Achieving Necessary Skills, U.S. Department of Labor



Hazardous Materials Management Technician Skills Standard

Revisions to Initial Working Draft (Not for Dissemination)

October 6, 1994



JOB FUNCTION: (A)

Assist in the evaluation of hazardous materials and hazardous waste sample data.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

- Perform mathematical calculations following existing formulae and reference materials
- Prepare maps, plans, graphs, charts, curves, and spreadsheets from plotted and tabulated data
- Collect, tabulate, and assist in the evaluation of data using appropriate techniques and technology such as:

computers calculators spreadsheets graphics data bases

- Check laboratory and/or field sample analysis by comparison to regulatory limits
- Document and evaluate meter and gauge reading trends and implement appropriate actions
- Read and interpret maps and blueprints



JOB FUNCTION: (B)

Safely handle hazardous materials and hazardous wastes.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

- Use chemical reference materials to obtain information on proper chemical handling
- Communicate with suppliers to obtain product information
- Recognize, apply, and respond appropriately to chemical hazard information
- Direct personnel in the proper handling and control of hazardous materials and hazardous wastes
- Identify and implement safe ergonomic controls and procedures
- Recognize, apply, and respond appropriately to chemical hazard information
- Demonstrate safe handling procedures for chemical containers such as:
 - Drums
- Portable and stationary tanks
- Bulk containers
- Identify and respond to emergencies, alarms, and abnormal situations in accordance with written procedures
- Identify and implement safe chemical handling procedures such as:
 - Bonding

Ventilation

Grounding

Storage

Vapor control

Fire control

Provide on-the-job training as required



JOB FUNCTION: (C)

Respond to hazardous materials and waste emergency situation in accordance with regulatory requirements.

- Participate as a member of an emergency response team
- Ensure that adequate spill control and equipment supplies are available at all times
- Implement necessary components of an emergency response plan
- Participate in the development and revision of emergency response programs
- Demonstrate competency and maintain certification in first aid and CPR
- Follow guidelines for controlling leaks from containers
- Apply environmental considerations to emergency situations



JOB FUNCTION: (D)

Operate equipment related to hazardous materials and hazardous waste operations.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

 Identify and describe the safe and proper use of equipment such as:

Drum crushers

Heavy equipment

Hand tools

Power tools

Motorized lifting devices

Pumps, valves, and meters

Monitoring and sampling equipment and instrumentation

• Demonstrate safe and proper practices in the use of equipment such as:

Drum crushers

Heavy equipment

Hand tools

Power tools

Motorized lifting devices

Pumps, valves, and meters

Monitoring and sampling equipment and instrumentation

- Identify, describe, and use appropriate equipment decontamination procedures
- Identify, describe, and use appropriate operations and maintenance procedures, plans, and manuals
- Identify, describe, and use appropriate health and safety equipment such as:

Fire extinguishers, vehicles, and equipment

Eye wash and safety showers

First aid

Communication systems



JOB FUNCTION: (E)

Identify and label hazardous materials and hazardous waste in accordance with regulatory requirements.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

 Identify, characterize, and label hazardous materials by chemical and physical properties, such as:

- toxicity - specific gravity

flammability
 corrosivity
 reactivity
 density
 viscosity
 color

 Identify and characterize hazardous wastes according to regulatory standards such as:

ignitability

- TCLP toxicity

corrosivity

- acute toxicity

reactivity

- Provide proper labeling instructions for hazardous wastes
- Use chemical reference materials to obtain identification and labeling information
- Check for correct labels and MSDS when shipment is received
- Label containers of repackaged materials with appropriate warnings and expiration information
- Direct personnel in the proper identification and labeling of hazardous materials
- Communicate with suppliers to obtain identification and labeling information



JOB FUNCTION: (F)

Calibrate, operate and maintain instrumentation.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

- Evaluate and record meter and gauge readings
- Perform routine maintenance of equipment and instrumentation
- Operate gages, meters, monitoring and sampling instrumentation
- Calibrate and operate field and laboratory instrumentation such as:

Air monitoring instrumentation Groundwater monitoring instrumentation Surface water monitoring instrumentation Soil monitoring instrumentation Solid waste monitoring instrumentation

• Identify the need for and comply with factory calibration



JOB FUNCTION: (G)

Compile, record, and maintain required documents for hazardous materials and hazardous waste management activities.

- Compile and maintain a hazardous materials inventory
- Compile and maintain documentation of hazardous materials, such as:
 - field notebooks
 - laboratory data
 - vendor invoices
 - purchase orders
 - Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS)
 - manifests
 - shipping documents
 - exception reports
 - chain of custody
 - equipment calibration and maintenance
 - incident documentation
- Compile and maintain records to prepare compliance reports
- Ensure current Material Safety Data Sheets are available in the workplace
- Operate and maintain auditable recordkeeping systems in accordance with regulatory requirements
- Conduct and maintain a hazardous waste inventory
- Communicate with suppliers to obtain product information
- Identify and maintain an inventory of empty and full containers
- Compile and maintain personal health and safety records



JOB FUNCTION: (H)

Implement procedures to comply with appropriate regulations.

- Read and apply regulatory standards to assure compliance in operations
- Obtain hazardous materials and hazardous waste permits and/or approvals
- Describe the regulatory process from the introduction of a bill to the promulgation of a regulation
- Identify and describe the penalties for noncompliance
- Differentiate between federal, state and local hazardous materials and hazardous waste regulations and identify appropriate regulatory agencies
- Identify the regulatory changes and the impact they have on the operation
- Comply with federal, state, and local hazardous materials and hazardous waste regulations
- Conduct audits and inspections to ensure waste management activities are in compliance with local, state and federal regulatory regulations
- Follow written company standard operating procedures
- Comply with federal, state, and local health and safety regulations
- Identify sources of current or timely regulatory information



JOB FUNCTION: (I)

Implement applicable safety regulations and procedures.

- Demonstrate safe health and work habits
- Identify and respond appropriately to unsafe work conditions and situations
- Read and implement regulatory standards and guidance relative to worker safety and health such as:
 - Lockout/Tagout
 - Confined Space
 - Hearing Conservation
 - Blood-borne pathogens
 - Fire safety
 - Emergency egress
- Identify and describe unsafe workplace and job conditions and implement corrective actions



JOB FUNCTION: (J)

Select and use appropriate personal protective equipment and respiratory protection.

- Utilize and interpret chemical reference materials in the selection of appropriate personal protective equipment and respirators
- Communicate with suppliers and manufacturers to obtain product information
- Identify, describe, and use personal protective equipment that is appropriate to the work conditions
- Identify and describe the elements of respiratory and personal protective equipment plans
- Identify, describe, and use respiratory protection that is appropriate to the work conditions
- Identify, describe, and use appropriate decontamination and disposal procedures for respirators and personal protective equipment
- Identify and describe hazards associated with the use and limitations of personal protective equipment and respiratory protection
- Maintain and inspect Personal Protective Equipment and respiratory protection according to regulations



JOB FUNCTION: (K)

Collect, prepare, document, and ship samples for analysis.

Supporting knowledge/skills:

- Perform and document sampling for waste characterization purposes
- Perform field tests according to instructions and procedures
- Calibrate and operate as required field test equipment such as:
 - Hand augers
 - Split spoons
 - Bailers
 - Pumps
 - Organic vapor analyzers
 - Air monitoring equipment
- In accordance with instructions and/or procedure, collect samples such as:
 - soil

- air

surface water

- solid wastes

groundwater

- bulk materials

- Identify and control for potential sample interferences
- Decontaminate equipment in accordance with quality control/quality assurance procedures
- Identify and describe the appropriate use, limitations, and applications for sampling equipment such as:
 - Organic Vapor Analyzer
 - Combustible Gas Indicator
 - Colorimetric Indicators
- Perform personal exposure monitoring in accordance with appropriate standards such as:
 - Threshold Limit Value-Biological Exposure Indices
 - Radiation dosimetry
 - Oxygen monitoring
 - Noise monitors
 - Temperature extremes
- Prepare and ship samples to laboratory



JOB FUNCTION: (L)

Transport and store hazardous materials and hazardous waste in accordance with applicable regulations.

- Monitor documentation related to the shipment of hazardous materials and hazardous wastes
- Identify incompatible combinations of chemicals that could result in potentially dangerous situations
- Label containers with appropriate identification and expiration information
- Safely package, load, document, and ship hazardous materials and hazardous waste in compliance with appropriate regulations
- Inspect hazardous waste storage areas for compliance with appropriate rules and regulations
- Properly segregate and store incompatible hazardous materials and hazardous wastes
- Read and interpret flow diagrams, schematics, and blueprints



JOB FUNCTION: (M)

Operate hazardous materials and hazardous waste treatment and disposal systems.

Supporting Knowledge/Skills:

- Record and maintain documentation of operations activities
- Follow appropriate plans such as:

Health and Safety Plan Initial Sampling Plan Assessment Plan Remediation Plan

Risk Assessment Site Closure Plan Waste Minimization Plan

 Assist and contribute to the development and revision of plans and reports such as:

Health and Safety Plan Assessment Plan Remediation Plan Risk Assessment Site Closure Plan

- Prepare and maintain hazardous waste manifests and associated documents for inspection
- Select appropriate drums and containers
- Implement good housekeeping practices in the workplace
- Check and document activities of waste treatment and disposal contractors
- Working individually or with others, develop improvements in the reduction, reuse, recycling, or disposal of wastestreams
- Coordinate collection and disposal of empty containers
- Prepare accumulated hazardous waste for disposal
- Identify and describe treatment, removal and disposal systems such as:

volatile organic compounds

vitrification

incineration

deep-well injection

bio-remediation

- chemical and physical treatment
- Identify and describe hazards associated with abatement such as:
 - asbestos

fiberglass

- lead
- Identify and describe hazards associated with treatment, removal, and disposal systems and operations
- Provide on-the-job training as required



The NIST Manufacturing Extension Partnership - Environmental Strategies

presented to the
HMMT Skill Standards Development Project Meeting
10/4/94
by Chuck Ernst, NCATC/NIST Liaison - 301-975-5034

What is the Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP)?

 The MEP is an external program of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) designed to build a nationwide system of technology services for helping small and medium sized manufacturers (SMEs) become more competitive.



Why is it important to help SMEs?

- Manufacturing productivity is correlated with the national standard of living; SMEs account for nearly half of US industrial output.
- Manufacturing jobs have a higher multiplier than other jobs.
- There are 370,000 SMEs (firms with less than 500 employees make up 98% of all manufacturing establishments).
- SMEs contribute more than half the value-added in manufacturing in the nation.
- SMEs employ 65% of all manufacturing employees (over 8 million jobs) and account for 75% of the new jobs in manufacturing.
- SMEs supply many of the components used by larger firms.

What is MEP's Environmental Objective?

• To enable smaller manufacturers to implement technologies and techniques which allow them to be environmentally competitive.



What are the environmental challenges facing small manufacturers?

- SMEs lack awareness of commercial technologies or techniques which could enable them to be more environmentally competitive.
- New environmental requirements are often implemented before technologies and techniques for compliance are commercially available.
- SMEs often are unaware of the environmental requirements they must meet.
- Environmental regulations and enforcement methodologies sometimes prevent the use of technologies or techniques which would enable an SME to be environmentally sound in a competitive way.
- SMEs often lack available capital to invest in technologies or techniques which would allow them to be environmentally competitive.
- SMEs are sometimes uninterested in addressing environmental issues because they believe it will yield little benefit for their companies.

What will MEP try to do?

- Assist SMEs to
 - Implement appropriate advanced technology and techniques
 - Adopt best manufacturing and management practices
 - Adopt modern workforce training and organization approaches
- Provide a nationwide system for manufacturing modernization, building on existing organizations (like community colleges and vocational-technical centers), resources, and experience



How will MEP seek to meet the goals?

- Establish 100 Manufacturing Extension Centers (MECs) by 1997 (35 have cooperative agreements now; more than 100 community colleges and vocational-technical centers are involved in these plans)
 - Manufacturing Technology Centers (MTCs; 16 exist now, of which 9 are new)
 - Manufacturing Outreach Centers (MOCs; 19 exist now, but all are new)
- State Technology Extension Program (STEP)
- LINKS

What are MEP's environmental strategies?

- Increase access to and use of high quality environmental technical assistance
- Create access to seamless, coordinated environmental technical assistance
- Create, integrate and increase access to intellectual and informational tools
- Catalyze initiation of needed R&D
- · Catalyze changes in regulatory enforcement
- · Create mechanisms for reducing financial barriers



What are MEP's resources?

- \$90.6M in FY95 from the Department of Commerce (out of a total NIST budget of \$855M that also includes \$431M for the Advanced Technology Program [ATP])
- \$64.4M in FY95 from the Department of Defense (Technology Reinvestment Project [TRP] programs administered by MEP)

What do Manufacturing Extension Centers do?

- Assessment of company needs
- Assistance to companies undertaking fundamental reshaping
- Providing technical expertise through field engineers and consultants
- Integration of service delivery
- · Technical assistance projects
- Demonstration of hardware and software
- Assistance in selection of hardware, software, and training
- Providing links to technology developers (federal labs, universities, etc.)



MTC technical assistance projects (most recent quarter):

- quality/inspection 139
- business systems/management 84
- CAD/CAM/CAE 71
- market development 62
- plant layout/manufacturing cells 57
- material engineering 42
- process improvement 41
- · product development 28
- automation/robotics 28
- EDI/communications/LAN 27
- environmental 24
- other 16
- · control systems/integration 13

MECs need linkages to other agencies in related services:

- Workforce training and workforce organization (linkages to community colleges and vocationaltechnical centers needed)
- · Human resources issues
- Business system development
- Marketing
- Financing



Manufacturing Extension Center characteristics and outlook:

- MTCs (expected to grow to 30 over 4 years)
 - serve a region with 6,000 to 8,000 manufacturers
 - have a total budget of \$6M (1/2 1/3 federal)
 - have substantial technical/management resources
- MOCs (expected to grow to 70 over 4 years)
 - serve a region with 600-800 manufacturers
 - have a total budget of \$1M (1/2 1/3 federal)
- MECs have strong local ties and links to state infrastructure
- MECs have user fees that vary by center and service delivered

MEP strategies to increase access to environmental technical assistance:

- Integrate environmental services into manufacturing extension
- Develop environmentally-focused evaluation methodologies for extension activities
- Increase awareness of manufacturers and organizations which influence manufacturers
- Develop and utilize existing environmental training for field engineers



MEP strategies to create access to environmental technical assistance:

- Increase NIST/EPA coordination (MEP now has a visiting staff member from EPA)
- Increase NIST/DOE coordination (MEP now has visiting staff members from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and the Savannah River Facility)
- Increase NIST/MEP and state pollution prevention program coordination

MEP strategies to create intellectual and informational tools:

- Enhance electronic access to information on environmental technologies and techniques
- Enhance electronic access to information on environmental rules and regulations
- Create environmental benchmarks
- Pilot an industry-focused environmental resource center
- Develop other tools



MEP strategies to catalyze initiation of needed R&D:

- Assist interested organizations in setting environmentally focused R&D priorities
- Increase the rate of commercialization of new and improved environmental technologies

MEP strategies to catalyze changes in regulatory environment:

- Foster closer working relationships among technical assistance providers and state environmental regulatory agencies
- Use technical assistance providers for input in the EPA Source Reduction Review Project (SRRP) process



MEP strategies for reducing financial barriers:

- Enhance access to capital with information on financial options
- Build financial core competence to increase the likelihood of loan approval

Community college roles in MECs:

- Host MTCs (Cuyahoga CC, DesMoines Area CC)
- Have service agreements with MTCs (Lorain County CC, Grand Rapids JC, Midlands CC)
- Host field offices for MTCs (Iowa CCs)
- Host MOCs (Patrick Henry CC, Maricopa CC District)
- Provide outreach offices for MOCs (Northwest Wisconsin technical colleges)



STEP helps state technology extension programs by:

- funding and providing technical support for planning
- providing support for extension in states with sparse manufacturing
- building links among states and between states and federal technology sources

LINKS supports the MECs by:

- providing a national information infrastructure for communication and access to information (TECnet)
- · evaluation of extension activities
- training of field agents
- developing tools
- providing linkages with other national organizations



Community College Roles in STEP and LINKS:

- Partners in STEP grants (Bevill Center at Gadsden State CC, New Hampshire Technical Colleges)
- TRP award to the National Coalition of Advanced Technology Centers (NCATC) will include:
 - establishing electronic communication among the ATCs
 - providing the ATCs all of the sources and expertise available to the MECs through TECnet tools and their upgrades

MEP Points of Contact:

- Quality, Evaluation, and Training Ruth Haines (301)975-6454, ruth@micf.nist.gov
- Outreach/Linkages Gale Morse (301)975-4520, gale@micf.nist.gov
- LINKS Tom Walker (301)975-4176
- NCATC/NIST Liaison Chuck Ernst (301)975-5034, cernst@mep.nist.gov
- General Information (301)975-5020





PARTNERSHIP FOR ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION

6601 Owens Drive, Suite 235 Pleasanton, California 94588 (510) 225-0669 FAX: (510) 225-0679

For your information:

PROGRAM SUMMARY

July 30, 1994



A Community College Initiative Equal Opportunity Employers

ABSTRACT

PARTNERSHIP FOR ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION (PETE)

The need for broad cooperative effort directed toward the enhancement of science, mathematics and technical education, including environmental science and technology, has been recognized as a national priority by government, industry, and the academic community alike. In an effort to address this need, the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE) has been established as a national non-profit organization designed to link the technical resources of the DOE, DoD, EPA, and NASA Laboratories, federal and state agencies, private industry and professional societies with participating community colleges. PETE's programmatic focus is to assist in the development and presentation of curricula for training environmental technicians, to encourage more transfer students to pursue studies in environmental science, engineering and management at four-year institutions and to conduct special projects designed to enhance the participation of underrepresented minorities and women in environmental fields, promote technology transfer, etc. The PETE network, piloted originally in the five western states of Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada and Utah, now consists of six regional public/private partnerships serving all fifty states, Puerto Rico and the U. S. territories.



INTRODUCTION

The need for broad cooperative effort directed toward the enhancement of science, mathematics and technology education in the United States has been recognized as a national priority by government, industry and the academic community alike. Within the context of this broad need, the U. S. Department of Energy (DOE), the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Department of Defense (DoD) have defined "needs driven" or specific interests which require increasing the numbers of qualified graduates in areas of environmental science, engineering and management, including technicians, and fostering improved public literacy in environmental science and waste management. Carefully targeted education intervention programs are required if these important goals are to be realized.

There are approximately 1,200 community, technical and junior colleges in the U. S. with a 1992 student population of 6.0M. This does not include another 6.0M non-credit enrolled students attending these two-year institutions. On the basis of sheer numbers alone, these institutions represent a significant, nationwide resource that should play a key role in the conduct of a successful environmental protection/restoration and waste management education and training program.

Community colleges have been in the process of a major transition during the 1980s. They have moved toward a much stronger role in vocational education and in supporting U. S. industry. Despite this major shift toward vocational education, however, the nation's community colleges still represent a key transition point for millions of students (particularly minority students) between high school and the four-year institutions. Operating on a philosophy of higher education opportunity for all, with minimal entrance requirements and low cost, the community colleges afford the average high school student the opportunity to start college when they may not have qualified to enter a four-year institution, or may still be trying to decide the appropriate direction of their college careers. The community colleges also increasingly represent the easy access, low cost alternative for people already in the work force to return for continuing vocational training or retraining for new career directions.

For these reasons, most of the minority or other disadvantaged students presently pursuing post-secondary education in the U. S. today are attending a community college. An environmental education intervention program which recognizes current problems in the nation's education system and is geared to the realities of changing demographics must focus adequate programmatic attention on this pivotal segment of the education pipeline.

PETE'S MISSION

Provide leadership in environmental education and training through community and technical college partnerships with industry and government.

APPROACH

PETE has been established as a national non-profit organization consisting of six regional public/private partnerships. These regional partnerships are designed to link the technical resources of federal laboratories, state and federal agencies and the private sector with a network of community colleges to provide direct technical assistance for:

 Development and presentation of broad environmental technician curricula at the twoyear degree/certificate level,



576

- Development of clearly articulated education pipelines in environmental studies targeting the attraction of high school students and preparation of transfer students to four-year institutions,
- Conduct of special projects in support of national environmental education, training, job creation, and public scientific literacy goals.

PETE is designed to provide a permanent organizational framework, organized nationally, but implemented regionally, within which employers, federal laboratories, government and professional organizations can contribute to the development of strong, responsive community college environmental education and training networks. Once in place, the partners will draw upon this network for new employees, training services, and the support of special activities.

GOALS

- 1) Create permanent regional public-private partnerships to support a national network of community colleges delivering quality environmental education and training.
- 2) Develop and support quality community and technical college programs targeting environmental technicians.
- 3) Establish quality articulated programs creating an environmental education ladder from high school through the post graduate level.
- 4) Meet the environmental technician workforce education, training and retraining needs of the nation.
- 5) Stimulate economic development and international competitiveness through facilitating environmental technology transfer among U. S. business, industry and government.
- 6) Contribute to the improvement of global environmental quality through international programs and partnerships in environmental education and training.

NCRVE NATIONAL LABOR MARKET STUDY

The National Center for Research in Vocational Education, a part of the U. C. Berkeley Graduate School of Education, is a study center funded by the U. S. Department of Education. The Center has completed a two-year assessment of the projected national labor market demand and skills requirements for Environmental-Hazardous Materials Technicians and Related Workers on a matching funds basis as a part of its Department of Education-supported program. This study concluded, among other things, that there was a significant growing demand for properly prepared Associate of Science degree level technicians and "highly recommended that the community college system rigorously pursue advancement of such courses." The study was cosponsored by DOE (through PETE) and the US Department of Education.

PILOT PROGRAM

PETE was initiated in FY 1991 by the U. S. Department of Energy (DOE) on a pilot basis in the five western states of Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada and Utah. The purpose was to demonstrate the concept of establishing a public/private partnership on a regional scale that implemented the approach described above. The primary focus of effort during this pilot period was the establishment of the participating community college network, the supporting partners and the organizational framework necessary to ensure effective coordination and maximum cooperation. In cooperation with the State of California, PETE has assisted in establishing a



network of 40 community colleges in the five states constituting Western PETE. These colleges are now offering or are in the process of developing Associate of Science degree/certificate programs in environmental technology. A program for faculty development has been implemented including regional conferences (seven to date) and summer internships in the Laboratories, the offices of state regulatory agencies, DoD facilities, and private industry locations. A Student Work Experience Program is being planned to begin in the summer of 1995. Western PETE has also initiated a program focused on the development of formal articulation agreements between the community colleges, the high schools that feed those colleges, and the universities (e.g. 2+2+2/Tech Prep).

The Western PETE program has been developed through the collaboration of several key regional players.

- Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada, Utah Community Colleges
- Ames Research Center (NASA)
- California Environmental Protection Agency
- Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
- Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory (EPA)
- Industry Education Council of California
- Jet Propulsion Laboratory (NASA)
- Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (DOE)
- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (DOE)
- McClellan Air Force Base (DoD)
- National Center for Research in Vocational Education
- National Environmental Training Association
- The Navajo Nation and Navajo Community College
- Nevada Test Site (DOE)
- Region IX Analytical Laboratory (EPA)
- Sandia National Laboratories, California (DOE)
- Western Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command (DoD)


Also participating in the program is the American Association of Community Colleges, the Department of Energy Oakland and Nevada Operations Offices, and the EPA Region IX Office. The California EPA participation includes the Air Resources Board and the Department of Toxic Substances Control. Representatives of the Environmental Protection Office in each of the other four PETE states have also been invited to participate. Representatives of these organizations operated as a Steering Committee until late 1993 when the group was constituted as a Board of Directors following non-profit incorporation in the State of Arizona.

NATIONAL PETE

Based on the successful Western PETE model, a total of six regional partnerships have now been established serving all fifty states, Puerto Rico and the U. S. territories. PETE's national objectives are to establish the six regional partnerships with consistent organizational structure and a common commitment to the six goals listed above. National initiatives focused on such areas as enhancing the participation of underrepresented minorities and women, enhancing the science and environmental education capabilities of Tribal Colleges, worker retraining associated with defense conversion, and innovative approaches to environmental technology transfer will be delivered in a consistent fashion through the six regions. Each regional organization is intended to organize and function, however, as a semi-independent partnership also focused on uniquely regional problems and developing local resources. It is estimated that the national PETE community college network will eventually represent 300-500 colleges delivering a variety of environmental programs.



PETE Colleges - Fy 1993





ROLE OF PRIVATE INDUSTRY AND PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES

Private industry and professional societies will play a vital role in the development and conduct of the program. This includes advising on skills requirements and on curricula development and presentation. Along with government, private industry will be a primary beneficiary of the significantly increased number of technician graduates which will result from this initiative. We will seek substantial private sector participation and/or in-kind support for the program. This could primarily involve assistance with equipment needs, co-sponsorship of semi-annual regional conferences, and summer internship opportunities for instructors and students. The Industry Education Council of California, a statewide consortium of government, industry and academia, is a full partner in Western PETE, bringing direct access to many of the state's major corporations. This model will also be followed throughout the six PETE regions.

PETE and the Air and Waste Management Association have signed a formal Memorandum of Understanding to collaborate on environmental education programs of mutual interest. The American Chemical Society is also advising on the development of the regional and national PETE initiative.

CURRICULA AND TEACHING AIDS DEVELOPMENT

One of the primary issues raised since the formation of PETE has been the general lack of appropriate texts and teaching aids to support environmental-hazardous materials technician curricula at the community college level. In response to this need, a National Instructional Materials Working Group was established, which included representatives from eight leading colleges outside the PETE region, to develop teaching materials for core curriculum modules in this field. Eight core module outlines have been developed. PETE has entered into a Cooperative Agreement with INTELECOM, a non-profit community college telecommunications consortium, to manage the project and develop accompanying video sets. The firm of Van Nostrand Reinhold (New York) has been selected as lead publisher. This project entitled "Preserving the Legacy" is expected to require up to five years for completion.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION SUPPORT


In July 1994 NSF accepted two proposals which will assist in supporting PETE's long-term objectives. PETE will partner with the Hazardous Materials Training and Research Institute (HMTRI) and the University of Northern Iowa's Center for Environmental and Energy Education to establish a national Advanced Technology Environmental Education Center (ATEEC). The vision is to create a world class network of community college environmental programs linked with high schools that inform and prepare students for entry into these two-year programs. The ATEEC has established three broad goals: 1) Develop nationally validated curriculum models and advanced instructional materials; 2) Establish comprehensive programs of professional development; and 3) Build a clearinghouse to serve as a national center for environmental education. NSF will fund ATEEC at a level of \$1.0M per year for five years.

NSF will also fund the initiation of the "Preserving the Legacy" instructional materials development project at a level of \$0.5M per year for three years. Other federal and private sponsors will be sought to support the balance of the project.

INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS

PETE has been receiving growing international attention and has begun some collaboration in other countries. The Partnership is assisting in the establishment of an environmental training network in Mexico, the International Institute for Environmental Technology and Management (IIETM), designed to assist that country in complying with the environmental provisions of the





ひ こ こ

ES-07/21/02-PD#6-01(c)

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA). This developing partnership with Mexico will focus on linking U. S. community colleges with Mexican institutions to deliver Train-The-Trainer programs for worker preparation in areas such as hazardous waste management, water-waste water management, site remediation, etc. PETE will also assist in linking U. S. and Mexican university programs in environmental areas.

ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

The national PETE program has been incorporated as a non-profit educational organization, and a national office with a small program development staff established in Northern California. A Board of Directors has been named consisting of representatives of each of the six regional partnerships and selected organizations, and an Executive Director appointed. A Presidents Council, consisting of designated community college Presidents from each of the six regions will serve in a key advisory capacity to the Board of Directors. A national Advisory Council will also be established which will include representatives of participating federal agencies, private industry and professional societies. Each of the six regional partnerships is functioning under a Steering Committee or Board of Directors with a designated non-profit organization serving as fiscal agent. Program implementation will be the responsibility of six regional Directors and the national PETE Executive Director.

For more information contact:

National PETE

Paul R. Dickinson Executive Director (510) 422-6525

Sally Beaty President, INTELECOM (818) 796-7300, Ext. 119

Western PETE

Barbara Rohde Executive Director (916) 921-3365

Northwest PETE

Ted Neth Interim Chair (509) 547-0511, Ext. 331

North Central PETE

Pat Berntsen Secretary (319) 398-5677

Northeast PETE

Kirk Laflin Interim Executive Director (207) 767-2539

Southeast PETE

Russ Schubert Interim Chair (615) 882-4511

South Central PETE


David Boon Interim Chair (303) 466-8811, Ext. 259



940105 65

502

NATIONAL PETE ORGANIZATION







NATIONAL PETE UPDATE

Paul R. Dickinson Executive Director

July 29, 1994 Phoenix, Arizona







PRESERVING THE LEGACY

A Comprehensive Curriculum and Materials Development Project in Support of Advanced Environmental Technology Education

INTRODUCTION

INTELECOM Intelligent Telecommunications (INTELECOM), in cooperation with the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE), is launching a multi-year development project that will result in the creation of an integrated set of video modules, textbooks, laboratory materials, and faculty guides for training environmental technicians. These exemplary instructional materials, collectively called *PRESERVING THE LEG-ACY*, will be integral to environmental technology programs throughout the country. Their development, evaluation, and dissemination will be closely linked to the Advanced Technology Environmental Education Center proposed by PETE and the Hazardous Materials Training & Research Institute (HMTRI).

There is a rapidly growing need in this country for workers with appropriate science-based skills in hazardous materials management, environmentally-conscious manufacturing, environmental remediation and monitoring, and pollution prevention. As this need becomes more acute, so, too, does the need for the *PRESERVING THE LEGACY* materials. The success or failure of many environmental policies will be determined less by the excellence of our research base than by the proficiency of field workers and technicians responsible for implementing them. Both the environmental and economic health of this country and other nations will be greatly influenced by the quality of these skilled individuals.

It was also in recognition of these needs that PETE was established in 1991 as a western states initiative to link participating community colleges with the technical resources of the DOE, EPA, DoD and NASA National Laboratories, federal and state agencies, private industry and professional societies. The underlying goals of PETE are to assist in the development and presentation of curricula for training environmental technicians, and to formalize linkages that encourage a greater number of transfer students to pursue studies in engineering, and environmental science and management at four-year institutions. Within the brief existence of PETE, the number of community colleges delivering environmental technology certificates and associate degrees has grown to an astonishing 40 within a three-year period.

It soon became apparent that the lack of appropriate, high-quality, and affordable educational materials in environmental technology, plus the insufficient number of quali-



Copyright © 1994 INTELECOM Intelligent Telecommunication Partnership for Environmental Technology Education

fied teachers in the field, are major stumbling blocks to the effective delivery of these programs. PETE took steps to address these obstacles by further broadening its collaborative base in early 1992, inviting INTELECOM – an internationally-recognized leader in the design and production of telecommunications-based instructional materials – to provide leadership for the development of core instructional materials that would have national application. It was from this collaboration that the PRESERVING THE LEGACY Project emerged.

The partnership is a natural one. Not only is INTELECOM a 25-year old nonprofit corporation formed by community colleges, it's "specialty" is the creation of award-winning science series – Earth Revealed, The Mechanical Universe . . . and Beyond, and Oceanus, to name several.

In 1993, what had begun as a western states initiative was officially extended to all fifty states, Puerto Rico and U. S. territories with the formation of national PETE. The national organizational structure incorporates six PETE regions – North Central, Northeast, Northwest, South Central, Southeast, and Western – with leaders from the six regions comprising PETE's Board of Directors. As one of their first orders of business, each of the six regions nominated an outstanding teacher to serve on the National Academic Council that guides the development of the PRESERVING THE LEGACY Project.

In 1993 INTELECOM and PETE took another major step in this collaborative effort by entering into an agreement with Van Nostrand Reinhold (VNR) to publish and distribute the textbooks developed in association with *PRESERVING THE LEGACY*. VNR is a midsized publisher that specializes in professional and academic books in several targeted areas including environmental and occupational health, safety, and engineering. The company was selected from among eight publisher candidates submitting proposals in response to an RFP, in part because of its commitment to work closely with project leaders to ensure close coordination between the print and video components of the project, in subsequent as well as initial editions of the textbooks. VNR has agreed to cover the costs of printing, publishing, binding, and distributing *affordable* texts in a cost efficient and timely manner. In addition, over the course of development, VNR will contribute \$150,000 toward the project – a \$50,000 grant, and a \$100,000 advance against royalties.

The infrastructure that is now in place, the working relationships that have been forged, and the experience gained during the two-year start-up phase of this Project directly supports INTELECOM's ability to provide national leadership for carrying out the objectives of this proposal, the mandates of the ATE program for a systemic approach to technological education, and the efficient and effective use of NSF funds.

BUILDING THE FOUNDATION

To briefly summarize what has been accomplished to date through the collaborative efforts of INTELECOM and PETE: Early in 1992, PETE invited educational leaders in the environmental technologies to come together to probe the feasibility of a nationally-focused environmental technology instructional materials development project. The con-



Copyright © 1994 INTELECOM Intelligent Telecommunication Partnership for Environmental Technology Education cept of collaboratively creating a core set of instructional materials that could be integrated into their programs was energetically endorsed.

Initially, INTELECOM staff members acquired and analyzed course syllabi from community colleges across the nation with programs in environmental technology. Next, they developed a matrix of elements common to a majority of programs. PETE facilitated the development by appointing a broad-based, nationally-balanced committee of curriculum/teaching specialists to work with INTELECOM in identifying curricular needs, designing core instructional units that would meet programmatic requirements, and establishing the scope of the work.

The design of each of the instructional units summarized below – developed over months of research and refinement – was subsequently reviewed by educators from colleges with environmental training programs, as well as technology and industry specialists, and received overwhelming approval from all groups. The plan, in its entirety, calls for the development of textbooks, integrated videos, laboratory guides, and teachers manuals for each of the following eight core units over a five-year period:

☐ Introduction to Environmental Technology

An Age-Old Problem . . . Governmental Processes . . . Basic Toxicology . . . Environmental Interrelationships . . . Air Quality . . . Water Quality . . . Land and Soil Use . . . Hazardous Materials . . . Occupational Safety and Health . . . Waste Generation . . . Hazardous Waste . . . Nuclear Waste . . . Pollution Prevention and Waste Reduction . . . Career Opportunities

☐ Waste Generation, Reduction, Treatment, and Prevention

Introduction to Waste Streams . . . Waste Stream Regulations . . . Applications of Waste Reduction and Treatment Technologies . . . Waste from the Metal Working Industry . . . Waste from the Plating, Finishing, and Circuit Board Industries . . . Waste from the Agriculture/Forestry Industries . . . Waste from the Petroleum Industry . . . Waste from Chemical Production . . . Waste from the Graphics and Printing Industries . . . Waste from Medical Industries . . . Waste from Mining Industries . . . Waste from Nuclear Industries . . . Waste from Hazardous Waste Cleanup and Treatment Industries . . . Waste from General Manufacturing Industries . . . Waste from Food and Beverage Industries . . . Waste from the Textile Industry . . . Waste from Surface Coating Industries . . . Waste from Consumer-related Industries . . . Waste from New and Emerging Technologies

□ Basics of Toxicology

Introduction to Toxicology . . . Exposure and Entry Routes . . . Distribution, Metabolism, and Elimination of Toxics . . . The Dose-Response Relationship . . . Target Organ Effects . . . Reproductive Toxins, Mutagens, and Carcinogens . . . Survey of Common Toxic Substances . . . Risk Assessment . . . Mitigation Procedures in the Treatment of Toxicity

☐ Basics of Industrial Hygiene



1 10	DOM(1112 22 21 10 1
	Types of Environmental Safety and Health Hazards Exposure Limits Monitoring of Toxic Substances Exposure Control Methods Workplace Safety Medical Surveillance Program
	Site Characterization, Sampling and Field Analysis
	Site Investigations Sampling Approaches and Tools Sampling and Sample Prepa-

ration . . . Sample Inspection and Characterization . . . Methods of Analysis . . . Quality Control and Data Interpretation

☐ Environmental and Hazardous Materials Regulations

Occupational Safety and Health Agency Regulations . . . Environmental Protection Agency Regulations . . . Department of Transportation Regulations . . . Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulations

☐ Contingency Planning and Reporting for Emergency Response

Hazards Analysis... Contingency Plans for Community Response... Contingency Plans for Hazardous Waste Facilities... Hazardous Material Reporting Requirements... Training Employees and Auditing Contingency Plans... Other Reporting Requirements... Developing Contingency Plans

☐ Safety and Emergency Response

Hazard Recognition and Classification . . . Hazard Recognition and Work Site Safety . . . Health Effects of Hazardous Substances . . . Health and Safety Planning . . . Personal Protective Equipment . . . Selection of Respiratory Protection . . . Selection of Chemical Protective Clothing . . . Field Monitoring . . . Decontamination . . . Analyzing a Hazardous Event . . . Site Control and Containment . . . Confined Space . . . Handling Drums and Other Containers . . . Managing Emergency Operations . . . Field Exercises

THE AUDIENCE

The PRESERVING THE LEGACY materials are designed to be used in a variety of ways – even within a single institution – depending on the type of program, the teacher, and the students being served. Some teachers will use the video and teacher support materials to provide experiences and demonstrate processes not normally available in the classroom setting:

close-up views of advanced technologies, new processes and techniques that will
preserve and, in some cases, improve environmental integrity;

the chance to witness hazardous episodes as they occur, and see the short- and long-term implications of such incidences on all forms of life as well as the environ-
ment;



Copyright © 1994 INTELECOM Intelligent Telecommunication Partnership for Environmental Technology Education

the opportunity to work alongside those who attempt to reduce the damage that
results from the improper use, disposal or transportation of hazardous materials,
and to analyze, where possible, the comparative results of various mitigation tech
niques and approaches;

☐ the clarity and increased comprehension gained from seeing animated illustrations of processes that are otherwise difficult to understand or to see.

Some colleges may incorporate the modules within distance learning programs that link instructors and students through telecommunications. Still others may integrate the modules within training programs for business and industry.

In each instance, these commonly-sought learning modules will serve as critical building blocks for an infinite variety of curricular programs and educational delivery modes.

ACADEMIC LEADERSHIP

Academic leadership for *PRESERVING THE LEGACY* will be assumed by an Academic Team Leader assisted by a Core Academic Team of three master teachers, a National Academic Council, and a full-time instructional design specialist who will be part of the INTELECOM staff. The top four leadership positions for the project have been filled by faculty who were key leaders of the PETE National Curriculum Study Group: Academic Team Leader – Howard Guyer, Fullerton College; Core Academic Team – Ann Boyce, Bakersfield College; Douglas Feil, Kirkwood Community College; and A. J. Silva, Eastern Idaho Technical College. The National Academic Council is comprised of six master teachers, one from each of the six PETE regions: Northeast PETE – Douglas Nelson, SUNY Morrisville; North Central PETE – Eldon Enger, Delta Community College; Northwest PETE – Jerry A. Riehl, South Seattle Community College; Southeast PETE – William Engel, Central Carolina Technical College; South Central PETE – David Boon, Front Range Community College; and Western PETE – Steven Onstot, Fullerton College.

Members of the Core Academic Team and National Academic Council guiding the content development of the PRESERVING THE LEGACY units are recognized leaders in the field of Environmental and Hazardous Materials Technology training. They represent diverse but complementary fields of specialization, from waste water management and environmental health to mining and chemical waste management, and environmental law. They are acknowledged as distinguished teachers and curriculum developers, as specialists in private industry settings, and international consultants in environmental technologies. In the course of developing the video and text materials, Project leaders will also enlist the advice of other recognized specialists in advanced environmental technologies, both to appear on camera and to verify the absolute accuracy of the information that is presented.

Academic advisors play a *significant* role in the design and development of IN-TELECOM video programs and coordinated print materials. Through the years, this has been a source of surprise and delight – and, occasionally, shock and dismay – to advisors who have been asked to perform this role for other educational producers, and anticipate very limited involvement.




Copyright © 1994 INTELECOM Intelligent Telecommunication Partnership for Environmental Technology Education

Members of the National Academic Council and the Core Academic Team will be intimately involved in:
expanding upon the learning objectives established during the two-year research and design phase of the Project, and determining in greater depth the content base for each of the eight major instructional units.
 contributing to the instructional design of the video and print, suggesting approaches and contexts.
 selecting the most critical video modules to be developed in conjunction with NSF- ATE support.
verifying the academic accuracy and relevancy of each aspect of the Project, at various stages of development:
 script treatments and scripts rough cuts of the videos drafts of coordinated print materials (textbooks, laboratory exercises, faculty guides)
sharing information about PRESERVING THE LEGACY with colleagues in their region; using these linkages to secure formative feedback that will increase the value of the product.
\square evaluating the materials, both formally and informally with students.
\square assisting in faculty in-service workshops to enhance utilization of the materials.
determining when and if revisions to the products are necessary.
The development of exemplary instructional materials depends not only on the calibrate of the people involved, but also the process that has been established to insure their meaningful involvement and integration. It is the symbiotic relationship among academic, design, and production leaders and their support staff that will be key to the successful implementation of the <i>PRESERVING THE LEGACY</i> Project. The diagram and brief summary of academic expectations, Figure 1 on the next page, illustrates the roles and responsibilities of the academic team and their relationship to various aspects of production.

594



PRESERVING THE LEGACY Interrelationships Among Development Team



Academic Team Leader

- Serves as the leader of both the Core Academic Team and National Academic Council groups.
- With the advice of colleagues, has final authority over content of the video and print materials developed for all of the modules/ projects.
- Helps plan and must attend Annual Design/Production Retreat, National PETE conference(s), and 2 additional meetings with INTELECOM staff per year.
- Assists the producer/director and production staff in suggesting locations, experts, etc. for each of the video tapes.
- Assists in decision making on segments that require animation or graphical treatment.
- Assists the story editor in compiling the writer's packet for individual programs.
- Reviews first and subsequent drafts of all scripts.
- Assists in determining video program lengths to be developed.

- Reviews the rough and fine cuts of each video program.
- Reviews all print elements developed in conjunction with the project.

Core Academic Team Members

- Similar responsibilities to those of the Academic Team Leader; however, responsibilities of each member are limited to one-third of the modules.
- Assists in the planning and must attend the Annual Retreat, National PETE Conference(s), and 2 additional meetings with INTELECOM staff per year.
- Assists the Academic Team Leader, producer/director, and production staff in suggesting locations, experts, and animation for the video tapes within their third of the project.
- Collects all comments and suggestions on print elements related to their third of the modules and forwards them through the Team

- Leader to VNR or INTELECOM writers/instructional designers.
- Assists Acadmic Team Leader and story editor in compiling the writer's packet for their third of the modules.
- Reviews first and subsequent drafts of all scripts related to their third of the programs.
- Reviews rough and fine cuts of their video programs.

National Academic Council

- Must attend Annual Retreat, National PETE Conference(s), and participate in occasional audio conferences.
- Identify and select approximately one-third of the scripts for which they will be willing to assume primary academic responsibility.
- Must return scripts by FAX, mail, or other creative delivery system within 5 to 7 days after receipt.
- Must also agree to review the integrated text materials that coordinate with the video scripts.

Figure 1

Copyright © 1994 INTELECOM Intelligent Telecommunication Partnership for Environmental Technology Education



FORMATIVE EVALUATION . . . AN ON-GOING PROCESS

Evaluation is built into every aspect of an INTELECOM-produced product. It is the reason for the in-depth, comprehensive research that was initiated before the *PRESERVING THE LEGACY* project had shape or form.

It is the reason for creating an eclectic development team comprised of articulate, visionary, respected professionals in environmental technology, instructional design, and television production. At each significant juncture in the development process, as has been indicated, their advice is sought and respected.

It is the reason for maintaining close contact with end users throughout the development process – with the secondary and postsecondary institutions that will utilize *PRE-SERVING THE LEGACY* in their classrooms and distance learning programs, and with the businesses and industries that will rely upon the accuracy and relevancy of the information that is conveyed. The PETE organizational structure and support will be particularly valuable in maintaining this "connectedness," linking the Project to educational institutions and industry leaders in each of the six regions.

In addition to the careful evaluation of each aspect of *PRESERVING THE LEGACY* by the Core Academic Team and the National Academic Council as the materials are being developed, pilot materials will be field tested with a diverse population of students and teachers in association with the six PETE regions. Moreover, rough cuts of each module – video and text – will be reviewed by the Academic Team Leader and a member of the Core Academic Team before it reaches final stages of post production.

STRENGTHENING THE NETWORK: FACULTY ENHANCEMENT, PROMOTION, AND DISTRIBUTION ACTIVITIES

Because of the critical need for these educational materials, each unit will find its way into the educational marketplace as soon as it is completed. The affordable textbooks and laboratory manuals completed in conjunction with *PRESERVING THE LEGACY* will be distributed by Van Nostrand Reinhold, the Project's publishing partner. The video products and faculty guides will be distributed by INTELECOM at a projected cost of \$40 per video to PETE-member colleges.

INTELECOM-developed educational videos are currently being used by over 2000 colleges and universities, and 2,500 high schools, in the United States and Canada. They are broadcast nationally by PBS and Jones Intercable's Mind Extension University network, and used for training by many of the nation's major business employers.

In addition to its North American distribution network, INTELECOM products enjoy widespread use in Australia, Europe, the Middle East, nations of the Pacific Rim, and South America. International marketing is accomplished primarily through a closely coordinated network of subdistributors whose employees are citizens of the countries they serve. 596



The video segments produced as part of this proposal will meet the technical standards for network-quality video. They will be mastered in digital format, and produced with a closed-captioned option for the hearing impaired as well as a Spanish-language version.

In concert with the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education and members of the Academic team, yearly implementation workshops will be held for faculty, and business and industry representatives, in conjunction with national and regional meetings of the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education.

INTELECOM is unique among major producers of television-based learning materials. As a nonprofit corporation formed by colleges, the "stockholders" of the organization use the products that are created. Series producers must not only meet the quality demands of the marketplace, but the exacting standards of member colleges, faculty, and students close at home.

Perhaps it is this close link with the marketplace base that has fostered INTELECOM's reputation for user support. This ranges from quality control of videotape duplication, in a variety of formats, to the quick delivery of product and the provision of in-service training opportunities that assist secondary schools, colleges, and universities in the administration of exemplary distance learning programs.

CLOSING THOUGHTS

Perhaps the most compelling argument for *PRESERVING THE LEGACY* is that these exemplary materials will make a significant contribution to meeting an urgent national need – the need for environmental technicians.

A report issued earlier on Environmental Management in the 90s, a joint project of the National Association for Environmental Management, the Environmental Hazards Management Institute, and Coopers & Lybrand, a management consulting firm in Boston, Massachusetts, states:

There is nothing on the horizon to suggest that the regulatory storm will in any way subside – if anything, we would expect it to intensify as new hazards are discovered and consumer awareness increases. Given the current levels of resources and staffing as well as slowly changing organizational philosophies, it will be very easy for Environmental Management to continue to be compliance-focused. In many ways this is the safe course of action – reacting to changes, and continuing many of the behaviors and patterns that we observed. However, if the profession is going to come together, it needs to assume a leadership role in a number of different areas. While compliance will always be a major concern, the opportunity to be proactive may be a fleeting one.



Copyright © 1994 INTELECOM Intelligent Telecommunication Partnership for Environmental Technology Education

1,5

Advanced Technological Environmental Education Center

HMTRI/PETE/UNI

HMTRI 6301 Kirkwood Blvd. SW PO Box 2068 Cedar Rapids, IA 552406-2068

> Voice 1-800-GO-HMTRI Voice 1-319-398-5677 Fax 1-319-398-1250 BBS 1-800-989-1266 BBS 1-319-398-1276



There is a rapidly growing need for advanced technology environmental education programs to prepare students for the workplace of today and tomorrow. To build a high performance environmental education infrastructure, the Hazardous Materials Training and Research Institute (HMTRI). Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE), and the University of Northern Iowa's Center for Environmental and Energy Education will establish a national Advanced Technology Environmental Education Center (ATEEC). The vision is to create a world class network of community college environmental programs linked with high schools that inform and prepare students for entry into these two-year programs. The Center has established three broad goals: (1) Develop nationally validated curriculum models and advanced instructional materials; (2) Establish comprehensive programs of professional development; and (3) Build a clearinghouse to serve as a national center of environmental information and as a hub for the networking of environmental educators, business and industry, federal agencies, and professional societies. The Center will provide leadership to: enhance core and advanced math, science and technology components of environmental education; utilize advanced electronic communications networks; focus upon meeting the needs of diverse learners; encourage instructional materials which utilize advanced technologies; develop teaching and curriculum standards for environmental education. The ATEEC will touch hundreds of instructors and improve the education of thousands of students throughout the nation.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER SHEET (NSF Form 1207)	i
PROJECT DATA AND SUMMARY FORM	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	· v
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	
Section 1: Vision, Need, and Mission	1
Section 2: Goals and Objectives	8
Goal 1 - Curriculum Development	8
Goal 2 - Professional Development	10
Goal 3 - Support Services	11
Section 3: Implementation	13
Section 4: Evaluation and Dissemination	29
Section 4: Evaluation and Dissemination	32
bección 3. Capabilities	J 2
BIBLIOGRAPHY	40
DIAGRARY CALL CURROUNG	41
BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES	41
BUDGET	56
CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT (NSF Form 1249)	91
APPENDICES:	
Appendix A - National PETE Organization	96
• Letter of Agreement	
Organizational Chart	
Board of Directors	
• President's Council	
• Map	103
Appendix B - Regional PETEs Letters of Agreement	103
Appendix C - ATEEC Organizational Chart	110
Appendix D - ATEEC Advisory Board	118
Appendix E - UNI Letter of Agreement	123
Appendix F - Chart of Curriculum Concept for Environmental	
Programs	125
Appendix G - INTELECOM Letter of Support and Agreement	127
Appendix H - Flow Chart of Goal 1 Activities	130
Appendix I - Western PETE - Faculty Internship Program	136
Appendix J - Consultants' Resumes	162
Appendix K - Evaluation Indicators and Timetable	169
Appendix L - External Evaluator - Dr. Jan Friedel Resume	176
Appendix M - Dissemination Methods and Timetable	179
Appendix N - Resumes of Eastern Iowa and Kirkwood Faculty	_,,
Aggisting the ATEFC	183
Assisting the ATEEC	103
Appendix O - membership bisk of regional relies	174
Appendix P - Evidence of Federal Agency and Professional Organizations' Support	240



PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

SECTION 1: VISION, NEED, AND MISSION

<u>VISION</u>

The world is undergoing a period of technological revolution which many believe will be as widespread in its effects as was the industrial "mass production" revolution of nearly a century ago. The National Center on Education and the Economy, in its report, "America's Choice: High Skills or Low Wages," labels these changes "the third industrial revolution;" while the Hudson Institute publication, "Workforce 2000, Work and Workers for the 21st Century," labels this the "post industrial information era." Whatever the label, there is broad agreement that if the U.S. is to maintain a position of economic and political leadership in the world, there must be fundamental changes in the workplace and in the educational programs which support the workplace.

In recent years there has been broad political consensus on this need for change. President Reagan in his 1987 State of the Union address said, "The quest for excellence into the twenty-first century begins in the classroom, but we must go next to the workplace."

In 1989 President Bush and the nation's governors, in an attempt to provide a framework for action, established the National Education Goals. The link between education and the economy was clearly stated by the chair of the National Education Goals Panel, who wrote, "Education is as important to our global economy in its implications for a competent workforce as availability of capital or any other business condition." President Clinton, on the occasion of the establishment of the President's committee of Advisors on Science and Technology stated, "Science and technology are essential tools for achieving this administration's goal for strengthening the economy, creating high quality jobs, protecting the environment, improving our health care and education systems and maintaining our national security. This country must sustain world leadership in science, mathematics and engineering if we are to meet the challenges of today...and of tomorrow." Emphasizing the theme of



empowering workers, Labor Secretary Riech states, "American companies have got to be urged to treat their workers as assets to be developed rather than costs to be cut." Representative Lee Hamilton, Vice Chairman of the Joint Congressional Economic Committee states, "For an advanced country such as ours, the only sustainable advantage is a talented and adaptive workforce capable of using the latest technologies and reaching ever higher levels of productivity." The National Education Goals Report entitled Building a Nation of Learners concludes, "All workers must have the opportunity to acquire the knowledge and skills needed to adapt to emerging technologies, work methods, and markets, through vocational, technical, workplace and other programs."

A consensus has been built that the key to maintaining or increasing the economic productivity of the country lies in the creation of high performance work organizations which are supported by high performance educational programs. Such organizations are characterized by a willingness to adopt new technology, flexibility in organizational structures, accountability, and the empowerment of employees.

While political leaders can create a vision, educators must design new educational programs that adequately prepare students for the expanded skills, knowledge and understanding required in the changing workplace. Our vision is to create a national, world class network of community colleges supported through public-private partnerships that is producing and maintaining the environmental technology workforce addressing the diversified needs of industry and promoting the progression of transfer students to higher education. This must be a network that is mutually supportive, allows ready access to advanced instructional methodologies and is kept current with evolving environmental technology. The Advanced Technology Environmental Education Center (ATEEC) will be a critical step in advancing this vision.

NEED FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION CENTER (ATEEC)

There are two major components to establishing the need for the ATEEC.

The first component relates to the issue of capacity. Simply stated the issue is, do sufficient environmental education programs exist today to prepare the



-2-

numbers of technicians required to meet current and projected workforce needs?

The second component of need relates to the issue of quality and advancing technology. Is the United States developing quality, high performance environmental technology programs to prepare students for the demands of the emerging high performance workforce?

The first issue is that of capacity. A generally recognized and frequently repeated assertion expressed by environmental educators and environmental practitioners is the need to train substantially more environmental technicians.

In 1992, a study published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics concluded that employment for technicians within the scientific and technical fields would increase 32% from 1990 to 2005. Ferrier, in a study of employment trends in the environmental services industry, concluded that between 1992 and 1995 employment opportunities in environmental companies would increase by 60%.

The National Center for Research in Vocational Education, University of California, Berkeley, has undertaken the most complete occupation specific study to date. Under sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Education and the Department of Energy, the study assessed current and projected needs for environmental hazardous materials technicians and the extent to which those needs are being met. The study arrived at the following conclusions:

- The supply of trained technicians in the environmental field is inadequate,
- The demand for environmental technicians will increase at a moderate to substantial rate,
- 3. The community college is the preferred provider of technician education,
- 4. Technicians should possess knowledge of science and math including algebra and trigonometry,
- 5.4 Increased enrollment capacity and new program development are needed at community colleges,



- Community college environmental programs should link with high schools to encourage enrollments,
- 7. The establishment of partnerships between industry and education is critical to the success of environmental education programs.

Based upon the available evidence, it is reasonable to conclude that the need for environmental technicians will substantially increase.

The second component of need relates to the issues of technology and program quality. Vice President Al Gore in describing the administration's Technology for America's Economic Growth initiative recently said, "Technology offers new opportunities for jobs, for a cleaner environment, for better schools, for high-quality health care and for scores of other advances. We must move to seize these opportunities." Unfortunately, few two-year college environmental program instructors are ready to "seize these opportunities." Based on surveys conducted by regional Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE) organizations, fewer than 10 percent of environmental technology instructors use any form of electronic information technology. Just over 20 percent report access to the Internet system somewhere on their campus, but few indicate use of the system for instructional information.

Despite widespread publicity regarding telecomputing, communication technology of any sort is almost nonexistent in environmental technology programs in two-year colleges. Most two-year colleges have invested in computers and computer labs which make computer facilities accessible to staff and students. However, few use communication technology to access computer networks or databases. Simply stated, communication technology is missing from the arsenal of instructional delivery methods used to support environmental technology education in the two-year colleges.

Development of technician-level environmental education programs has generally occurred in isolation. Programs have been developed with the assistance of local advisory boards and are responsive to immediate local needs and concerns. As the level of technology advances, it is critical that



-4-

student preparation for environmental technology careers encompass a strong foundation in math, science and technical skills. This foundation will allow technicians to adapt their acquired skills and knowledge to the ever changing demands of tomorrow.

MISSION OF ATEEC

The field of environmental education is a new and emerging area not yet well established. Because of this, a heightened opportunity exists to create environmental education programs designed to prepare students for the emerging high performance work settings of tomorrow.

The need to build a stronger environmental education infrastructure is clear. To do this, science, math and technical curricula, and instructional materials which support advanced environmental technology education must be strengthened; professional development opportunities for community college and secondary school educators must be enhanced; and, effective support services for program improvement must be provided.

An "information superhighway" is part of the future of environmental education in the two-year college. Advanced communications technologies will provide both instructors and their students the information and resources they need for a challenging future of lifelong learning. Such resources when tied to an instructional foundation based on leadership in basic science, mathematics, and technology will provide an environmental workforce to meet today's and tomorrow's challenges. This workforce will be able to face new environmental challenges from the nation's businesses and industries as well as from around the world. The ATEEC project will team the technologies (software, computer and communications equipment) with the classroom instructor to increase the productivity of learning.

To build this environmental education infrastructure, the Hazardous Materials Training and Research Institute (HMTRI), the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE), and the University of Northern Iowa's Center for Environmental and Energy Education (including the College of Natural Sciences, the Department of Environmental Education, and the Iowa



Waste Reduction Center) will establish, in partnership, an NSF Advanced Technology Environmental Education Center (ATEEC).

ATEEC's mission is to advance environmental technology education through curriculum development, professional development, and program improvement in the nation's community colleges and secondary schools. The Center will draw upon the resources of its partner institutions, business and industry, federal agencies and laboratories, and professional societies to accomplish its mission.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES OF THE CENTER: The ATEEC will be guided by principles, assumptions and beliefs similar to those which provide the foundation for operation of HMTRI. These include:

- The design of the Center presumes that community colleges acknowledge that they cannot be "stand alone" educational institutions capable of meeting all the educational needs of their local community. Rather the Center will encourage institutional networking and sharing of resources.
- 2. The Center will develop and promote advanced environmental technology programs which fulfill two requirements. The first is to meet the educational/technical needs of today; the second is to provide education for tomorrow—equipping students with the tools to master the ever changing conditions and technologies. While community colleges have historically had a clear mission to transmit the skills and knowledge needed to meet current demands of the workplace, it is now critical that community colleges insure that students master science, math, technology, communication and critical thinking skills allowing them to adapt to future demands their profession will place upon them.
- 3. The Center will utilize advancements in educational methodology and technology to better serve the needs of students. Exciting educational tools such as CD-ROM, computer simulation, and multimedia are becoming available and will be utilized where they are

- feasible and educationally advantageous.
- 4. The Center will develop instructional materials which can be delivered via distance education systems such as fiber optics, microwave, satellite, or combinations of these technologies. This allows for the portability of the educational experience to areas with few students, and to locations where instructors lack certain technical competencies.
- 5. The utilization of communications technology to connect individuals and institutions involved in environmental education by means of the "information superhighway" will create a powerful new learning community which will support and greatly enhance the overall quality of environmental technology education.
- 6. The Center will be organized to build quality into all the processes of the Center, not simply to check for and correct quality problems as they are detected in the final products of the Center.

SECTION 2: GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

GOAL 1: Strengthen science, math and technical curriculum, and instructional materials which support advanced environmental technology education.

OBJECTIVE 1: Identify knowledge and competency requirements in core and advanced math, science and technology needed by: high school graduates entering two-year environmental technology programs; two-year environmental program graduates entering the workforce; and, two-year environmental program graduates transferring into a four-year institution's environmental science/ technology program. Project the knowledge and competencies required by tomorrow's environmental technicians.

OBJECTIVE 1 KEY OUTCOMES: A directory of occupational competency profiles will be established. A minimum of six new nationally validated competency profiles will be developed. A competency profile of the projected skill requirements for environmental technicians will also be developed. The knowledge base needed by technicians, high school graduates entering two-year environmental programs and two-year graduates transferring to four-year institutions will be defined.

OBJECTIVE 2: Enhance environmental education curriculum by developing curriculum models for a range of two-year environmental technology programs; and, develop a model course sequence for high school students entering two-year programs.

OBJECTIVE 2 KEY OUTCOMES: A directory of existing curriculum models, new curriculum models, and model course sequences will be developed.

OBJECTIVE 3: Identify needs for new courses, instructional materials, and methodologies that will enhance environmental technology programs.

OBJECTIVE 3 KEY OUTCOMES: Needs will be identified and proposals developed to upgrade existing courses, create new discipline specific courses, develop hybrid (interdisciplinary) courses, and provide supplementary instructional materials. Pedagogical designs that accommodate needs of diverse learners



-8-

will be employed. The majority of these needs will be satisfied through NSF or other funding mechanisms.

OBJECTIVE 4: Develop a community college institutional self-assessment instrument for the purposes of; 1) determining whether college environmental programs meet the science, math, and technical core requirements identified by the project; 2) assessing whether program content and instructional technologies are up-to-date; and, 3) assessing whether the program is meeting the regional needs of business and industry.

OBJECTIVE 4 KEY OUTCOMES: A self-assessment instrument will be developed and used by community colleges with environmental technology programs. A survey will be conducted to determine the effectiveness of the instrument.

OBJECTIVE 5: Promote linkages among high school, community college, and four-year college environmental education programs (2+2+2) which will: insure high school students are prepared to enter two-year environmental programs; insure two-year graduates are prepared for the demands of the workplace; insure two-year environmental science transfer graduates are prepared to enter baccalaureate programs; and, insure maximum communication and coordination among the three levels of education providers.

OBJECTIVE 5 KEY OUTCOMES: Model 2+2+2 linkage programs will be developed. Workshops promoting 2+2+2 will be held and participants will be surveyed to determine the effectiveness of the workshops in promoting successful 2+2+2 linkages.

<u>OBJECTIVE 6:</u> Establish an NSF advanced environmental technician education Fellows program to carry out activities which support and strengthen environmental education.

OBJECTIVE 6 KEY OUTCOMES: Thirty Fellows will be identified to participate in an annual two-week summer institute and to carry out activities as defined by the Curriculum Council. Five Fellows will be identified from each PETE region. Participation of underrepresented groups will be assured. Of the 30 Fellows, 10 will be math and science community college faculty, 10 will be



-9-

community college environmental faculty, and 10 will be high school math and science instructors.

GOAL 2: Strengthen the nation's environmental technician programs through provision of professional development opportunities for community college and secondary school educators.

OBJECTIVE 1: Conduct six annual Professional Development Instructors'
Conferences, on a regional basis, for the purpose of:

- Developing instructors' environmental technology skills and knowledge,
- Introducing environmental technician competency profiles, model curricula, 2+2+2 articulated programs, and other accomplishments of this project,
- 3. Introducing new instructional methods and materials including the teaming of computer, software, and communications technologies for classroom instruction,
- Developing instructors' telecomputing capabilities, thereby improving access to educational resources,
- 5. Facilitating technology transfer as well as educator, industry, professional society, governmental laboratory, and agency networking,
- 6. Disseminating information about ATEEC and the assistance to educators and students available through the Center.

OBJECTIVE 1 KEY OUTCOMES: A minimum of 600 environmental technology educators and practitioners will attend Professional Development Conferences annually. They will report advances in skills, knowledge and contacts leading to strengthened environmental technology education.

OBJECTIVE 2: Facilitate summer internships for community college and secondary educators and students in industry, governmental agencies, and federal laboratories for the purposes of:



-10-

- Exposing instructors and students to advanced environmental technologies,
- Providing an "on-the-job" environment to help instructors and students use academic knowledge in work applications,
- 3. Helping instructors see practical, "hands-on" applications of knowledge that may be taken back to the classroom,
- 4. Creating an exchange of knowledge and discussion between educators, students and practitioners.

OBJECTIVE 2 KEY OUTCOMES: Opportunities for internships will be identified regionally, and information about funding opportunities will be made available to educators and students. A minimum of 15 interns will be placed in FY 1995 with the number of placements increasing each year.

OBJECTIVE 3: Utilizing the ATEEC infrastructure, establish a framework for development and promulgation of national curriculum and teaching standards for two-year environmental programs.

OBJECTIVE 3 KEY OUTCOMES: The Professional Development Council of ATEEC will utilize consultants familiar with the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) work on standards to review and report on the infrastructure required to develop standards in the field of advanced environmental technology. The Professional Development Council will develop a plan of action to address the issue.

GOAL 3: Strengthen advanced technology environmental education through provision of support services for program improvement.

OBJECTIVE 1: Establish an ATEEC Clearinghouse for the purposes of:

- Providing Internet-accessible electronic databases of environmental programs, instructional resources, and new technologies,
- Promoting electronic and print communications among environmental students, educators, industry, agencies, and federal laboratories,



- 3. Publishing an ATEEC Tabloid News for print communication and information dissemination.
- 4. Establishing a physical library of instructional resources.

 OBJECTIVE 1 KEY OUTCOMES: A minimum of 300 educators will acknowledge that the ATEEC Clearinghouse services have strengthened environmental technology instructor skills and programs; electronic records will show the Clearinghouse to have logged 2,000 requests for information from educators, students, and industry; a minimum of 5,000 educators will receive the ATEEC Tabloid News.

OBJECTIVE 2: Promote use of the ATEEC Clearinghouse and educator/
student/industry/agency and federal laboratory involvement in ATEEC activity
by:

- Establishing a 1-800 number for environmental educators and others to access ATEEC information and receive assistance in navigating Internet resources,
- Building educators' capability in telecomputing, electronic information access and utilization,
- Exhibiting at conferences of educators and environmental professionals,
- 4. Publishing in professional journals.

OBJECTIVE 2 KEY OUTCOMES: Data collected will indicate a majority of the nation's environmental technology educators will know of ATEEC and make requests for information and/or inquire about becoming involved.



H 1 5-1 . E P A

establish referrals to one another REFERRALS: The state P2 proand sometimes perform joint gram and extension centers Some Ways that P2 Manufacturing Programs and

assessments.

Extension Centers can Cooperate

extension centers differentiate in program and the manufacturing DIFFERENTIATION: The state P2 the type of P2 assistance they

INTEGRATION: The state P2 procenter. See example below left. gram is an integral component of a manufacturing extension

gram receives funding through a COMBINATION: A state P2 prosub-contract from the manufacstate, yet remains autonomous. turing extension center in its

he Center for Industrial

Juiversity of Tennessee

is one model of

services (CIS) at the

New York, Ohlo, Tennessee, and summarizes Tennessee's effort: As of June 1994, some type of coordination has been initiated Wisconsin. The writtenp at left in states such as Minnesota,

> interaction. NIST is part-**IIST/State P2 Program**

nering with the state

environment and Conservation (TDEC) to fund US.

ermessee Department of

regulatory outhority, the

spoke of the strengths of the NIST/TDEC joint venture: "Industry views us KIST recently awarded OS S3.2 million to increase OS's extension neton staff as a result of the award. Smiley Capp, Assistant Director of CIS, vork. CIS is obnost doubling the number of environmental engineers as a resource. We exist to help business. We have industry trust."

Manufacturing Extension Partnership nformation

Extension Centers and planning 301-975-5020. This number is activities in your area, call

pollution prevention programs in your area, call: Prevention Programs nformation

Executive Director, Natalie Roy Pollution Prevention Programs' Vational Roundtable of State 202-543-P2P2 (7272)

Pollution Prevention Information Reference Guide to Pollution to request a copy of EPA's Prevention Resources. Clearinghouse at 202-260-1023 or contact the

revention THE MAHOMAL POUNDLARIE OF STAFF POLIUTION PERFENTION PROGRAMS cooluition

July 1994

For more information on MEP

State Pollution For more information on state a central line for MEP.

Achieve

Cooperating to

Future for Smaller U.S.

Competitive

Clean and

lanutacturers

U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards Manufacturing Extension Partnership Technology Administration

Office of Pollution Prevention U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Pollution Prevention Division

The NIST Partnership Manofacturing Extension

manufacturing extension centers. cooperating with state pollution (NIST), the National Roundtable Partnership (MEP), through its Agency (EPA) believe that the in meeting this challenge by prevention programs, where of State Pollution Prevention can better assist companies Standards and Technology The National Institute of Environmental Protection Manufacturing Extension Programs, and the appropriate.

and economic competifiveness

y exploring partnership

Dave Kling, Director Pollution Prevention Division, EPA

to achieve their mutual goals of environmental protection

advantage of opportunities

tote pollution prevention programs should take full

extension centers and the

effectively and efficiently helplng cooperation between the state pollution prevention programs smaller manufacturers become and MEP manufacturing extension centers in the interest of environmentally competitive. NIST and EPA encourage

competitive and environmentally upport firms' efforts to become as the need to be both sound. For those working to eaching out to the more than face many challenges, maller manufacturers but few are as great environmentally competitive,

350,000 smaller manufacturers

*

is an enormous logistical

challenge.

The NIST manufacturing

Environmental Projects 7A fundamental principle of pollution prevention programs and manufacturing extension MEP is to leverage resources David Gold, Regional apportunity for high import Manager, NIST MEP whereever possible. State centers represent a dear Manager and colloborofion.

cannot be achieved unless smaller tiveness of smaller manufacturers manufacturers are empowered strengthen the global competi-The mission of the MEP is to. sound while improving their In the long run, this mission to become environmentally competitiveness.

on existing state and local efforts These extension centers are built manufacturing extension centers. hrough a competitive process. lance to smaller manufacturers. As of April 1994, there are 35. network of not-for-profit manu-The MEP is achieving its mission by establishing a national which provide technical assisfacturing extension centers

ogether and to multiple sources of information through the MEP extension activities, NIST also mplementing manufacturing swards competitive planning The national manufacturing inks electronic network. To assist states in planning and extension system is linked grants to states.

State Pollution Programs Prevention (P2)



Roundtable of State P2 Program Administrator, Delaware Department *Pollution prevention is all Chairperson, National centers, working with state State P2 Programs, will help shape a deaner about networking. MEP's and local P2 programs, and more competitive monufacturing sector. Programs, and Philip Cherry,

hrough voluntary and regulatory state has a pollution prevention Since 1989, a major expansion of state P2 programs has taken program. State P2 programs place. Today, virtually every meet their objectives both ncentives.

Many states are now integratng Innovative, multi-media P2 efforts into their existing state environmental regulatory programs.

echnical assistance help industry non-regulatory, on-site pollution with a focus on small businesses. phone assistance over a hotline; dentify P2 opportunities, often These technical assistance proor referrals to industry-specific The state programs that offer grams offer free, confidential, and waste assessments; tele-

ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS

STATUS REPORTS

July 26, 1994

NIST MANUFACTURING EXTENSION PARTNERSHIP



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOE Environmental Visiting Staff Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 2.2	3
Energy, Environment, Manufacturing Technology Access	4
Environmental Legislation	5
Environmental Tools & Resources	6
Environmental Training	7
Integrating Environmental Services into MEP Centers	8
Integration with STEP Planning Process	9
Interactive Satellite Telecasts Environmental Strategy Goal Number:1.3	10
Los Angeles Area Pollution Prevention Center (PPC)	11
National Environmental Resource Centers	12
Overall Coordination With EPA	13
Recycling Technology Assistance Partnership (ReTAP)	15
State Pollution Prevention Center Coordination	17



DOE Environmental Visiting Staff

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 2.2

Timeframe: August 1994 - August 1995

Background:

In order to increase the coordination and integration of DOE laboratories' environmental activities into the MEP it will be useful to have a full-time DOE laboratory employee resident at NIST. The DOE Savannah River facility has expressed an interest in such an arrangement.

Key Objectives(Draft):

- Assessment of the most significant environmental constraints impinging the competitiveness of manufacturers within two to four important industrial processes.
- Compilation of a broad inventory of environmentally-related expertise and resources at major DOE facilities.
- Compilation of process-specific inventories of environmentally-related expertise and resources at major DOE facilities
- Cooperation with the Environmental Protection Agency temporary staff person on assignment to MEP in exploring the barriers to commercialization of environmental technologies and the potential development of a pilot project in this area.
- Assisting in review of competitive proposals for environmentally-related MEP projects and provide input and comments on other activities of the MEP Environmental Projects Group.

Update:

A statement of work is being negotiated for a visiting staff person from Savannah River. The deliverables in this draft plan are summarized above.

Project Contact: N/A

MEP Contact:David Gold, 301-975-5020

Funding Level: \$N/A



3

Energy, Environment, Manufacturing Technology Access

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 3.3 and 3.5

Timeframe: March 1994 - March 1996

Background:

The Energy, Environment, Manufacturing (EEM) Technology Access Project is a joint effort of NIST, the NIST Great Lakes MTC, the NIST Midwest MTC, EPA, DOE, the Northeast-Midwest Institute and several industry associations. The project is managed by NIST with funding from the Advanced Research Projects Agency's Technology Reinvestment Project. The overarching goal of the project is to create tools and methodologies which will enhance the ability of smaller manufacturers and technical assistance providers to identify, in an integrated fashion, the key areas in their operations which can have a positive impact on energy efficiency, environmental soundness or competitiveness. Once created and piloted, these tools will be disseminated to other extension organizations.

Key Objectives:

- Integrated environmental, energy efficiency and manufacturing competitiveness assessment methodology. This tool will enable field engineers at NIST extension centers to more comprehensively assess a manufacturer's operations.
- Streamlined self-assessment tool which smaller manufacturers can use independently to obtain a first-cut comprehensive assessment of their manufacturing operations.
- Pilot test assessment methodologies and disseminate tool to other technical assistance organizations.
- Environmental and energy efficiency benchmarking tool as a companion to an existing competitive benchmarking tool for certain metal finishing and metal stamping SIC codes.

Update:


Early stages of this effort have been focused on planning the details of carrying out a successful project and hiring some additional key staff members. Initial information has begun to be gathered on existing manufacturing assessment methodologies which will serve as the foundation for the integrated tool.

Project Contact: Ken Saulter 313-769-4234

MEP Contact: David Gold 301-975-5020

Funding Level:FY94 \$2.44M (\$1.01M NIST/TRP, \$1.43M match)

FY95 \$2.81M (\$1.02M NIST/TRP, \$1.79M match)





Environmental Legislation

Environmental Strategy Goal Number:

1.1, 2.1 AND 2.2

Timeframe: Ongoing

Background:

In the past few years, the debate over environmental policy has shifted as firms and governments increasingly agree that environmental sustainability is important for long-term economic growth. In contrast to the resentment of past years, in which the prevention of pollution often was treated as a burden, a consensus is emerging that industrial competitiveness can be enhanced thorough the integration of principles of environmental sustainability. Continued innovation in environmental technologies and environmentally sustainable manufacturing are seen as a route to greater productivity, new markets, and long-term viability.

The Environmental Technology Act of 1994 takes steps toward joining the goals of environmental protection and economic growth by leveraging innovation in a more proactive relationship with industry. Major provisions include: (1) improving the coordination of federal research, development, and demonstration of environmental technologies; (2) promoting environmental technology development, and demonstration; (3) encouraging innovation by improving the ability of firms to verify the performance of new environmental technologies; (4) increasing national awareness of the opportunities of environmental technologies; (5) improving the technical basis for evaluating environmental technologies.

Key Objectives:

- · Track legislation through the current congress.
- Initiate and follow through on MEP comments on language suggestions/changes.

Update:

5

HR 3870 is scheduled for House floor action on Tuesday, July 26, 1994. Its Senate companion S 978, passed the Senate on May 11, 1994 with a vote of 85-14, vote #108.

Project Contact:

N/A

MEP Contact:

Linda Acierto,

301-975-5033

Funding Level:

N/A



Environmental Tools & Resources

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.5 Timeframe: Ongoing

Background:

No individual whether from a manufacturing company or a technical assistance organization, can be expected to be an expert in everything. This is certainly true on environmental issues. Implementing the best technologies or techniques which will enable a manufacturer to be environmentally sound in the most competitive manner requires a process of identifying problems and opportunities and then searching for details on alternatives. The MEP must empower both technical assistance providers and manufacturers with the tools and resources they need to do this.

Key Objectives:

- Enhance methodologies for assessing manufacturing operations and identifying key environmental issues and opportunities in the context of maintaining or increasing the company's competitiveness
- Increase the availability of tools which allow manufacturers to compare their environmental soundness with other manufacturers in their industrial sector.
- Increase access to easy to use, reliable and up-to-date environmental information on alternatives, technical considerations, cost considerations, as well as environmental risk and regulatory information.

Update:

MEP has already initiated a major project which is developing an integrated environmental, energy efficiency and competitiveness manufacturing assessment methodology as well as environmental benchmarking tools for some metal industry sectors. The MEP will be running a competition in the Fall of 1994 for the creation of environmental tools and resources. \$2.5M will be available for this competition but these funds will be used in the same competition to fund integration projects as well. In addition, a separate \$450k will be competed for the creation of a pilot environmental resource center for the metal finishing industry.

Project Contact: N/A

MEP Contact: David Gold, 301-975-5020

Funding Level:FY94 \$2.44M (\$1.01M NIST/TRP, \$1.43M match)

FY95 up to \$6.06M (\$2.0M EPA, \$1.25M NIST, 1.02M NIST/TRP, \$1.79M matcl (Actual amount in FY95 will be dependent on the proportion of funds ultimately devoted to these types of projects in the joint competition with integration projects being run).



6

NIST MEP, Building 224, Room B115, Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Environmental Training

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 1.4, 3.5

Timeframe: June, 1994; multi-year project

Background:

Although a very good general competitiveness assessment training package is being developed, modules need to be examined or separate training programs need to be developed in order to increase the field engineers' knowledge and awareness of environmental problems and issues.

Key Objectives:

- To ensure that all technical assistance personnel are aware of environmental opportunities and issues within small manufacturing plants at a basic level.
- To offer more in-depth environmental training to environmentally-interested field engineers to keep them abreast of the latest developments.
- Train-the-trainer workshops will be a part of the scope of work so that field engineers are able to participate and thereby train the small businesspeople so that they can train their workers to prevent pollution.

Update:

MEP is creating a series of sessions for the November meeting of the National Pollution Prevention Roundtable. These sessions are being designed for both the industrial extension service agents and state pollution prevention agents.

Other environmental training efforts are occurring such as MAMTC's pollution prevention for smaller manufacturers interactive telecast and GLMTC's telecasts on "alternatives to spray painting" and "switching to aqueous based cleaning solutions."

MEP is currently working with RPI to create a five day core curriculum. Environmental concerns will be presented through examples and case studies throughout the course.

Project Contact: N/A

MEP Contact: Krista Johnsen, 301-975-5104

Funding Level: To Be Determined



7

Integrating Environmental Services into MEP Centers

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 1.1

Timeframe: Beginning Fall of 1994

Background:

In the long run, the MEP will have difficulty carrying out its mission unless it enables smaller manufacturers to be environmentally sound while remaining competitive. For this reason, it is essential that MEP's rapidly growing infrastructure of extension centers be leveraged to provide environmentally-related technical assistance to smaller manufacturers.

Key Objectives:

- Integrate environmental services into at least 90% of extension centers by 1997.
- Ensure that integration is done in a way which leverages other existing local resources.
- Ensure that environmental services are a portion of a field engineer's portfolio rather than just an adjunct activity of an extension center.

Update:

In the Fall of 1994 a competition will be run to fund the pilot integration of environmentally-related services into MEP extension centers. \$2.5M will be available for this competition but these funds will be used in the same competition to fund environmental tool and resource development projects as well. The typical project probably being in the \$200k-\$400k range.

Project Contact: N/A

MEP Contact: David Gold, 301-975-5020

Funding Level: Up to \$2.5M in FY95 (\$1.5M EPA and \$1.0M NIST)

(Actual amount in FY95 will be dependent on the proportion of funds ultimately devoted to these types of projects in the joint competition with

integration projects being run).



Integration with STEP Planning Process

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 2.3

Timeframe : Continuous

Background:

The MEP's State Technology Extension Program (STEP) planning grants provide the opportunity for states to conduct a thorough strategic planning process for their state's manufacturing extension system. These activities allow a state to create a coordinated extension effort which leverages existing resources to the greatest exten feasible. Environmental issues typically are identified as a technical assistance need of manufacturers during such a strategic planning effort. Thus, STEP planning grants provide an opportunity to plan the environmental aspects of a comprehensive state manufacturing extension effort.

Key Objectives:

- Educate MEP Regional Managers about the Importance of addressing environmental issues as part of a state's strategic planning process for manufacturing extension services under STEP grants.
- Include reference to environmental issues as an example in future STEP solicitations.
- Mail MEP Environmental Strategy to all STEP planning award winners.
- Mail state pollution prevention program contact list to each STEP award winner and vice versa.

Update:

Regional Managers have been briefed on the Environmental Strategy. Once cooperative agreements are completed with the current round of STEP planning award winners, copies of the environmental strategy will be sent with a letter encouraging them to consider environmental issues.

Project Contact: N/A

MEP Contact: David Gold, 301-975-5020

Funding Level: \$N/A



Interactive Satellite Telecasts

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 1.3

Timeframe : Continuous

Background:

The NIST MEP is currently funding a project which will provide 14 interactive satellite telecasts per year. Each telecast will be 2-3 hours in length and offers the opportunity for viewers to ask questions from a live panel of the presenters. A dozen of these will be on topics of interest to smaller manufacturers and will be downlinked to the MEP extension centers. The remaining two will be focused on topics of interest for the field engineers themselves. The objective is to leverage this media for delivery of environmentally-focused interactive satellite telecasts.

Key Objectives:

- Two interactive satellite telecasts on Total Cost Accounting during FY 1995. The first will be targeted at field engineers; the second for smaller manufacturers
- Expansion of the interactive satellite telecast series to include an environmentally focused component.

Update:

EPA has committed to funding the two telecasts on Total Cost Accounting. Funds will be requested through EPA's Environmental Technology Initiative for approximately three telecasts per year on environmental issues.

Project Contact: N/A

MEP Contact:David Gold, 301-975-5020 Funding Level: FY95 \$150K (from EPA).



Los Angeles Area Pollution Prevention Center (PPC)

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 1.1 & 5.1

Timeframe: February, 1994; 2 year project

Background:

IRTA, the Institute for Research and Technical Assistance, is a non-profit established in 1990, in Santa Monica, California. IRTA applied for a TRP award in 1993 to create a Pollution Prevention Center to provide technical assistance to help smaller manufacturers in the L.A. Basin in reducing or eliminating their use of solvents in a variety of applications. In IRTA's proposal, PPC had one state and four local regulatory agencies as well as a large utility among its supporters. PPC's cooperative agreement became effective on February, 1994.

Key Objectives

- 15 firms will undergo intensive on-site technical assistance to eliminate their use of solvent-based cleaners.
- · Case studies will be written from these 15 interventions.
- 10 demonstrations of emerging technologies will occur.
- · Interaction with regulators to modify state and local regulations will occur.
- · An outreach program will be created which includes a quarterly newsletter and conferences.

Update:

Since February 9, the Center has focused its efforts on forming an advisory committee and holding the first of the committee's quarterly meetings; finalizing its operating plan; getting its financial management system on-line; and getting its proposed projects underway. The two-year proposed projects are split into the following categories: bath cleaning and handwipe, adhesives, dry cleaning, wood products industry, and aerospace subcontractors.

IRTA has recently created a participant contract policy as well as a membership program. The participant contract contains the following five elements: 1. a description of the work to be done, 2. a space to assign company personnel to the project, 3. an agreement, signed by upper management, to commit and provide resources to identifying and testing alternatives, 4. a disclaimer which holds PPC harmless for conversions/ decisions, and 5. a budget and timeframe estimate for the project. The membership program will charge a one-time fee to firms to receive assistance and/or the PPC's publications. The following four categories for membership: are proposed member, test participant, honorary member, and subscriber.

Project Contact: Katy Wolf (310) 453-0450 MEP Contact: Krista Johnsen (301) 975-5104

Funding Level: FY94 \$365,000 (\$94,000 NIST/TRP, \$94,000 match, \$177,000 non-

match resources)

11



NIST MEP, Building 224, Room B115, Gaithersburg, MD 20899

National Environmental Resource Centers

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 3.4

Timeframe: Beginning in Fail 1994

Background:

An enormous amount of environmental information is available through multiple means today. However, given a specific issue, it is very difficult to quickly find reliable technical information. To overcome this problem will likely require individual organizations with a mission of providing access to accurate, up-to-date and easily accessible environmental information. For these organizations to be successful they will need to focus on specific industrial sectors or processes. A major goal of a resource center would be to make such information available through user-friendly, internet-accessible systems. In addition, information would likely be available by phone, fax and printed material. In general, the center will gather, or create access to, information from other sources to build it's information base. However, when no good source of a needed type of information appears to exist, the center may take steps to catalyze the development of the needed data. Finally, the resource center will publish periodic reports on environmentally-related R&D needs of the industrial sector or process based on the information it obtains in interacting with industry and technical assistance organizations.

Key Objectives:

• Create streamlined access to easy to use, reliable and up-to-date environmentally-related information for specific industrial sectors/processes. Information will include environmentally-related technical options, technical process information, environmental risk information, and possibly even regulatory information. The goal is to enable manufacturers and technical assistance organizations to effectively understand and evaluate environmentally-related options and constraints.

Update:

12

A pilot National Resource Center for the metal finishing industry will be competed in the Fall of 1994. This pilot will be funded jointly by NIST and EPA and will steer the course for this effort in the MEP. If the pilot proves successful, it is hoped that additional National Resource Centers for additional sectors/processes will be initiated in following years.

Project Contact: N/A

MEP Contact: David Gold 301-975-5020

Funding Level: FY95 approximately \$800K (\$450K NIST/EPA, \$350K estimated match)



Overall Coordination With EPA

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 2.1

Timeframe: Ongoing

Background:

At the national level, efforts to assist smaller manufacturers need to work in concert to achieve their common goals. This is especially true with respect to environmental issues where several agencies play major roles. No agency has as direct a role as that of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Coordination must be more than a simple hand shake between agencies. It must be a meaningful working relationship where projects and planning are coordinated and integrated.

Key Objectives:

- · Coordinate efforts wherever possible and productive with the EPA.
- Explore the possibility of coordinated budget requests with EPA.

Update:

The NIST MEP currently has multiple joint projects and activities with various parts of EPA. These include:

Office of Research and Development

A \$2.4M interagency agreement in FY94 will fund integration projects, tool development projects and part of a pilot national resource center. Also, a full time EPA employee from the Risk Reduction Laboratory has been assigned to the MEP as a visiting staff person at NIST for six to twelve months.

Design for Environment Project

A \$600K interagency agreement in FY94 will fund part of the pilot national resource center, some industry profile and benchmarking development for the metal finishing industry, and two interactive satellite telecasts on Total Cost Accounting.

Office of Information Resources Management

A \$190K interagency agreement in FY94 will fund a project which will allow greater fusion of environmental information residing in multiple databases.

Office of Solid Waste

A \$450k interagency agreement in FY94 covering two years will expand the outreach activities of the NIST managed Recycling Technology Assistance Partnership.

Pollution Prevention Division

The MEP and the Pollution Prevention Division (PPD) will be releasing a joint brochure to the

13

NIST MEP, Building 224, Room B115, Gaithersburg, MD 20899



NIST MEP Environmental Project Status Report

July 26, 1994

NIST extension centers and PPD's state pollution prevention programs. The objective of this brochure is to increase awareness of the organizations about each other's existence. In addition, the MEP will be cosponsoring the National Pollution Prevention Roundtable's conference in November of 1994. A full day of this conference will be focused on technical assistance to smaller manufacturers and coordination between these organizations.

Project Contact: N/A

MEP Contact: David Gold, 301-975-5020

Funding Level: A total of over \$3.5M in EPA funding for joint projects expected during FY95 using FY94 funds. MEP will be contributing at least an additional \$1.25M to these joint projects.





Recycling Technology Assistance Partnership (ReTAP)

Environmental Strategy Goal Number: 1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, 3.1 and 3.4. Timeframe: February 1994-February 1998

Background:

The Recycling Technology Assistance Partnership (ReTAP) seeks to transform the materials use practices of smaller manufacturers to attain greater efficiency through recycling as a critical step in the modernization of America's industrial base. By providing recycling technology extension services at the local level in Washington State and building a network of technology service providers and technology sources to disseminate technical information on recycling technology nationally. ReTAP will reduce the cost and risk of adopting recycling technologies to manufacturers. ReTAP is a joint project of the Clean Washington Center, Washington State's lead agency for market development of recyclable materials, and the National Recycling Coalition (NRC), a national association dedicated to advancing recycling. As a NIST MEP pilot project, ReTAP's four-year goal is to integrate recycling technology services into the services offered by NIST manufacturing extension center field engineers and to create a base of recycling information which these engineers and individual companies can easily access.

Key Objectives:

- Conducts technology validation projects with private companies which test technology developments for local application as solutions to specific problems as a means to extending the limits of recycled materials use in products and processes.
- Conducts in-plant process assessments to increase the use of recycled feedstocks and eliminating costly waste practices.
- Systematically scans information on recycling technologies relative to the needs identified in technology needs analyses.
- Compile information from above activities and make them easily accessible ultimately via electronically accessible database(s).
- Perform outreach and training events for technical assistance providers across the country to educate them about the benefits and methodologies for increasing use of recycled materials as well as about the information which ReTAP has available for their use.

Update:

The ReTap project has recently been initiated and has completed its start-up phase. Hiring of additional engineers has been completed and initiation of validation and assessment projects has begun. The Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Solid Waste is planning to enter into an inter-agency agreement with NIST for a total of \$450K over two years to expand the outreach portion of the ReTAP project.

Project Contact: Vicki Sonntag, 206-464-6009

15

NIST MEP, Building 224, Room B115, Gaithersburg, MD 20899



NET MEP Environmental Project Status Report

July 26, 1994

MEP Contact: Krista Johnsen 301-975-5020

Funding Level:FY94 \$2.6M (\$1.3M NIST/TRP, \$1.3M match)

FY95 \$2.8M (\$1.1M NIST/TRP, \$1.5M match)

FY96 \$2.9M (\$0.9M NIST/TRP, \$2.0M match)

FY97 \$2.2M (\$0.7M NIST/TRP, \$1.5M match)



Minutes of Meeting Minutes

Hazardous Materials Management Skill Standard

Advisory Subcommittee on Certification

July 14 - 15, 1994
Roney Teaching Center, Waco, TX

The agenda for the meeting is attached. A list of attendees is also attached. Purpose of the Meeting:

Review current certification programs related to hazardous materials management that are maintained by professional societies. Investigate certification and licenser programs in other technology areas. Structure a framework that can be used for certification of Hazardous Materials Management Technicians that will be consistent with the Skills Standard being developed.

Meeting Activities:

Walt Edling, Vice President for Service Programs at CORD, gave an introduction to CORD and to the HazMat Skill Standard Project. He set the stage by explaining the importance of skill standards to the overall educational system and how they fit into a seamless curriculum leading from school to an occupation. Assessment and certification of the occupational skill plays a key role in this curriculum.

Jim Johnson give a review of the project activities to date and those activities planned for the remainder of the project. It was explained that Reggi Moore from NEHA will assist with the development of an industrial survey. He will begin by incorporating recommendations made during several focus group meetings into the current version of the task/activity outline. Particularly, recommendations made be advisory committee members during the June 17 Advisory Committee Meeting in Fort Worth will be integrated into the outline. A survey will be prepared from the results.

The representative of each professional society present gave an overview of the certification program they are affiliated with. This included the following:

Rick Richardson NETA Certified Environmental

Trainer (CET)

Reggie Moore NEHA
Dan McGrew HMCRI
Jim Talley NAEP

Jean Drevdahl ABIH/BESP CSP

Certification and licensing associated with other technologies was investigated also. Jean Drevdahl explained the licensing requirements associated with nursing at different levels. Similarities and differences between state requirements was also discussed. Alan Sosbe gave an overview of the ASE certification for Automotive Technology. The ASE and NATEF have developed a process to certify training programs as well as individuals. This model appeared to have many similarities with the goals of the HazMat Skills Standard Certification efforts.

Valerie Sherwood explained the work that she was involved relative to assessment in the Skill Standards programs in Great Britain. Assessment is the key to a successful certification program. Several comments and questions were raised about "performance based" assessment. Although it was agreed that this was a desirable component of a certification program for technicians, care must be taken to assure that assessors are using common guidelines for the assessment procedures. A reasonable method for technician certification may be to have the performance bases assessment accomplished during a training program rather than as part of a comprehensive exam at the end of the training program.



The meeting reconvened on Friday morning with group discussions. Each group was to design a certification framework and to make recommendations for future activities in this area. The summation of the discussions showed the following:

- a. pursues certification of training programs and of individuals completing those programs.
- b. attempt to work within an existing structure, such as the Partnership for Environmental Technology Education (PETE) for the certification of training programs.
- c. A comprehensive examination with a performance based component should be established for technicians. PETE as well as professional societies can provide this type of certification.
- d. in addition to a comprehensive certification, individuals should have the opportunity to be certified for specific specialties. These may include asbestos, lead, nuclear, etc.
- e. the Hazardous Materials Management Technology Skill Standard must be complete enough to serve as the basis for any certification program. It must also be accurate and include all aspects of the technology.
- f. each committee member agreed to evaluate the outline of skills to verify that is complete and accurate before NEHA completes and mails the survey form.
- g. the professions societies represented, agree to use their mailing lists to help distribute the survey to as wide of an audience as possible.
- h. arrangements will be made by Rick Richardson to discuss these concepts with the PETE Board of Directors.



Hazardous Materials Management Skill Standard Meeting

July 14-15, 1994

Attendees List

Jerry Atlas TSTC 3801 Campus Dr. Waco, Tx 76705 (800) 792-8784 Robert L. Bear FEC 205 Cambridge Dr. Longwood, FL 32779 W: (407) 682-4462 Fax: (407) 682-7256

Jean Drevdahl 17524 NW Bernard Beaverton, OR 97006 H: (503) 629-0573 W: (503) 244-6111 x 5628 Llewellyn Fambles OSTI 8415 W. Belifort #300 Houston, TX 77031 (800) 270-6882

Jim Johnson HAZMAT, Project Director 601 Lake Air Drive Waco, TX 76710-5878 (800) 972-2766 Fax: (817) 772-8972 Dr. C. Daniel McGrew HMCRI One Church St. #200 Rockville, MD 20850 (301) 251-1900 Fax: (301) 738-2330

Reggie Moore NEHA 720 South Colorado Blvd. Suite 970 Denver, CO 80222 (303) 756-9090 Ed Price TSTC 3810 Campus Dr. Waco, TX 76705 (800) 792-8784

Charles (Rick) Richardson NETA 2930 E. Camelback Rd., #185 Phoenix, AZ 85016 (602) 956-6099 Valorie Sherwood James Martin & Co. 1300 Fox Hollow Denton, TX 76205 W & Fax: (817) 383-9481



Alan Sosbe CORD 601 Lake Air Dr. Waco, TX 76710-5878 (800) 972-2766 Fax: (817) 772-8972

Jim Talley 5302 W. 6th St. Stillwater, OK 74075 (405) 624-0018 Represents NAEP Sharon R. Speer OSTI 9000 W. Bellfort, Suite 570 Houston, TX 77031 (800) 270-6882



TAMBARDS REPORT

WHAT IS A SKILL STANDARD?

By Jim Johnson

riefly stated, a skill standard is a list of skills, knowledge, and level of ability that a person must possess to be successful in a given occupation. There are as many ways of developing a skill standard as there are funded projects, but some common elements exist in all



An important component of the Clinton Administration's educational vision for the United States is the development of Occupational Skill Standards. As part of the initiative, Goals 2000: Educate America, twenty-two skill standards development projects have been funded. This is a joint effort of the Departments of Labor and Education. The Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) has received funding from the Department of Education for two of the projects—Photonics and Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT).

The intent of *Skill Standards Report* is to communicate the progress of these and other skill standards projects and to share future plans and activities as the projects proceed.

projects. A thorough definition of the occupation is essential. Typically included in the definition is a task list. This is not a simple list of activities but rather a description of the level of ability to which each task must be performed. For instance, if a photonics technician understands safety eyewear, does he/she simply use the eyewear provided for them or do they clean and store the eyewear, select those appropriate to the laser in use, test them for compliance, or design the eyewear for a given task?

After a comprehensive task list has been formulated, an analysis must be conducted to determine the skills and knowledge needed to

successfully complete each task. Some skills may be very general in nature and applicable to a wide range of technologies, while others are very specific to a given occupation. Again, using the eyewear example, to understand the concept of optical density (OD) of protective filters, a photonics technician must know that an increase of 1 OD number (ie: from 3 to 4) increases the attenuation of the filter by a factor of 10 (from an optical attenuation of 1000 times to an attenuation of 10,000 times). A knowledge of exponents from mathematics is necessary to understand this concept. However, occupational skill standards would not attempt to define all the mathematical skills and knowledge involved with this concept.

MAKING THE TASK/SKILL LIST A "STANDARD"

Using the premise that standards are statements or. policies that define a "norm" of expected outcomes, a skill standard must be recognized as the national norm for a particular occupation. Standards typically fall into two categories—regulatory or consensus. Regulatory standards are legislated into action and enforced by federal, state, or local authorities. Examples include the standards published by the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) or OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration). Skill standards are voluntary which means that they have become a standard by a consensus of opinion. The common ANSI (American National Standards Institute) standards are well-known examples of voluntary because they have been designed and agreed upon by committees of experts on the subject. Likewise, skill standards are voluntary standards designed by a coalition of experts. Both the Photonics project and the HMMT project have developed extensive coalitions of leaders from business, industry, government, professional societies, and education.

- story continued on next page

Inside:

Skill Standards Meet Tech Prep

Task Collection Theory

Photonics & HMMT Updates

TASK COLLECTION THEORY: METHODOLOGIES AND LOGISTICS FOR THE PHOTONICS SKILL STANDARDS PROJECT

By Darrell Hull

evising industry skill standards is a complex endeavor. The first and most important step toward standards development is taken when representatives from the designated industry are asked "What tasks must be accomplished by technicians in your field?"

Because photonics and HMM are emerging technologies, the skill standards that will be developed through these projects must be as forward-looking as possible. The involvement of first line managers, engineers, or scientists that work directly with technicians is vital in this planning stage. Because these individuals are responsible for creating lasting improvements for photonics products or related services and are familiar with technician tasks and job requirements, they we a good sense of what the industry will race over the next five years.

Before industry representatives can respond to our question, we must first define the term "task." For the photonics skill standards project we will refer to tasks as assignments technicians must be able to accomplish on the job. Other skill standards projects may use a term such as objectives or competencies to describe what we call technician tasks. Regardless of the terminology, all skill standards projects will eventually link the needs of industry with the curriculum and training provided at educational institutions in order to provide technicians with the skills needed to be successful in their future jobs in industry.

To compile a comprehensive list of tasks, the industry representatives will be provided lists of tools and equipment along with action verbs that they will use to briefly state the tasks that technicians must perform. Industry committees, which will meet in June and July, will match action verbs with common tools or equipment. As task lists are gathered, the photonics project staff will organize the statements using Bloom's Taxonomy, a tool frequently used by educators, hich will allow classification of tasks by the degree of cognitive processing required.

Once industry tasks have been defined for photonics technicians, committees of educators will be asked to translate those tasks into skills technicians must possess to be able to accomplish them. In a future issue of *Skill Standards Report*, we will focus on the translation of the tasks set forth by the photonics industry into skills developed by educators.

Category: Analysis, Test and Measurement

	Align	Select	Specify	Purchase	Fabricate	Mount	Install	Position	Rearrange	Repair	Refurbish	Integrate	Alter	Classify	Identify	Demonstrate	Operate	
Boxcar Averagers																		
Densitometers																		
Interferometers																		
Microdensitometers																		L
Microscopes																		L.
Monochrometers														_				L
Optics Metrology Equipment																		_
Power/Energy Meters																		
Radiometers/Photometers																		
Reflectometers																		L
Spectroradiometers																		
Spectrum Analyzers																		
Time-Delay Generators																		

As Photonics task statements are gathered, they will be classified by degree of cognitive processing required to complete the task.

PhotonicsBEST Meeting

BUSINESS & EDUCATION STANDARDS FOR TECHNICIANS

July 23 in San Diego

- Photonics Engineers
- Corporate Managers
- Corporate Administrators
- Photonics Technicians


Visit our booth at CLEO in Anaheim



... "What is a Skill Standard?" continued

IMPACT OF SKILL STANDARDS ON INDUSTRY

Skill standards are intended to be industry led. Industry must define tasks and activities that employees are expected to perform. Educators participate in the process and help breakdown the tasks into skills and then take the lead in developing the educational objectives and training activities to instill these skills. The process and involvement of industry and education is illustrated below.



IMPACT OF SKILL STANDARDS ON EDUCATION

The current skill standards development program will define twenty-two different occupations from a national perspective. These standards can be used by schools as guidelines for implementing new training programs or evaluating existing programs. The standards will accelerate the design of new programs and curriculum, but schools must mold the national skill standards to the needs of local industry by forming local coalitions of experts. This has a secondary benefit because it will allow for improved communication between schools and local industry.

Uniform job descriptions benefit both industry and education by providing a clearly recognizable educational target. This is a double-edged sword because educational providers will be judged and held accountable to the standard.

SKILL STANDARDS MEET TECH PREP

NATIONAL TECH PREP NETWORK TO SHOWCASE PROJECTS AT SPRING CONFERENCE

By Julie Vitale

he most logical marriage of initiatives between education and industry is that of the Tech Prep/Associate Degree movement and the Industry Skill Standards projects. Both are federally-funded projects (Tech Prep funded through the Department of Education and Skill Standards funded through both the Departments of Education and Labor) with the purpose of better preparing students to enter the workforce.

Tech Prep/Associate Degree is a philosophy and a process of designing curriculum to connect secondary and postsecondary education levels as well as to integrate the academic and vocational skills and knowledge necessary to prepare for a career field. With this in mind, the skill standards projects add a much needed and critical component of a Tech Prep curriculum; the outcomes that a Tech Prep student must have upon exiting education and entering the workforce. Tech Prep educators are seeking advice, input, and involvement from businesses around the country and in various occupations to help them devise a logical sequence of courses that fully develop the skills industry requires now as well as in the future. Tech Prep graduates will be a new kind of employee; one that can continuously build their skills upon a foundation of solid math and science concepts.

The first step toward collaboration will be taken on April 10, at the National Tech Prep Network (NTPN) Conference in Baltimore. Project directors of various skill standards projects will conduct a pre-conference session to present the total scope and outcomes of their projects to Tech Prep educators. This gathering of education and industry representatives will initiate a dialogue between those who have a vested interest in skill standards and educational reform.

For more information on the NTPN Pre-Conference session, contact Jim Johnson at CORD, 800-972-2766.

Skill Standards Seminar
October 3 - 4, 1994
Roney Teaching Center
Waco, Texas

- ✓ AGENDA: Assisting Schools in Program Evaluation
- ✓ PARTICIPANTS: Representatives from Industry and Education



TASK COLLECTION THEORY: METHODOLOGIES AND LOGISTICS FOR THE PHOTONICS SKILL STANDARDS PROJECT

By Darrell Hull

evising industry skill standards is a complex endeavor. The first and most important step toward standards development is taken when representatives from the designated industry are asked "What tasks must be accomplished by technicians in your field?"

Because photonics and HMM are emerging technologies, the skill standards that will be developed through these projects must be as forward-looking as possible. The involvement of first line managers, engineers, or scientists that work directly with technicians is vital in this planning stage. Because these individuals are responsible for creating lasting improvements for photonics products or related services and are familiar with technician tasks and job requirements, they a good sense of what the industry will ace over the next five years.

Before industry representatives can respond to our question, we must first define the term "task." For the photonics skill standards project we will refer to tasks as assignments technicians must be able to accomplish on the job. Other skill standards projects may use a term such as objectives or competencies to describe what we call technician tasks.

Regardless of the terminology, all skill standards projects will eventually link the needs of industry with the curriculum and training provided at educational institutions in order to provide technicians with the skills needed to be successful in their future jobs in industry.

To compile a comprehensive list of tasks, the industry representatives will be provided lists of tools and equipment along with action verbs that they will use to briefly state the tasks that technicians must perform. Industry committees, which will meet in June and July, will match action verbs with common tools or equipment. As task lists are gathered, the photonics project staff will organize the statements using Bloom's Taxonomy, a tool frequently used by educators, hich will allow classification of tasks by the degree of cognitive processing required.

Once industry tasks have been defined for photonics technicians, committees of educators will be asked to translate those tasks into skills technicians must possess to be able to accomplish them. In a future issue of *Skill Standards Report*, we will focus on the translation of the tasks set forth by the photonics industry into skills developed by educators.

Category: Analysis, Test and Measurement

	Align	Select	Specify	Purchase	Fabricate	Mount	Install	Position	Rearrange	Repair	Refurbish	Integrate	Alter	Classify	Identify	Demonstrate	Operate	
Boxcar Averagers																		
Densitometers																		
Interferometers																		
Microdensitometers																		
Microscopes																		
Monochrometers																		
Optics Metrology Equipment																		
Power/Energy Meters																		
Radiometers/Photometers																		
Reflectometers																		
Spectroradiometers																		
Spectrum Analyzers																		
Time-Delay Generators																		

As Photonics task statements are gathered, they will be classified by degree of cognitive processing required to complete the task.

PhotonicsBEST Meeting

BUSINESS & EDUCATION STANDARDS FOR TECHNICIANS

July 23 in San Diego

- Photonics Engineers
- Corporate Managers
- Corporate Administrators
- Photonics Technicians

Visit our booth at CLEO in Anaheim





Jim Johnson

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR

PROJECT: HMMT

The HMMT project has established an advisory committee which met for the first time on December 3, 1993 and consists of 40 representatives from industry, labor, societ-

ies, education, and government agencies. Four groupings or specialities within HMMT were identified: Compliance, Remediation, Laboratory/Analytical, and STD (storage, transportation, and disposal). They are all expected to have a similar foundation of HMMT skills but possess enhanced skills in the speciality area.

Regional "focus" group meetings are being conducted to help identify local variations in HMMT requirements. The first one of its kind was held on February 23 at South Seattle Community College, and another was held in Miami on March 8. Additional meetings are being planned for Albuquerque, New Orleans, and Atlanta. The second advisory committee meeting is being planned for Fort Worth in June to coordinate with the annual meeting of the National Environmental Health Association. A survey is being prepared for distribution to several hundred potential employers of HMM technicians, with the results expected to be compiled and validated by the Advisory Committee later in the summer and eventually isseminated at the Skill Standards seminar in October.



Darrell Hull

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR

PROJECT: PHOTONICS

Considerable efforts are still underway to broaden CORD's list of industry relationships and contacts with significant representation from industry and education

constituents on this project. The industry or business connection, we feel, must be exceptionally strong if we are to devise standards that are meaningful. Subsequently, the contingent from industry is taking time to assemble. If you have agreed to participate with us on the project or have nominated someone to participate and have yet to be contacted, please be patient, as we are attempting to put together complete committees and notify those individuals as soon as possible.

Our primary source of industry/business contacts is from the professional societies that already serve the photonics field, specifically, the SPIE (the International Society for Optical Engineering), the OSA (Optical Society of America) and the ASLMS (American Society for Laser Medicine and Surgery). Once we have received validated task lists from our industry/business participants, we will begin meeting with educators who can assist us in translating the associated skills. Meanwhile, if you have not been contacted to assist us on this project and you feel like you have something to contribute, call me at 800-972-2766, or email (darrellhull@delphi.com).

CORD COMMUNICATIONS

P.O. Box 21206 Waco, Texas 76702-1206



Skill Standards Report is published by CORD Communications, an organization of the Center for Occupational Research and Development. The CORD organizations are dedicated to the advancement of technical education and contextual learning.

VOLUME 1, NUMBER 1

WHAT IS A SKILL STANDARD?

By Jim Johnson

riefly stated, a skill standard is a list of skills, knowledge, and level of ability that a person must possess to be successful in a given occupation. There are as many ways of developing a skill standard as there are funded projects, but some common elements exist in all



An important component of the Clinton Administration's educational vision for the United States is the development of Occupational Skill Standards. As part of the initiative, Goals 2000: Educate America, twenty-two skill standards development projects have been funded. This is a joint effort of the Departments of Labor and Education. The Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) has received funding from the Department of Education for two of the projects-Photonics and Hazardous Materials Management Technology (HMMT).

The intent of Skill Standards Report is to communicate the progress of these and other skill standards projects and to share future plans and activities as the projects proceed.

projects. A thorough definition of the occupation is essential. Typically included in the definition is a task list. This is not a simple list of activities but rather a description of the level of ability to which each task must be performed. For instance, if a photonics technician understands safety eyewear, does he/she simply use the eyewear provided for them or do they clean and store the eyewear, select those appropriate to the laser in use, test them for compliance, or design the eyewear for a given task?

After a comprehensive task list has been formulated, an analysis must be conducted to determine the skills and knowledge needed to

successfully complete each task. Some skills may be very general in nature and applicable to a wide range of technologies, while others are very specific to a given occupation. Again, using the eyewear example, to understand the concept of optical density (OD) of protective filters, a photonics technician must know that an increase of 1 OD number (ie: from 3 to 4) increases the attenuation of the filter by a factor of 10 (from an optical attenuation of 1000 times to an attenuation of 10,000 times). A knowledge of exponents from mathematics is necessary to understand this concept. However, occupational skill standards would not attempt to define all the mathematical skills and knowledge involved with this concept.

MAKING THE TASK/SKILL LIST A "STANDARD"

Using the premise that standards are statements or policies that define a "norm" of expected outcomes, a skill standard must be recognized as the national norm for a particular occupation. Standards typically fall into two categories—regulatory or consensus. Regulatory standards are legislated into action and enforced by federal, state, or local authorities. Examples include the standards published by the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) or OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration). Skill standards are voluntary which means that they have become a standard by a consensus of opinion. The common ANSI (American National Standards Institute) standards are well-known examples of voluntary because they have been designed and agreed upon by committees of experts on the subject. Likewise, skill standards are voluntary standards designed by a coalition of experts. Both the Photonics project and the HMMT project have developed extensive coalitions of leaders from business, industry, government, professional societies, and education.

- story continued on next page

Inside:

Skill Standards Meet Tech Prep


Task Collection Theory

Photonics & HMMT Updates

..."What is a Skill Standard?" continued

IMPACT OF SKILL STANDARDS ON INDUSTRY

Skill standards are intended to be industry led. Industry must define tasks and activities that employees are expected to perform. Educators participate in the process and help breakdown the tasks into skills and then take the lead in developing the educational objectives and training activities to instill these skills. The process and involvement of industry and education is illustrated below.



IMPACT OF SKILL STANDARDS ON EDUCATION

The current skill standards development program will define twenty-two different occupations from a national perspective. These standards can be used by schools as guidelines for implementing new training programs or evaluating existing programs. The standards will accelerate the design of new programs and curriculum, but schools must mold the national skill standards to the needs of local industry by forming local coalitions of experts. This has a secondary benefit because it will allow for improved communication between schools and local industry.

Uniform job descriptions benefit both industry and education by providing a clearly recognizable educational target. This is a double-edged sword because educational providers will be judged and held accountable to the standard.

SKILL STANDARDS MEET TECH PREP

NATIONAL TECH PREP NETWORK TO SHOWCASE PROJECTS AT SPRING CONFERENCE

By Julie Vitale

he most logical marriage of initiatives between education and industry is that of the Tech Prep/Associate Degree movement and the Industry Skill Standards projects. Both are federally-funded projects (Tech Prep funded through the Department of Education and Skill Standards funded through both the Departments of Education and Labor) with the purpose of better preparing students to enter the workforce.

Tech Prep/Associate Degree is a philosophy and a process of designing curriculum to connect secondary and postsecondary education levels as well as to integrate the academic and vocational skills and knowledge necessary to prepare for a career field. With this in mind, the skill standards projects add a much needed and critical component of a Tech Prep curriculum; the outcomes that a Tech Prep student must have upon exiting education and entering the workforce. Tech Prep educators are seeking advice, input, and involvement from businesses around the country and in various occupations to help them devise a logical sequence of courses that fully develop the skills industry requires now as well as in the future. Tech Prep graduates will be a new kind of employee; one that can continuously build their skills upon a foundation of solid math and science concepts.

The first step toward collaboration will be taken on April 10, at the National Tech Prep Network (NTPN) Conference in Baltimore. Project directors of various skill standards projects will conduct a pre-conference session to present the total scope and outcomes of their projects to Tech Prep educators. This gathering of education and industry representatives will initiate a dialogue between those who have a vested interest in skill standards and educational reform.

For more information on the NTPN Pre-Conference session, contact Jim Johnson at CORD, 800-972-2766.

Skill Standards Seminar
October 3 - 4, 1994
Roney Teaching Center
Waco, Texas

- AGENDA: Assisting Schools in Program Evaluation
- ✓ PARTICIPANTS: Representatives from Industry and Education



TASK COLLECTION THEORY: METHODOLOGIES AND LOGISTICS FOR THE PHOTONICS SKILL STANDARDS PROJECT

By Darrell Hull

evising industry skill standards is a complex endeavor. The first and most important step toward standards development is taken when representatives from the designated industry are asked "What tasks must be accomplished by technicians in your field?"

Because photonics and HMM are emerging technologies, the skill standards that will be developed through these projects must be as forward-looking as possible. The involvement of first line managers, engineers, or scientists that work directly with technicians is vital in this planning stage. Because these individuals are responsible for creating lasting improvements for photonics products or related services and are familiar with technician tasks and job requirements, they are a good sense of what the industry will sace over the next five years.

Before industry representatives can respond to our question, we must first define the term "task." For the photonics skill standards project we will refer to tasks as assignments technicians must be able to accomplish on the job. Other skill standards projects may use a term such as objectives or competencies to describe what we call technician tasks.

Regardless of the terminology, all skill standards projects will eventually link the needs of industry with the curriculum and training provided at educational institutions in order to provide technicians with the skills needed to be successful in their future jobs in industry.

To compile a comprehensive list of tasks, the industry representatives will be provided lists of tools and equipment along with action verbs that they will use to briefly state the tasks that technicians must perform. Industry committees, which will meet in June and July, will match action verbs with common tools or equipment. As task lists are gathered, the photonics project staff will organize the statements using Bloom's Taxonomy, a tool frequently used by educators, which will allow classification of tasks by the degree of cognitive processing required.

Once industry tasks have been defined for photonics technicians, committees of educators will be asked to translate those tasks into skills technicians must possess to be able to accomplish them. In a future issue of *Skill Standards Report*, we will focus on the translation of the tasks set forth by the photonics industry into skills developed by educators.

Category: Analysis, Test and Measurement

	Align	Select	Specify	Purchase	Fabricate	Mount	Install	Position	Rearrange	Repair	Refurbish	Integrate	Alter	Classify	Identify	Demonstrate	Operate	
Boxcar Averagers																		
Densitometers																		
Interferometers																		
Microdensitometers																		
Microscopes																		
Monochrometers																		
Optics Metrology Equipment																		
Power/Energy Meters																		
Radiometers/Photometers																		
Reflectometers																		
Spectroradiometers									Г									
Spectrum Analyzers																		
Time-Delay Generators																		

As Photonics task statements are gathered, they will be classified by degree of cognitive processing required to complete the task.

Photonics BEST Meeting

BUSINESS & EDUCATION STANDARDS FOR TECHNICIANS

July 23 in San Diego

- Photonics Engineers
- Corporate Managers
- Corporate Administrators
- Photonics Technicians

Visit our booth at CLEO in Anaheim





Jim Johnson

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR PROJECT: HMMT

The HMMT project has established an advisory committee which met for the first time on December 3, 1993 and consists of 40 representatives from industry, labor, societ-

ies, education, and government agencies. Four groupings or specialities within HMMT were identified: Compliance, Remediation, Laboratory/Analytical, and STD (storage, transportation, and disposal). They are all expected to have a similar foundation of HMMT skills but possess enhanced skills in the speciality area.

Regional "focus" group meetings are being conducted to help identify local variations in HMMT requirements. The first one of its kind was held on February 23 at South Seattle Community College, and another was held in Miami on March 8. Additional meetings are being planned for Albuquerque, New Orleans, and Atlanta. The second advisory committee meeting is being planned for Fort Worth in June to coordinate with the annual meeting of the National Environmental Health Association. A survey is being prepared for distribution to several hundred potential employers of HMM technicians, with the results expected to be compiled and validated by the Advisory Committee later in the summer and eventually lisseminated at the Skill Standards seminar in October.



Darrell Hull

PROJECT: PHOTONICS

Considerable efforts are still underway to broaden CORD's list of industry relationships and contacts with significant

representation from industry and education constituents on this project. The industry or business connection, we feel, must be exceptionally strong if we are to devise standards that are meaningful. Subsequently, the contingent from industry is taking time to assemble. If you have agreed to participate with us on the project or have nominated someone to participate and have yet to be contacted, please be patient, as we are attempting to put together complete committees and notify those individuals as soon as possible.

Our primary source of industry/business contacts is from the professional societies that already serve the photonics field, specifically, the SPIE (the International Society for Optical Engineering), the OSA (Optical Society of America) and the ASLMS (American Society for Laser Medicine and Surgery). Once we have received validated task lists from our industry/business participants, we will begin meeting with educators who can assist us in translating the associated skills. Meanwhile, if you have not been contacted to assist us on this project and you feel like you have something to contribute, call me at 800-972-2766, or email (darrellhull@delphi.com).

CORD COMMUNICATIONS

P.O. Box 21206 Waco, Texas 76702-1206



SKILLS TANDARDS REPORT

THE NEED FOR SKILL STANDARDS

By Darrell Hull

n the earlier part of this century, the industrial system in the United States was second to none. Our industries flourished because of our economic strength, a proven approach to mass manufacturing, superior factories and equipment, and a workforce composed of strong managers and capable, compliant front-line workers. A college degree was considered a sure road to economic and professional success and the guarantee of a superior lifestyle that would improve each year. This concept became part of the American dream, and its perception has persisted into the present, even as

the reality of the American job market has shifted.

What are the keys to competitiveness in America for corporations that wish to compete on a global scale? Certainly their workforce plays a primary role. New workers in this country must be as capable and competent as their counterparts in other countries, or the U.S. will lose the heightening economic battle.

The intent of the Skill Standards Report is to communicate the progress of the Photonics and HMMT skill standards projects and to share future plans and activities as the projects proceed.

Until the 1980s, our unskilled and semiskilled workers were competing indirectly with workers in thirdworld countries who could learn their jobs relatively quickly, achieve a comparable or superior level of quality, and remain satisfied with wages that were five to ten times lower than those of the American worker. The only way for American companies to compete globally and maintain operations within the United States was to make full use of information systems, sophisticated technology, and automation.

Fewer but higher-skilled workers were needed as a result. Delays in retooling and restaffing or "upskilling" resulted in loss of market share and loss of jobs. Something had to be done. The competence of students applying for jobs had to be addressed.

Nearly two-thirds of all students in public education do not complete a baccalaureate degree and are often perceived as students who cannot learn foundational subjects such as math and science. In fact, it is these students who in the future must be able to apply and transfer the same academic foundations even as the technology changes around them.

Community and technical college associate degree programs can play a role in preparing this large majority of students for the technical and academic skills they need in the workforce. Educational standards in an ideal school would include achievement that is measured by demonstrable skills and abilities. Employers not only would have a voice in setting the outcomes, but also would provide a kind of quality check on the educational process by their interest in hiring recent graduates of such a school.

In an effort to obtain consensus on the skills that should be imparted in educational institutions for these workers, the U.S. Departments of Education and Labor have funded 22 projects to identify and develop skill standards. A complete listing of the skills that should be taught would break down barriers such as different course names or numbers, and require schools to use a common language to describe what is taught. Translated, skill standards would provide a consistent base of skills which employers could use to evaluate potential employees.

Obviously, several iterations of employers and educators reviewing each other's work are required before the standard becomes a consensus document that students/ workers, educators, employers, government, organized labor and others can rely upon to successfully link industry needs and education goals. This endeavor is what the 22 individual skill standards projects hope to accomplish.

Inside:

Constructing a Common Framework...
Certification Programs and Skill Standards

CONSTRUCTING A COMMON FRAMEWORK FOR SKILL STANDARDS PROJECTS

efore the National Skill Standards Board can function effectively, a set of criteria for endorsing standards must be developed. To build this common "framework," the Institute for Educational Leadership (IEL) has designed a process from which all project grantees should construct a skill standards model. Six commonly used models that meet the minimum necessary criteria for developing skill standards have been developed. These show that the skill standards:

- must communicate information to various audiences
- must allow for comparison of standards across occupational clusters
- must provide an avenue for implementation of certification activities such as assessment, recertification, and career mobility

Based on these criteria, any of the following six models as well as the Center for Occupational Research and Development (CORD) model for the Photonics Skill Standards Project could be acceptable standard forms for a skill standard. The first six models use APDOT categorization, and their advantages and disadvantages were developed by IEL. The final example demonstrates the model CORD has used in constructing the Photonics Skill Standards Project.

DEVELOPING AND EVALUATING MODELS FOR UNIFORMITY

MODEL A DESCRIPTION

The standard set is expressed as one or more statements of skill standards. Supporting evidence describing the requisite knowledge/skills and assessment is specified by standards set, but is not part of the standard.

Advantages

Standards statements can be written in any format and at any level of specificity. Each standard set is supported by descriptions of the requisite knowledge, skills, and assessments. Certification by standard set is possible.

Disadvantages

Descriptions may contain some duplicate information, since the same knowledge and skills could be required in more than one standard set. Having the same knowledge and skills apply to different standards sets may affect how assessments are constructed. Comparison of standards across and within occupational clusters may be limited if standards sets and supporting evidence are written at different levels of specificity.

MODEL A: SKILL STANDARDS SET + SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

Standards Set:

The worker calibrates equipment to produce a product within +/- .0001 degrees of specifications. Calibrations occur without assistance within two hours of blueprint review.

Supporting Evidence: Knowledge/Skills

- Can apply arithmetic calculations with 100% accuracy
- Has knowledge of basic manufacturing terminology
- Has knowledge of computer programming techniques for equipment calibration
- Has knowledge of algorithms to perform calculations
- Is able to develop a diagnostic computer program to obtain optimum equipment operations
- Is able to calculate equipment without assistance to produce within +/- .0001 degrees of blueprint specifications

Assessment:

- Assessments: a test of knowledge, a performance exercise, and a portfolio of 10 successful computerized manufacturing programs
- Assessments are given only by approved NWM programs.

MODEL B DESCRIPTION

The standard is a competency unit that includes the duty/ function, tasks/activities, and performance criteria. The range specifies the circumstances under which performance criteria are applied.

Advantages

The competency unit, which as a whole represents the standard, is written in a specific grammatical format and at a predetermined level of specificity. This uniformity can enhance interpretation by different users. Certifications could be given by competency unit to permit horizontal as well as vertical career growth. Knowledge and skills must be integrated within the context of the work to be performed.

Disadvantages

A restricted format for writing standards limits the flexibility of the author. The parameters for assessment are established by the evidence of successful performance and the range indicators. This may restrict the way assessments are conducted. Using all performance criteria rather than sampling would be time-consuming if large numbers of individuals had to be assessed.

MODEL B: COMPETENCY UNITS

Duty/Function:

Calibration of manufacturing equipment to specifications Tasks/Activities:

- Performs calculations to translate scaled drawings
- Interprets manufacturing specifications to determine requisite equipment calibrations
- Designs diagnostic programs to calibrate equipment

Evidence of Successful Performance:

- Applies appropriate mathematical calculations with 100% accuracy in translating scaled specifications to size
- Calibrates equipment that produces a product within +/- .0001 degrees of blueprint specifications
- Calibrates equipment within prescribed time frame

Range:

- Standard manufacturing specifications for metal products or electromagnetic wire
- XYZ computerized manufacturing system
- Manufacturing or recycling settings

MODEL C DESCRIPTION

Standards are expressed in terms of content and performance. To distinguish degrees of skill mastery, different performance standards can be established for the same content standard.

Advantages

Standards clearly differentiate what one needs to know or be able to do and the level at which competency is determined. Performance standards are clearly distinguishable from content standards. Performance standards can be used to differentiate vertical as well as horizontal career growth requirements. Content standards can be further clustered/organized by worker attributes (APDOT Content Model). A standard format for writing standards facilitates comparisons across and within occupational clusters.

Disadvantages

Every content standard must have one or more performance standard. Performance standards cannot relate to more than one content standard. Assessment strategies must be well conceived to avoid creating performance standards that are not practicable.

MODEL C: CONTENT- & PERFORMANCE-BASED STANDARDS

Content Standards:

Workplace Basic Skills: the worker knows basic mathematical calculations to compute density.

Occupational Knowledge: the worker knows manufacturing terms frequently used in product blueprint specifications.

Occupational Skills: the worker writes manufacturing programs using commonly accepted computer language.

Performance Standards:

Written Tests:

■ The worker attains a passing score on the NWM written tests of basic skills.

Performance Exercises:

- The worker produces a widget within +/- .0001 degrees of specifications within two hours of review (entry level).
- The worker's portfolio demonstrates creation of operable computer programs written in 10 or more manufacturing setups (advanced level).

MODEL D DESCRIPTION

The standards module contains four components: technical skills, equipment/tools, basic skills, and range indicators.

Advantages

Certification of standards can be done by each component of a nodule (e.g., tools and equipment) or by module. Standards modules can differ by setting. Each component of the standards module can be written in any format.

Disadvantages

The module does not describe how the knowledge, skills, and equipment/tools are to be employed. Performance criteria are not stated. With no standard format for writing standards, comparisons across and within occupational clusters may be limited. Equipment and tools may become outdated more quickly than the technical and foundational skills.

MODEL D: SKILLS + TOOLS MODULE

Technical Skills:

- Has knowledge of basic manufacturing terminology
- Has knowledge of advanced programming techniques
- Is able to calibrate equipment to optimum standards
- Is able to calibrate equipment without assistance to produce the product within +/- .0001 degrees of specifications
- Is able to write manufacturing computer programs

Equipment and Tools:

- XYZ computerized manufacturing system
- Standard computer equipment

Foundation Skills:

- Has knowledge of algorithms to perform calculations for density
- Can apply arithmetic calculations with 100% accuracy
- Is able to read blueprint specifications
- Knows basic computer operations

Range:

- Manufacturing or recycling settings which specialize in metal or electromagnetic wire products
- XYZ computerized manufacturing system

MODEL E DESCRIPTION

This model contains both basic workplace skill standards and cross-functional skills. Each skill statement is a standard.

Advantages

Only basic skills and cross-functional skills are specified. Both content and performance standards can be established but are not required by the model. Standards for occupational clusters rather than single occupations can be established. Certification of competency can be based upon a set of basic workplace and cross-functional skills that are not occupationally specific. The certification entity would not need to be linked to a particular industry or occupation.

Disadvantages

Skill standards would not include occupationally specific standards. If standards are established across occupational clusters, validation to a specific occupation would still be necessary. Without a common format for expressing standards, comparisons across occupational clusters may be limited.

MODEL E. WORKPLACE BASICS & CROSS-FUNCTIONAL SKILLS

Workplace Basic Skills:

Content Standards:

 Has knowledge of arithmetic to perform calculations for density



Performance Standards:

■ Can apply calculations with 100% accuracy

ross-Functional Skills:

Content Standards:

- Able to read manufacturing blueprint specifications without assistance
- Knows computer programming

Performance Standards:

- Demonstrates 100% accuracy in interpreting five different blueprint specifications
- Creates 10 or more operable computer programs

MODEL F DESCRIPTION

Only occupationally specific standards are described. Each standard consists of a description of the knowledge, skills, duties/functions, and range.

Advantages

Only occupationally specific information (knowledge and skills as well as duties/functions) is provided in each standard. Standards are clearly linked to work duties/functions. Certification of occupational-specific standards can be performed separately from basic workplace skills or cross-functional skills. Certification by duty/function is also possible.

Disadvantages

Without a common format for expressing standards, comparisons across occupational clusters or with foundational skills may be difficult. Standards will not provide information about oundational skills such as basic and cross-functional skills. Occupational knowledge and skills required for one duty/ function may also be required for others. This may cause duplicate information to be recorded in each standard. Performance criteria are not specified.

MODEL F: OCCUPATIONAL-SPECIFIC STANDARDS

Occupational Knowledge:

- Has knowledge of basic manufacturing terminology
- Has knowledge of advanced programming techniques for equipment calibration
- Has knowledge of computer programs commonly used in manufacturing

Occupational Skills:

- Is able to calibrate equipment to optimum standards
- Is able to calculate equipment with 100% accuracy
- Is able to write manufacturing computer programs
- Is able to develop diagnostic computer programs for equipment calibrations

Duties/Functions:

- Develops computerized diagnostic programs to calibrate optimum equipment efficiency
- Calibrates equipment to optimum operating standards
- Develops five-stage computerized manufacturing programs to meet customer blueprint specifications
- Knows basic computer operations

Range

Standard manufacturing specifications for metal products
 nd electromagnetic wire

- XYZ computerized manufacturing system
- Manufacturing or recycling settings

CORD PHOTONICS MODEL DESCRIPTION

Standards are a collection of "tasks" and their associated "skills/competencies or knowledge components." Tasks are simple two-word statements, developed initially by a content expert. This is done by first listing the tools and equipment used by workers in the field and allowing members of the industry to connect these tools/equipment with verbs that indicate how the instrument is used. The tasks are validated and translated (again by educational content experts) into skills/competencies or knowledge components.


Advantages

Industry can easily provide a great deal of input into the standards. Industry and educators have clearly established roles, making it easier for them to participate in development. This understanding helps the project attract large numbers of coalition members from both sectors. Certification is tied to performance of the industry-specified tasks if necessary, creating an opportunity for applied, hands-on evaluation of competency. Simplicity and concrete terms should provide users with a workable standard, capable of being understood by educators, students, and employers, so that adoption is not a complex process. Curriculum development that is applied in nature is a straightforward endeavor using this output.

Disadvantages

Collection of the task data reveals many useless variables that would not be considered "real" tasks, but are evaluated regardless (i.e. clean software). This process would require adaptation for occupational categories that are nontechnical in nature.

MODEL



Task List

Skill/Competency or

Knowledge
Component List

Adjust mirror mount

Understand the

mechanics of

R.H. and L.H. threads

These models demonstrate only a few popular ideas for constructing standards. Many new formats continue to be proposed to industry and education. The design chosen for the NSSB must meet as many of the current industry needs as possible, yet remain flexible enough to change as new and better ways of presenting standards develop.

CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS AND SKILL STANDARDS

By Jim Johnson

A critical part of the 22 skill standards projects currently being developed is the identification of certification verifying that an individual has mastered the skills listed in the standard. The term "certification" may have different meanings depending on the technology or profession involved.

Often, "certification" is associated with management or professional levels of employment. The few certification programs that do exist for the technician are called "nonprofessional" or "sub-professional" certifications. Generally, they have little influence on employers. Virtually all certification programs require a candidate to have an educational degree, some related occupational experience, and a passing score on a competency test. Many certification programs also have a "code of ethics" that the certified individual accepts. The more rigorous the requirements, the more prestigious and respected the certification. These requirements do give an indication of an individual's qualification and work ethics, but they do not verify the mastery of any particular skill. Certification programs of this type need to be tied to the National Skill Standards so that the esting and assessment methods do, in fact, verify that the individual is proficient to the level of ability defined by the skill standard.

Certification programs need to be tied to the National Skill Standards so that the testing and assessment methods verify that the individual is proficient to the level of ability defined by the skill standard.

Most schools find it essential to be "accredited." Recognized accrediting agencies such as the Southern Association of Schools and Colleges (SASC) and the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) have been setting standards for school operations and programs for many years. Recently, occupational groups and professional societies have been getting involved by setting guidelines that they expect training programs to follow. Automotive Service Excellence (ASE) and the National Automotive Technical Education Foundation (NATEF) have established a successful tertification process for the automobile service industry. These guidelines outline the industry's expectations of training programs and address such issues as number of hours

of training required, topics covered, tools and equipment used in the training, and instructor qualifications. The guidelines also define expected student performance. The certification program sets guidelines for the assessment of both the training facility and the students. The success of the program is primarily due to the fact that the employers are stakeholders in the educational process and recognize the practical value of the certification process.

To complicate things more, many states require licenses for certain technologies, such as health occupations. Other federal and state agencies also require certificates of training. In the field of Hazardous Materials Management, for example, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requires special training for employees before they are "certified" to work with hazardous materials. Construction workers may need certifications from the state to work with lead and asbestos abatement.

Certification and the accompanying assessment of skills are a major part of the 22 National Skill Standards Projects and will be one of the top priorities of the newly established National Skill Standards Board. Certification provides another method for industry and professional leaders to be actively involved in the educational process.

WORKSITE LEARNING SPECIALTY CONFERENCE

following the National Tech Prep Network Annual Conference October 30-31, Minneapolis

Co-sponsors:





For registration information, call 1-800-231-3015.





Jim Johnson

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR PROJECT: HMMT

Approximately 50 Hazardous Materials Management technicians (HMMT) contributed to an activity journal earlier this year by listing the job duties and responsibilities they

encounter on a daily basis. These duties, along with information from various job descriptions, were grouped and organized into a Task Outline. This was presented to representatives of industry and education at three regional focus group meetings. The outline was also presented to the National HazMat Advisory Committee at a June meeting in Fort Worth, Texas. The comments and suggestions from attendees of these meetings are now being incorporated into the outline.

Staff at the National Environmental Health Association (NEHA) are using the outline to design an industrial survey. The survey will be sent to a large number of HMMTs and their employers. The results will validate the tasks and prioritize each task based on its importance and how often it is performed on the job.

A certification subcommittee has been formed and had its first meeting in July at the Roney Teaching Center in Waco, Texas, with the group investigating various certification programs and discussing possible methods of incorporating he skill standards into technician certification programs.



Darrell Hull

REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR PROJECT: PHOTONICS

Over 100 coalition members from the photonics industry completed task collection documents and discussed the development process for the project this past May in

Anaheim, California. A second meeting, PhotonicsBEST, held this month in San Diego, was industry's final contribution to the initial phase of the project, giving coalition members from several professional organizations within the industry an opportunity to evaluate a compilation of all tasks provided to date.

Once the tasks for technicians have been analyzed, a meeting will be held in late August specifically for educators, who will begin the translation of tasks into skills. For example, industry will tell us the tasks workers should be able to perform in the workplace, such as "Align a Nd: YAG laser." The educators will then translate this task statement into skills that should be imparted in the educational institution. These might include the principle of reflection and the mechanics of mirror mounts, Q-switch operation, and so on. Educators will also be asked to develop a consensus opinion on the qualifications needed by educators to teach in this area. If you are interested in participating in the project or would like more information, you may contact me at CORD, 800-972-2766, or by E-mail (darrellhull@delphi.com).

HMMT DISSEMINATION WORKSHOP • OCTOBER 3-4, 1994 • CALL 800-972-2766 FOR MORE INFORMATION.

CORD COMMUNICATIONS

P.O. Box 21206 Waco, Texas 76702-1206



Skill Standards Report is published by CORD Communications, an organization of the Center for Occupational Research and Development. The CORD organizations are dedicated to the advancement of technical education and contextual learning.



U.S. Department of Education Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)



National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.
This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").

EFF-089 (5/2002)

